

TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP

Form N-Q

October 30, 2018

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-Q

QUARTERLY SCHEDULE OF PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT
INVESTMENT COMPANY

Investment Company Act file number **811-21462**

Tortoise Energy Infrastructure Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

11550 Ash Street, Suite 300, Leawood, KS 66211

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Diane Bono

P. Bradley Adams

11550 Ash Street, Suite 300, Leawood, KS 66211

(Name and address of agent for service)

913-981-1020

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Date of fiscal year end: **November 30**

Date of reporting period: **August 31, 2018**

Tortoise Energy Infrastructure Corporation**Schedule of Investments** (unaudited)

August 31, 2018

	Shares	Fair Value
Master Limited Partnerships — 139.1%		
Crude Oil Pipelines — 27.6%		
United States — 27.6%		
Andeavor Logistics LP	3,435,751	\$ 166,908,784
BP Midstream Partners LP	585,168	11,545,365
Enbridge Energy Partners, L.P.	6,001,215	67,573,681
PBF Logistics LP	541,901	11,569,586
Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. ⁽²⁾	5,058,264	132,121,856
Shell Midstream Partners, L.P.	1,071,403	23,967,285
		413,686,557
Natural Gas/Natural Gas Liquids Pipelines — 42.0%		
United States — 42.0%		
Dominion Energy Midstream Partners, LP	914,075	14,990,830
Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. ⁽²⁾	11,642,117	262,529,739
Enterprise Products Partners L.P.	5,768,276	164,972,693
EQT Midstream Partners, LP	2,333,551	133,385,775
Spectra Energy Partners, LP	1,403,292	53,268,964
		629,148,001
Natural Gas Gathering/Processing — 23.5%		
United States — 23.5%		
Antero Midstream Partners LP	2,530,696	74,073,472
DCP Midstream, LP	961,916	39,640,558
EnLink Midstream Partners, LP	4,469,701	79,560,678
Noble Midstream Partners LP	272,732	11,945,662
Western Gas Equity Partners, LP	350,416	11,865,086
Western Gas Partners, LP	2,776,231	135,618,884
		352,704,340
Refined Product Pipelines — 46.0%		
United States — 46.0%		
Buckeye Partners, L.P.	2,496,735	88,034,876
Buckeye Partners, L.P. ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	553,870	18,842,657
Holly Energy Partners, L.P.	3,011,130	87,202,325
Magellan Midstream Partners, L.P.	2,617,844	178,667,853
MPLX LP	4,478,227	158,842,712
NuStar Energy L.P.	1,365,641	37,800,943
Phillips 66 Partners LP	1,530,570	78,763,132
Valero Energy Partners LP	1,180,378	42,245,729
		690,400,227
Total Master Limited Partnerships (Cost \$1,771,451,627)		2,085,939,125
Common Stock — 17.9%		
Natural Gas Gathering/Processing — 7.0%		
United States — 7.0%		
The Williams Companies, Inc.	3,555,046	105,193,811
Natural Gas/Natural Gas Liquids Pipelines — 10.9%		
United States — 10.9%		
ONEOK, Inc. ⁽²⁾	734,248	48,394,285
Tallgrass Energy, LP	4,655,166	114,470,532
		162,864,817
Total Common Stock (Cost \$249,465,748)		268,058,628

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

	Shares	Fair Value
Preferred Stock — 2.9%		
Crude Oil Pipelines — 0.4%		
United States — 0.4%		
SemGroup Corporation, 7.000% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	6,277	\$ 6,333,842
Natural Gas/Natural Gas Liquids Pipelines — 0.8%		
United States — 0.8%		
Crestwood Equity Partners LP, 9.25%	1,326,835	12,737,616
Natural Gas Gathering/Processing — 1.7%		
United States — 1.7%		
Targa Resources Corp., 9.500% ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	21,758	25,072,589
Total Preferred Stock (Cost \$36,470,886)		44,144,047
Private Investments — 2.9%		
Natural Gas/Natural Gas Liquids Pipelines — 1.6%		
United States — 1.6%		
MTP Energy KMAA LLC ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	N/A	23,808,297
Renewables — 1.3%		
United States — 1.3%		
Tortoise HoldCo II, LLC ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁷⁾	N/A	19,630,926
Total Private Investments (Cost \$53,782,927)		43,439,223
Short-Term Investment — 0.0%		
United States Investment Company — 0.0%		
Invesco Government & Agency Portfolio — Institutional Class, 1.85% ⁽⁸⁾ (Cost \$292,691)	292,691	292,691
Total Investments — 162.8% (Cost \$2,111,463,879)		2,441,873,714
Interest Rate Swap Contracts — 0.0%		
\$15,000,000 notional — net unrealized appreciation		96,313
Total Value of Options Written (Premiums received \$384,575) — (0.0)%		(82,467)
Other Assets and Liabilities — 0.6%		9,189,114
Deferred Tax Liability — (17.0)%		(255,310,145)
Credit Facility Borrowings — (9.4)%		(140,800,000)
Senior Notes — (26.0)%		(390,000,000)
Mandatory Redeemable Preferred Stock at Liquidation Value — (11.0)%		(165,000,000)
Total Net Assets Applicable to Common Stockholders — 100.0%		\$ 1,499,966,529

(1) Calculated as a percentage of net assets applicable to common stockholders.

(2) All or a portion of the security represents cover for outstanding call option contracts written.

(3) Restricted securities have a total fair value of \$93,688,311, which represents 6.2% of net assets.

Security distributions are paid-in-kind. Rate determined by dividing the cash value of a distribution declared by Buckeye Partners, L.P. by a (4) 12.5% discount to the average VWAP of Buckeye Partners, L.P. shares for the ten consecutive trading days prior to the ex-dividend date.

(5) Securities have been valued by using significant unobservable inputs in accordance with fair value procedures.

(6) Security distributions are paid-in-kind. Cash value of the 7.0% coupon is added to the liquidation preference of the preferred stock.

(7) Deemed to be an affiliate of the fund.

(8) Rate indicated is the current yield as of August 31, 2018.

Schedule of Interest Rate Swap Contracts (unaudited)

August 31, 2018

Counterparty	Maturity Date	Notional Amount	Fixed Rate Paid by TYG	Floating Rate Received by TYG	Unrealized Appreciation
The Bank of Nova Scotia	09/02/2018	\$ 5,000,000	1.815%	1-month U.S. Dollar LIBOR	\$ 1,180
The Bank of Nova Scotia	09/02/2021	10,000,000	2.381%	1-month U.S. Dollar LIBOR	95,133
		\$ 15,000,000			\$ 96,313

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

Schedule of Options Written (unaudited)

August 31, 2018

Call Options Written	Expiration Date	Strike Price	Contracts	Notional Value	Fair Value
Energy Transfer Partners, L.P.	September 2018	\$24.00	10,500	\$ 25,200,000	\$ (63,000)
ONEOK, Inc.	September 2018	71.00	1,686	11,970,600	(12,646)
Plains All American Pipeline, L.P.	September 2018	28.75	7,000	20,125,000	(6,341)
Plains All American Pipeline, L.P.	September 2018	29.00	96	278,400	(480)
Total Value of Call Options Written (Premiums received \$384,575)				\$57,574,000	\$ (82,467)

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of the Company's investments and financial instruments. These inputs are summarized in the three broad levels listed below:

Level 1 — quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

Level 2 — other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, market corroborated inputs, etc.)

Level 3 — significant unobservable inputs (including the Company's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

The following table provides the fair value measurements of applicable assets and liabilities by level within the fair value hierarchy as of August 31, 2018. These assets and liabilities are measured on a recurring basis.

Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets				
Investments:				
Master Limited Partnerships ^(a)	\$2,067,096,468	\$ —	\$ 18,842,657	\$2,085,939,125
Common Stock ^(a)	268,058,628	—	—	268,058,628
Preferred Stock ^(a)	12,737,616	—	31,406,431	44,144,047
Private Investments ^(a)	—	—	43,439,223	43,439,223
Short-Term Investment ^(b)	292,691	—	—	292,691
Total Investments	\$2,348,185,403	\$ —	\$ 93,688,311	\$2,441,873,714
Interest Rate Swap Contracts	—	96,313	—	96,313
Total Assets	\$2,348,185,403	\$96,313	\$93,688,311	\$2,441,970,027
Liabilities				
Written Call Options	\$76,126	\$6,341	\$—	\$82,467

(a) All other industry classifications are identified in the Schedule of Investments.

(b) Short-term investment is a sweep investment for cash balances.

The Company utilizes the beginning of reporting period method for determining transfers between levels. During the period ended August 31, 2018, Phillips 66 Partners LP common units held by the Company in the amount of \$27,160,073 were transferred from Level 2 to Level 1 when they converted into registered and unrestricted common units of Phillips 66 Partners LP. There were no other transfers between levels for the Funds during the period ended August 31, 2018.

Security Valuation

In general, and where applicable, the Company uses readily available market quotations based upon the last updated sales price from the principal market to determine fair value. The Company primarily owns securities that are listed on a securities exchange or are traded in the over-the-counter market. The Company values those securities at their last sale price on that exchange or over-the-counter market on the valuation date. If the security is listed on more than one exchange, the Company uses the price from the exchange that it considers to be the principal exchange on which the security is traded. Securities listed on the NASDAQ are valued at the NASDAQ Official Closing Price, which may not necessarily represent the last sale price. If there has been no sale on such exchange or over-the-counter market on such day, the security is valued at the mean between the last bid price and last ask price on such day. These securities are categorized as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy.

Restricted securities are subject to statutory or contractual restrictions on their public resale, which may make it more difficult to obtain a valuation and may limit a the Company's ability to dispose of them. Investments in private placement securities and other securities for which market quotations are not readily available are valued in good faith by using fair value procedures. Such fair value procedures consider factors such as discounts to publicly traded issues, time until conversion date, securities with similar yields, quality, type of issue, coupon, duration and rating. If events occur that affect the value of the Company's portfolio securities before the net asset value has been calculated (a "significant event"), the portfolio securities so affected are generally priced using fair value procedures.

An equity security of a publicly traded company acquired in a private placement transaction without registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "1933 Act"), is subject to restrictions on resale that can affect the security's liquidity and fair value. If such a security is convertible into publicly traded common shares, the security generally will be valued at the common share market price adjusted by a percentage discount due to the restrictions and categorized as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. To the extent that such securities are convertible or otherwise become freely tradable within a time frame that may be reasonably determined, an amortization schedule may be used to determine the discount. If the security has characteristics that are dissimilar to the class of security that trades on the open market, the security will generally be valued and categorized as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

Unobservable inputs are used to measure fair value to the extent that observable inputs are not available, thereby allowing for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity. Unobservable inputs reflect the Company's own beliefs about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability (including assumptions about risk). Unobservable inputs is developed based on the best information available in the circumstances, which might include the Fund's own data. The Company's own data are adjusted if information is reasonably available without undue cost and effort that indicates that market participants would use different assumptions. Due to the inherent uncertainty of valuations of such investments, the fair values may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had an active market existed.

Exchange-traded options are valued at the last reported sale price on any exchange on which they trade. If no sales are reported on any exchange on the measurement date, exchange-traded options are valued at the mean between the most recent high bid and most recent low asked prices obtained as of the closing of the exchanges on which the option is traded. The value of Flexible Exchange Options (FLEX Options) are determined (i) by an evaluated price as determined by a third-party valuation service; or (ii) by using a quotation provided by a broker-dealer.

The Company generally values debt securities at evaluated bid prices obtained from an independent third-party valuation service that utilizes a pricing matrix based upon yield data for securities with similar characteristics, or based on a direct written broker-dealer quotation from a dealer who has made a market in the security. Debt securities with 60 days or less to maturity at time of purchase are valued on the basis of amortized cost, which approximates market value.

Interest rate swap contracts are valued by using industry-accepted models, which discount the estimated future cash flows based on a forward rate curve and the stated terms of the interest rate swap agreement by using interest rates currently available in the market, or based on dealer quotations, if available, and are categorized as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

The following tables present the Company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period ended August 31, 2018:

Master Limited Partnerships and Related Companies

Balance — beginning of period	\$	—
Purchases		23,177,027
Return of capital		(1,318,340)
Sales		(30)
Total realized gain/loss		(6)
Change in unrealized gain/loss		(3,015,994)
Balance — end of period	\$	18,842,657

Preferred Stock

Balance — beginning of period	\$	23,396,034
Purchases		6,277,000
Return of capital		(1,666,355)
Sales		—
Total realized gain/loss		—
Change in unrealized gain/loss		3,399,752
Balance — end of period	\$	31,406,431

Private Investments

Balance — beginning of period	\$	25,886,172
Purchases		28,065,572
Return of capital		(9,199,170)
Sales		—
Total realized gain/loss		—
Change in unrealized gain/loss		(1,313,351)
Balance — end of period	\$	43,439,223

Change in unrealized gain/loss on investments still held at August 31, 2018 \$ (929,593)

Certain of the Company's investments are restricted and are valued as determined in accordance with fair value procedures. The carrying value per unit of unrestricted common units of Buckeye Partners, L.P. was \$44.43 on March 2, 2018, the date of the purchase agreement and the date an enforceable right to acquire the restricted Buckeye Partners, L.P. units was obtained by the Company. The following table shows the principal amount or shares, acquisition date(s), acquisition cost, fair value and the percent of net assets which the securities comprise at August 31, 2018.

Investment Security	Investment Type	Shares	Acquisition Date(s)	Acquisition Cost	Fair Value	Fair Value as Percent of Net Assets
Buckeye Partners, L.P.	Master Limited Partnership	553,870	03/02/18-08/10/18	\$ 23,177,027	\$ 18,842,657	1.2%
SemGroup Corporation, 7.000%	Preferred Stock	6,277	01/19/18	6,277,000	6,333,842	0.4
Targa Resources Corp., 9.500%	Preferred Stock	21,758	03/16/16	19,265,393	25,072,589	1.7
MTP Energy KMAA LLC	Private Investment	N/A	06/29/18	22,555,779	23,808,297	1.6
Tortoise HoldCo II, LLC	Private Investment	N/A	08/18/17-08/31/18	31,227,148	19,630,926	1.3
				\$ 102,501,347	\$ 93,688,311	6.2%

Affiliated Company Transactions

A summary of the transactions in affiliated companies during the period ended August 31, 2018 is as follows:

Investment Security	11/30/17	Gross	Gross	Realized	Distributions	8/31/18	8/31/18	Net Chan
	Share Balance	Additions	Reductions	Gain/(Loss)	Received	Share Balance	Value	in Unreali
Tortoise HoldCo II, LLC	N/A	\$5,509,793	\$9,199,170	—	\$250,000	N/A	\$19,630,926	\$2,565,8

Item 2. Controls and Procedures.

The registrant's Chief Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Treasurer has concluded that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "1940 Act")) are effective as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this report, based on the evaluation of these controls and procedures required by Rule 30a-3(b) under the 1940 Act and Rule 13a-15(b) or 15d-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Item 3. Exhibits.

Separate certifications for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the registrant as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(a)) are filed herewith.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: October 29, 2018

Tortoise Energy Infrastructure Corporation

By: /s/ P. Bradley Adams
P. Bradley Adams
Chief Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Treasurer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: October 29, 2018

Tortoise Energy Infrastructure Corporation

By: /s/ P. Bradley Adams
P. Bradley Adams
Chief Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Treasurer

6;s Light and Theater and Vice Chairman of the Board of WHY Y, a PBS affiliate.

Table of Contents

John F. Killian, 57

Mr. Killian has leadership experience at regulated consumer services companies, including experience with financial reporting and internal auditing. Mr. Killian's experience from his leadership positions at Verizon Communications, Inc., Bell Atlantic and NYNEX CableComms Limited supports the Board in its oversight of the Company's auditing, financial, operating, and strategic planning activities, and the Company's relationships with stakeholders. Mr. Killian was the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Verizon Communications Inc., a telecommunications company, from March 2009 to December 2010. Previously, Mr. Killian was the President of Verizon Business, Basking Ridge, NJ, from October 2005 until February 2009, the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Verizon Telecom from June 2003 until October 2005, and the Senior Vice President and Controller of Verizon Telecom from April 2002 until June 2003. Mr. Killian also served in executive positions at Bell Atlantic and was the President and Chief Executive Officer of NYNEX CableComms Limited (a telecommunications, information services and entertainment company in the United Kingdom). Mr. Killian has been a Director of Con Edison and a Trustee of Con Edison of New York since September 2007. Mr. Killian is also a Director of Houghton Mifflin Harcourt and a Trustee of Providence College.

Michael W. Ranger, 54

Mr. Ranger has investment experience focusing on the energy and power sector, investment banking experience in the energy and power sector, and experience as a member of a utility banking group. Mr. Ranger's experience from his investment activities in the energy and power sector supports the Board in its oversight of the Company's financial and strategic planning activities. Mr. Ranger has been Senior Managing Director of Diamond Castle Holdings LLC, New York, NY, a private equity investment firm, since 2004 and Non-Executive Chairman of KDC Solar LLC since 2010. Previously, Mr. Ranger was an investment banker in the energy and power sector for twenty years, including at Credit Suisse First Boston, Donaldson, Lufkin and Jenrette, DLJ Global Energy Partners, and Drexel Burnham Lambert. Mr. Ranger was also a member of the Utility Banking Group at Bankers Trust. Mr. Ranger has been a Director of Con Edison and a Trustee of Con Edison of New York since February 2008. During the past five years, Mr. Ranger also served as a Director of TXU Corp. through October 2007. Mr. Ranger is also a Director or Trustee of Beacon Health Holdings, LLC, Bonten Media Group, Managed Health Care Associates, Inc., Morristown-Beard School, Professional Direction Enterprise, Inc, The Seeing Eye, Inc., and St. Lawrence University.

Table of Contents

John F. Hennessy III, 56

Mr. Hennessy has leadership experience at project performance consulting firms, and has engineering and construction industry experience. Mr. Hennessy's experience from his leadership positions with a project performance consulting firm supports the Board in its oversight of the Company's operations and financial activities. Mr. Hennessy has been a Partner in the firm of Hennessy & Williamson, a project performance consulting firm, New York, NY, since 2004, and since 2011, an advisor to Turnstone Energy Solutions, an energy management company. Previously, Mr. Hennessy was Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Syska Hennessy Group from 1989 to 2004. Mr. Hennessy has been a Director of Con Edison and a Trustee of Con Edison of New York since November 2008. Mr. Hennessy is also Chairman Emeritus of the Board of Directors of the New York Building Congress, Chairman Emeritus of the New York Building Foundation, and Chairman Emeritus of the American Council of Engineering Companies.

Meetings and Board Members Attendance

The Board of Directors held 11 meetings in 2011. At its meetings the Board considers a wide variety of matters involving such things as the Company's strategic planning, its financial condition and results of operations, its capital and operating budgets, personnel matters, succession planning, risk management, industry issues, accounting practices and disclosure, and corporate governance practices.

In accordance with the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Chair of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee (currently Mr. Del Giudice) serves as Lead Director and, as such, chairs the executive sessions of the non-management Directors and the independent Directors. The Company's independent Directors met once in executive session and the non-management Directors met 11 times in executive session during 2011.

During 2011, each incumbent member of the Board attended more than 75 percent of the combined meetings of the Board of Directors and the Board Committees on which he or she served.

Directors are expected to attend the Annual Meeting. All of the current Directors attended the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders.

Corporate Governance

Con Edison's corporate governance documents, including its Corporate Governance Guidelines, the charters of the Audit, Corporate Governance and Nominating, and Management Development and Compensation Committees, and the Code of Ethics are available on the Company's website at www.conedison.com/investor/governance_documents.asp. The Code of Ethics applies to all Directors, officers and employees. Con Edison intends to post on its website at www.conedison.com/investor/governance_documents.asp amendments to its Code of Ethics and a description of any waiver from a provision of the Code of Ethics granted by the Board to any Director or executive officer of Con Edison within four business days after such amendment or waiver.

Leadership Structure

The Company's leadership structure combines the roles of the chairman and chief executive officer. The Board believes that this leadership structure is appropriate for the Company due to Mr. Burke's knowledge of the

Table of Contents

Company and the utility industry, and his extensive engineering, operations and legal experience. The Board consists of a substantial majority of Directors who are independent (see Board Members Independence on pages 18 to 19), the Board routinely holds executive sessions at which only non-management Directors are present, and the independent Directors meet in executive session at least once a year. Pursuant to the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board has oversight responsibility for reviewing the Company's strategic plans, objectives and risks. The Committees of the Board which administer the Board's risk oversight function are chaired by non-management Directors (see Standing Committees of the Board on pages 19 to 22). In addition, the Board has an independent Lead Director who is the Chair of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. The Lead Director: (i) acts as a liaison between the independent Directors and the Company's management; (ii) chairs the executive sessions of non-management and independent Directors and has the authority to call additional executive sessions as appropriate; (iii) chairs Board meetings in the Chairman's absence; (iv) coordinates with the Chairman on agendas and schedules for Board meetings, information flow to the Board, and other matters pertinent to the Company and the Board; and (v) is available for consultation and communication with major stockholders as appropriate.

Risk Oversight

The Board's primary function is one of oversight. In connection with its oversight function, the Board oversees the Company's policies and procedures for managing risk. The Board administers its risk oversight function primarily through its Committees which report to the Board. Board Committees have assumed oversight of various risks that have been identified through the Company's enterprise risk management program. The Audit Committee reviews the Company's risk assessment and risk management policies and the Audit Committee reports to the Board on the Company's risk management program. Management regularly provides reports to the Board and its Committees concerning risks identified through the Company's enterprise risk management program.

Related Person Transactions and Policy

The Company has adopted a written policy for approval of transactions between the Company and its Directors, Director nominees, executive officers, greater-than-five percent beneficial owners, and their respective immediate family members, where the amount involved in the transaction exceeds or is expected to exceed \$100,000 in a single calendar year.

The policy provides that the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee reviews certain transactions subject to the policy and determines whether or not to approve or ratify those transactions. In doing so, the Committee takes into account, among other factors it deems appropriate, whether the transaction is on terms that are no less favorable to the Company than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third-party under the same or similar circumstances and the extent of the related person's interest in the transaction. In addition, the Board has delegated authority to the Chair of the Committee to pre-approve or ratify transactions where the aggregate amount involved is expected to be less than \$1.0 million. A summary of any new transactions pre-approved by the Chair will be provided to the full Committee for its review in connection with a regularly scheduled Committee meeting.

The Committee has considered and adopted standing pre-approvals under the policy for limited transactions with related persons. Pre-approved transactions include:

business transactions with other companies at which a related person's only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive officer), director or less-than-10 percent beneficial owner if the amount of business falls below the thresholds in the New York Stock Exchange's listing standards and the Company's Director independence standards; and

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

contributions to non-profit organizations at which a related person's only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive officer) or director if the aggregate amount involved is less than both \$1.0 million and two percent of the organization's consolidated gross annual revenues.

Table of Contents

At least annually, a summary of new transactions covered by the standing pre-approvals described above will be provided to the Committee for its review.

In 2011, Ms. Futter's brother received approximately \$132,000 for providing legal services to Con Edison of New York and will provide such legal services in 2012. The provision of these services by Ms. Futter's brother was approved by the Committee.

Board Members Independence

The Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that the following Directors are independent as defined in the New York Stock Exchange's listing standards: Mr. Calarco, Dr. Campbell, Mr. Davis, Mr. Del Giudice, Mr. Hennessy, Mr. Killian, Ms. Piñero, Mr. Ranger, and Mr. Sutherland.

To assist it in making determinations of Director independence, the Board has adopted independence standards, which are set forth in its Corporate Governance Guidelines, available on the Company's website at www.conedison.com/investor/pdfs/Guidelines.pdf. Under these standards, the Board has determined that each of the relationships below is categorically immaterial and therefore, by itself, does not preclude a Director from being independent:

- (i) (a) the Director has an immediate family member who is a current employee of the Company's internal or external auditor, but the immediate family member does not personally work on the Company's audit; or (b) the Director or an immediate family member was, within the last three years, a partner or employee of such a firm but no longer works at the firm and did not personally work on the Company's audit within that time;
- (ii) the Director or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, employed at another company where any of the Company's present executive officers at the same time serves or served on that company's compensation committee, but the Director or the Director's immediate family member is not an executive officer of the other company and his or her compensation is not determined or reviewed by that company's compensation committee;
- (iii) the Director is a current employee, or an immediate family member is a current executive officer, of a company that has made payments to, or received payments from, the Company for property or services in any of the last three fiscal years, but the total payments in each year were less than \$1.0 million, or two percent of such other company's consolidated gross revenues, whichever is greater;
- (iv) the Director is a partner or the owner of five percent or more of the voting stock of another company that has made payments to, or received payments from, the Company for property or services in any of the last three fiscal years, but the total payments in each year were less than \$1.0 million, or two percent of such other company's consolidated gross revenues, whichever is greater;
- (v) the Director is a partner, the owner of five percent or more of the voting stock or an executive officer of another company which is indebted to the Company, or to which the Company is indebted, but the total amount of the indebtedness in each of the last three fiscal years was less than \$1.0 million, or two percent of such other company's consolidated gross revenues, whichever is greater; and

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

- (vi) the Director or an immediate family member is a director or an executive officer of a non-profit organization to which the Company has made contributions in any of the last three fiscal years, but the Company's total contributions to the organization in each year were less than \$1.0 million, or two percent of such organization's consolidated gross revenues, whichever is greater.

In assessing independence, the Board considered that during 2011, Dr. Campbell and Mr. Davis were affiliated with organizations that did business with Con Edison. Con Edison made payments to, or received payments from, these organizations for property or services in 2011. However, in each case, the payments made by or to Con Edison were less than one percent of the recipient organization's consolidated gross revenues and fell significantly below the thresholds in paragraphs (iii) and (iv) of Con Edison's Director independence standards disclosed above. In assessing independence, the Board also considered that a non-profit organization,

Table of Contents

of which Dr. Campbell was an executive officer through June 2011, received contributions from Con Edison in 2011 of no more than \$350,000. Con Edison's relationship with such organization predates Dr. Campbell's service on the Board and Dr. Campbell's employment by such organization.

Standing Committees of the Board

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee, composed of four independent Directors (currently Mr. Calarco, Chair, Mr. Del Giudice, Mr. Killian, and Mr. Sutherland), is responsible for the appointment of the independent accountants for the Company, subject to stockholder ratification at the Annual Meeting. If the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is not ratified, the Audit Committee will take this into consideration in the future selection of independent accountants. The Committee meets with the Company's management, including Con Edison of New York's General Auditor, the General Counsel, and the Company's independent accountants, several times a year to discuss internal controls and accounting matters, the Company's financial statements, filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, earnings press releases and the scope and results of the auditing programs of the independent accountants and of Con Edison of New York's internal auditing department. The Committee also oversees the Company's risk assessment and risk management policies, and the Company's management of risks, relating to the Committee's duties and responsibilities that have been identified through the Company's enterprise risk management program. Each member of the Committee is independent as defined in the New York Stock Exchange's listing standards. Con Edison's Board of Directors has determined that each Director on the Audit Committee is an audit committee financial expert as such term is defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K and is independent as such term is defined in Rule 10A-3 under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. The Audit Committee held seven meetings in 2011.

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, composed of four independent Directors (currently Mr. Del Giudice, Chair, Mr. Calarco, Dr. Campbell, and Ms. Piñero), annually evaluates each Director's individual performance when considering whether to nominate the Director for re-election to the Board and is responsible for recommending candidates to fill vacancies on the Board. In addition, the Committee assists with respect to the composition and size of the Board and of all Committees of the Board. The Committee also makes recommendations to the Board as to the compensation of Board members as well as other corporate governance matters, including Board independence criteria and determinations and corporate governance guidelines. Additionally, the Committee oversees the Company's management of risks, relating to the Committee's duties and responsibilities that have been identified through the Company's enterprise risk management program.

All of the members of the Committee are independent as defined in the New York Stock Exchange's listing standards. Con Edison's Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the Board of Directors consists of a substantial majority of Directors who meet the New York Stock Exchange definition of independence, as determined by the Board in accordance with the standards described in the Guidelines under Board Members Independence beginning on page 18. Among its duties, the Committee reviews the skills and characteristics of Director candidates as well as their integrity, judgment, business experience, areas of expertise and availability for service, factors relating to the composition of the Board (including its size and structure) and the Company's principles of diversity.

The Committee has the authority under its charter to hire advisors to assist it in its decisions. The Committee retains a professional search firm to assist it in identifying director candidates. The search firm assists the Committee in developing criteria for potential Board members to

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

complement the Board's existing strengths. Based on such criteria, the firm also provides the Committee, for its review and consideration, lists of potential candidates with background information. After consulting with the Committee, the firm further screens and interviews candidates as directed by the Committee to determine their qualifications, interest and any potential conflicts of interest and provides its results to the Committee. The Committee also considers candidates

Table of Contents

recommended by stockholders. There are no differences in the manner in which the Committee will evaluate candidates recommended by stockholders. The Committee will make an initial determination as to whether a particular candidate meets the Company's criteria for Board membership, and will then further consider candidates that do. Stockholder recommendations for candidates, accompanied by biographical material for evaluation, may be sent to the Vice President and Corporate Secretary of the Company. Each recommendation should include information as to the qualifications of the candidate and should be accompanied by a written statement (presented to the Vice President and Corporate Secretary of the Company) from the suggested candidate to the effect that the candidate is willing to serve.

The Committee has also retained Mercer (US) Inc. (Mercer) to provide information, analyses, and objective advice regarding director compensation. The Committee directs Mercer to: (i) assist the Committee by providing competitive market information on the design of the director compensation program, (ii) advise the Committee on the design of the director compensation program and also provide advice on the administration of the program, and (iii) brief the Committee on director compensation trends among the Company's compensation peer group and broader industry. The chief executive officer is the Chairman of the Board and together with the other Board members considers the recommendations of the Committee. The decisions may reflect factors and considerations in addition to the information and advice provided by Mercer.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee held three meetings in 2011.

Environment, Health and Safety Committee

The Environment, Health and Safety Committee, composed of four non-management Directors (currently Ms. Futter, Chair, Mr. Davis, Mr. Hennessy, and Ms. Piñero), provides advice and counsel to the Company's management on corporate environment, health and safety policies and on such other environment, health and safety matters as from time-to-time the Committee deems appropriate, reviews significant issues relating to the Company's environment, health and safety programs and its compliance with environment, health and safety laws and regulations, and makes such other reviews and recommends to the Board such other actions as it may deem necessary or desirable to help promote sound planning by the Company with due regard to the protection of the environment, health and safety. Additionally, the Committee oversees the Company's management of risks, relating to the Committee's duties and responsibilities that have been identified through the Company's enterprise risk management program. The Environment, Health and Safety Committee held four meetings in 2011.

Executive Committee

The Executive Committee, composed of Mr. Burke, the Chairman of the Board and of the Committee, and three non-management Directors (currently Mr. Calarco, Mr. Del Giudice, and Mr. McGrath), may exercise, during intervals between the meetings of the Board, all the powers vested in the Board, except for certain specified matters. No meetings of the Executive Committee were held in 2011.

Finance Committee

The Finance Committee, composed of four independent Directors (currently Mr. Sutherland, Chair, Mr. Davis, Mr. Hennessy, and Mr. Ranger), reviews and makes recommendations to the Board with respect to the Company's financial condition and policies, capital and operating budgets, financial forecasts, major contracts and real estate transactions, financings, investments, bank credit arrangements, its dividend policy, strategic business plan and litigation and other financial matters. Additionally, the Committee oversees the Company's management of risks, relating to

the Committee's duties and responsibilities that have been identified through the Company's enterprise risk management program. The Finance Committee held eight meetings in 2011.

Table of Contents

Management Development and Compensation Committee

The Management Development and Compensation Committee, composed of four independent Directors (currently Dr. Campbell, Chair, Mr. Calarco, Mr. Del Giudice, and Mr. Killian), makes recommendations to the Board relating to officer and senior management appointments. The Committee also establishes and oversees the Company's executive compensation and benefit plans and policies, administers its equity plans and annual incentive plan and reviews and approves annually all compensation relating to the Named Executive Officers under the Company's compensation program. Additionally, the Committee oversees the Company's management of risks, relating to the Committee's duties and responsibilities that have been identified through the Company's enterprise risk management program.

The Committee has the authority, under its charter, to engage the services of outside advisors, experts and others to assist it. The Committee engages Mercer to provide information, analyses, and objective advice regarding executive compensation. The Committee directs Mercer to: (i) assist the Committee in the development and assessment of the compensation peer group for the purposes of providing competitive market information for the design of the compensation program, (ii) compare the Company's chief executive officer's base salary, annual incentive and long-term incentive compensation to that of the chief executive officers of the identified compensation peer group and broader industry, (iii) advise the Committee on the officers' base salaries and target award levels within the annual and long-term incentive plans, (iv) advise the Committee on the design of the Company's annual and long-term incentive plans and also provide advice on the administration of the plans, (v) brief the Committee on executive compensation trends among the Company's compensation peer group and broader industry, and (vi) assist with the preparation of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis Report for this Proxy Statement. The Committee held six meetings in 2011, of which Mercer attended five.

For a discussion of the role of the Committee and information about the Company's processes and procedures for the consideration and determination of executive compensation, see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis Report beginning on page 28 of the Proxy Statement.

In addition, the Committee also reviews and makes recommendations as necessary to provide for orderly succession and transition in the executive management of the Company and receives reports and makes recommendations with respect to minority and female recruitment, employment and promotion. It also oversees and makes recommendations to the Board with respect to compliance with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), and reviews and makes recommendations with respect to benefit plans and plan amendments, the selection of plan trustees and the funding policy and contributions to the funded plans, and reviews the performance of the funded plans. Each of the members of the Committee is independent as defined in the New York Stock Exchange's listing standards, meets the outside director criteria of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, and the Non-Employee Director criteria of Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Operations Oversight Committee

The Operations Oversight Committee, composed of five non-management Directors (currently Mr. McGrath, Chair, Dr. Campbell, Ms. Futter, Mr. Hennessy, and Mr. Killian), oversees the Company's operation of its electric, gas and steam delivery systems and their impact on the customer. Additionally, the Committee oversees the Company's management of risks, relating to the Committee's duties and responsibilities that have been identified through the Company's enterprise risk management program. The Operations Oversight Committee held five meetings in 2011.

Planning Committee

The Planning Committee, composed of five non-management Directors (currently Ms. Piñero, Chair, Mr. Davis, Ms. Futter, Mr. McGrath, and Mr. Ranger), reviews and makes recommendations to the Board

Table of Contents

regarding long-range planning for the Company. Additionally, the Committee oversees the Company's management of risks, relating to the Committee's duties and responsibilities that have been identified through the Company's enterprise risk management program. The Planning Committee held three meetings in 2011.

Compensation Consultant Fee Disclosure

The Management Development and Compensation Committee has retained Mercer, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Marsh & McLennan, to assist the Committee with its responsibilities related to the Company's executive and Director compensation programs. Mercer's fees for executive and Director compensation consulting to the Committee in 2011 were approximately \$235,000.

During 2011, the Company retained Marsh & McLennan affiliates to provide services, unrelated to executive compensation. These services were approved by the Company's management. The aggregate fees paid for these other services, which include litigation and economic consulting, and a corporate membership for safety professionals, were approximately \$467,000.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Mr. Calarco, Dr. Campbell (Chair), Mr. Del Giudice and Mr. Killian were on Con Edison's Management Development and Compensation Committee during 2011. The Company believes that there are no interlocks with the members who serve on this Committee.

Communications with the Board of Directors

Interested parties may communicate directly with the members of the Board of Directors, including the non-management Directors as a group, by writing to them, care of the Company's Vice President and Corporate Secretary, Con Edison, 4 Irving Place, New York, New York 10003. The Vice President and Corporate Secretary will forward all communications to the Director or the Directors indicated.

Table of Contents

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Those members of the Board who are not employees of the Company or its subsidiaries were paid an annual retainer of \$75,000. The Lead Director received an additional annual retainer of \$20,000. Effective April 1, 2012, each non-employee member of the Board received an annual retainer of \$90,000 and the Lead Director received an additional annual retainer of \$35,000.

In 2011, the Chairs of the Environment, Health and Safety, Finance, Operations Oversight and Planning Committees each received an additional annual retainer of \$5,000. The Chairs of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and Management Development and Compensation Committee each received an additional annual retainer of \$10,000. The Audit Committee Chair received an additional annual retainer of \$20,000, and each Audit Committee member received an additional annual retainer of \$10,000 and a fee of \$2,000 for each meeting of the Audit Committee attended. Members of the other Committees of the Board or of the Boards of its subsidiaries received a fee of \$1,500 for each meeting of a Committee attended. The Acting Chair of any Board Committee, at meetings where the regular Chair is absent, was paid an additional meeting fee of \$200 for any Committee meeting at which he or she presided.

In 2011, the Company reimbursed Board members who were not currently officers of the Company for reasonable expenses incurred in attending Board and Committee meetings. No person who served on both the Con Edison Board and on the Board of its subsidiary, Con Edison of New York, and corresponding Committees, was paid additional compensation for concurrent service. Members of the Board who were officers of the Company or its subsidiaries received no retainer or meeting fees for their service on the Board.

The Company has stock ownership guidelines for Directors under which each Director is to own shares with a value equal to three times the annual director retainer (not including committee and/or committee chair fees) paid to such Director during the previous fiscal year.

Members of the Board participate in the long term incentive plan. Pursuant to the long term incentive plan, each non-management Director was allocated an annual award of \$90,000 of deferred stock units following the 2011 Annual Meeting. Effective April 1, 2012, on the first business day following each annual meeting, each non-management Director will be allocated an annual award of \$105,000 of deferred stock units. If a non-management Director was first appointed to the Board after an annual meeting, his or her first annual award will be pro rated. Settlement of the 2011 annual awards of stock units were deferred until the Director's termination of service from the Board of Directors. Each Director may elect to defer all or a portion of his or her 2011 retainers and meeting fees into additional deferred stock units, which are deferred until the Director's termination of service. Dividend equivalents are payable on 2011 deferred stock units in the amount and at the time that dividends are paid on Company Common Stock and are credited in the form of additional deferred stock units which are fully vested as of the date the dividends would have been paid to the Director or, at the Director's option, are paid in cash. All payments on account of deferred stock units will be made in shares of Company Common Stock. The long term incentive plan provides that cash compensation deferred into stock units, the annual stock unit awards, and the dividend equivalents granted to non-management Directors that are credited in the form of additional deferred stock units, are fully vested, and payable in a single one-time payment of whole shares (rounded to the nearest whole share) within sixty days following separation from Board service.

Directors are eligible to participate in the stock purchase plan, which is described in Note M to the financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents**Director Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth the compensation for the members of the Company's Board of Directors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Director Compensation

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	All Other Compensation ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Total (\$)
Kevin Burke ⁽³⁾				
Vincent A. Calarco	\$ 130,000	\$ 90,000		\$ 220,000
George Campbell, Jr.	\$ 112,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 10,500	\$ 212,500
Gordon J. Davis	\$ 97,700	\$ 90,000	\$ 8,000	\$ 195,700
Michael J. Del Giudice	\$ 153,000	\$ 90,000		\$ 243,000
Ellen V. Futter	\$ 99,500	\$ 90,000	\$ 15,000 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 204,500
John F. Hennessy III	\$ 103,500	\$ 90,000		\$ 193,500
John F. Killian	\$ 129,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 10,500	\$ 229,500
Eugene R. McGrath	\$ 95,000	\$ 90,000		\$ 185,000
Sally H. Piñero	\$ 95,000	\$ 90,000		\$ 185,000
Michael W. Ranger	\$ 97,500	\$ 90,000		\$ 187,500
L. Frederick Sutherland	\$ 122,000	\$ 90,000		\$ 212,000

Footnotes:

- (1) On May 17, 2011, each of the Directors, except Mr. Burke, received a grant of 1,687 stock units. The stock units were valued at \$53.35 per share and are the equivalent of \$90,000. The stock units are fully vested at the time of grant. Pursuant to the Company's long term incentive plan, and as indicated in Note M to the financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, the stock units are valued in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.
- (2) This column includes matching contributions made by the Company to qualified educational institutions under its matching gift program. All directors and employees are eligible to participate in this program. The Company matches up to a total of \$10,500 per eligible participant to qualified educational institutions per calendar year. Gifts of up to \$3,000 are matched by the Company on a two-for-one basis and gifts that are greater than \$3,000 are matched by the Company on a one-for-one basis (up to the \$7,500 maximum).
- (3) Mr. Burke did not receive any director compensation because he is an officer of the Company and only non-management Directors receive director compensation.
- (4) Includes amounts matched by the Company in 2010 and paid in 2011.

Table of Contents**BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES****Directors and Executive Officers**

The following table includes information, as of February 29, 2012, with respect to the beneficial ownership of shares of the Company by each Director, each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table, and by all Directors and executive officers of the Company as a group. Each executive officer and member of the Board held his or her shares with sole voting power and sole investment power, except for the restricted stock units and deferred stock units (the holders of which have no voting rights or investment power) and shares as to which voting power, or investment power, or both, were shared with a spouse or a relative of such person. As of February 29, 2012, such ownership was, in each case, less than one percent of the outstanding 292,931,898 shares.

Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership ⁽¹⁾	Other Stock- Based Holdings ⁽²⁾	Total Number of Shares
Vincent A. Calarco	19,166		19,166
George Campbell, Jr.	20,290	7,113	27,403
Gordon J. Davis	24,165		24,165
Michael J. Del Giudice	28,841		28,841
Ellen V. Futter	15,567	6,000	21,567
John F. Hennessy III	6,028		6,028
John F. Killian	3,360	4,829	8,189
Eugene R. McGrath	60,696		60,696
Sally H. Piñero	24,806	1,500	26,306
Michael W. Ranger	16,505		16,505
L. Frederick Sutherland	20,537		20,537
Kevin Burke	231,479	25,936	257,415
Robert Hoglund	19,116	15,000	34,116
Craig Ivey	7,853		7,853
William Longhi	24,264		24,264
Elizabeth D. Moore	1,331		1,331
Directors and Executive Officers as a group, including the above-named persons (22 persons)	626,424	65,956	692,380

Footnotes:

- (1) Includes for the Directors, stock units that were deferred until the respective Director's separation from the Board of Directors (DSUs): Mr. Calarco 18,766; Dr. Campbell 15,015; Mr. Davis 24,163; Mr. Del Giudice 27,324; Ms. Futter 13,621; Mr. Hennessy 6,028; Mr. Killian 3,360; Mr. McGrath 13,170; Ms. Piñero 24,468; Mr. Ranger 16,505; and Mr. Sutherland 16,537. Includes for the Named Executive Officers vested restricted stock units the receipt of which the officer deferred until the officer's separation from the Company, for: Mr. Burke restricted stock units: 142,528; Mr. Hoglund restricted stock units: 15,000; Mr. Ivey restricted stock units: 0; Mr. Longhi restricted stock units: 13,532; and Ms. Moore restricted stock units: 0. These officers had no other restricted stock units that were to vest, and no exercisable or unexercisable stock options that were to become exercisable, within 60 days of February 29, 2012.
- (2) Represents shares, stock units or DSUs that have been deferred more than 60 days after February 29, 2012.

Table of Contents

The following table provides, as of December 31, 2011, information with respect to persons who are known to the Company to beneficially own more than five percent of Company Common Stock:

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class
State Street Corporation	18,445,649 ⁽¹⁾	6.3%
State Street Financial Center		
One Lincoln Street		
Boston, MA 02111		
BlackRock, Inc.	16,119,297 ⁽²⁾	5.5%
40 East 52nd Street		
New York, NY 10022		

Footnotes:

- (1) State Street Corporation stated in its Schedule 13G, filed on February 9, 2012 with the Securities and Exchange Commission, that it has shared voting and dispositive power for 18,445,649 shares of Company Common Stock.
- (2) BlackRock, Inc. stated in its Schedule 13G, filed on February 9, 2012 with the Securities and Exchange Commission, that it has sole voting and dispositive power for 16,119,297 shares of Company Common Stock.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Directors and executive officers of the Company to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership of the equity securities of the Company and its subsidiaries with the Securities and Exchange Commission and to furnish copies of these reports to the Company, within specified time limits. Based upon its review of the reports furnished to the Company for 2011 pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Act, the Company believes that all of the reports were filed on a timely basis, except for one report, which was filed late: for Michael W. Ranger (Director), relating to 371 deferred stock units acquired pursuant to a voluntary deferral of meeting and retainer fees on December 30, 2011.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Company's Audit Committee consisted of four independent Directors in 2011. Each member of the Audit Committee meets the qualifications required by the New York Stock Exchange and Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the audited financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2011. The Audit Committee has also discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC), the Company's independent public accountants, the matters required to be discussed under the rules adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB).

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from PwC required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding PwC's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence. The Audit Committee has discussed with PwC its independence and qualifications. The Audit Committee also has considered whether the provision of limited non-audit services to the Company is compatible with the independence of PwC and concluded that it was.

Based on the Audit Committee's review and discussions, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Company's audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Audit Committee:

Vincent A. Calarco (Chair)

Michael J. Del Giudice

John F. Killian

L. Frederick Sutherland

Table of Contents**Fees Paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP**

Fees paid or payable to PwC for services related to 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	2011	2010
Audit Fees	\$ 4,016,555	\$ 4,523,348
Audit-Related Fees ^(a)	\$ 727,446	\$ 71,823
Tax Fees	\$	\$
All Other Fees	\$	\$
TOTAL FEES	\$ 4,744,001	\$ 4,595,171

Footnote:

- (a) Relates to assurance and related service fees that are reasonably related to the performance of the annual audit or quarterly reviews of the Company's financial statements that are not specifically deemed Audit Services. The major items included in Audit-Related Fees in 2011 are fees for a review of the Company's implementation of a new financial and supply-chain enterprise resource planning system, and for compliance audits of certain smart electric grid projects for which the Company is receiving grants from the U.S. Department of Energy, and in 2010 fees for a review of the Company's enterprise risk management program.

The Audit Committee, or as delegated by the Audit Committee, the Chair of the Committee, approves in advance each auditing service and non-audit service permitted by applicable laws and regulations, including tax services, to be provided to the Company and its subsidiaries by its independent accountants.

Table of Contents

**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION REPORT OF THE MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT AND
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE**

The Management Development and Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company (the Committee) is composed of four independent Directors. The Committee establishes, reviews and administers the Company's executive compensation program for the Chief Executive Officer and the other members of senior management, including the Named Executive Officers listed on the Summary Compensation Table, and determines the compensation of such officers.

The Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis Report (the CD&A) for 2011 with management of the Company. Based on this review and discussion, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the CD&A be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and this Proxy Statement.

Management Development and Compensation Committee:

George Campbell, Jr. (Chair)

Vincent A. Calarco

Michael J. Del Giudice

John F. Killian

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS REPORT

Introduction

This section of the proxy statement provides management's discussion and analysis of the Company's 2011 executive compensation program (the compensation program). The compensation program covers the Company's officers, including the Named Executive Officers. The discussion and analysis addresses:

- I. Executive summary of the compensation program;
- II. Compensation program overview, philosophy and objectives;
- III. Role of the Committee and others in determining executive compensation;

IV. Committee actions with respect to executive compensation;

V. Retirement and other benefits;

VI. Stock ownership guidelines;

VII. Recoupment policy; and

VIII. Tax deductibility of pay.

I. Executive Summary of the Compensation Program

The Company's compensation program is designed to assist in attracting and retaining key executives critical to the Company's long-term success, and to motivate these executives to create value for its stockholders and to provide safe, reliable, and efficient service for its customers. The Committee seeks to provide base salary, and performance-based variable compensation, including target annual cash incentive compensation and target long-term equity-based incentive compensation, that is competitive with the median level of compensation provided by the Company's compensation peer group (see "Compensation Peer Group" on page 32).

The Committee believes that variable compensation should represent the most significant portion of each Named Executive Officer's target total direct compensation to motivate strong annual and multi-year Company performance. Additionally, the Committee believes that most of the variable compensation should be in the form of long-term, rather than annual incentives, to emphasize the importance of sustained Company performance. Each year, the Committee evaluates the level of compensation and the mix of base and variable compensation of

Table of Contents

each Named Executive Officer to ensure that it meets the Committee's objectives and is competitive with levels of compensation of the compensation peer group.

Key features of the compensation program include:

Base salary: recognizes individual responsibility and performance.

Variable compensation:

- i Annual incentive compensation: recognizes achievement of financial and operating objectives for which the Named Executive Officers have individual and collective responsibility.
- i Long-term incentive compensation: recognizes achievement, over a three-year period, of financial and operating objectives critical to the performance of the Company's business and its total shareholder return relative to its compensation peer group.

The following governance features assist in aligning the compensation program with the long-term interests of the Company's stockholders:

The Committee retains a compensation consultant to provide information, analyses, and objective advice regarding executive compensation, and to annually evaluate the Company's compensation-related risk management (see "Compensation Policies and Practices as They Relate to the Company's Risk Management" on page 43);

The Company's compensation recoupment policy applies to all officers of the Company and its subsidiaries, and is intended to reduce potential risks associated with our compensation program, and align the long-term interests of the officers and stockholders (see "Recoupment Policy" on page 42); and

Stock ownership guidelines for the Named Executive Officers and certain senior officers encourage a long-term commitment to the Company's sustained performance and align the interests of the senior officers with stockholders (see "Stock Ownership Guidelines" on page 42).

In 2011, the Company held a "say-on-pay" vote to approve the Company's Named Executive Officer compensation, as set forth in the 2011 proxy statement, and 92.04% of the shares voted were voted "for" the proposal. The Committee considered the results of the vote and continued to apply the same compensation program, philosophy and objectives in determining the amounts and types of Named Executive Officer compensation. In 2011, the Company also held a "frequency-of-say-on-pay" vote. The Company's stockholders indicated a preference for annual "say-on-pay" votes to approve the Company's named executive officer compensation, with 98.22% of the shares voted voting "for" the proposal. The Company will follow that preference and conduct annual "say-on-pay" votes.

II. Compensation Program Overview, Philosophy and Objectives

A. Compensation Program Overview

The compensation program consists of the following components:

Base salary;

Annual incentive compensation;

Long-term incentive compensation;

Retirement and welfare benefits; and

Perquisites and personal benefits.

The Company's Named Executive Officers are:

Kevin Burke, Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer;

Robert Hoglund, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer;

Table of Contents

Craig Ivey, President of Con Edison of New York;

William Longhi, President and Chief Executive Officer, Orange & Rockland; and

Elizabeth D. Moore, General Counsel.

B. Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The Committee has established the following philosophy and objectives to govern the development and implementation of the Company's compensation program. There are no material differences in the Company's compensation policies for each Named Executive Officer.

(i) Competitive Positioning Attraction and Retention

The Company's compensation program is designed to assist in attracting and retaining key executives critical to the Company's long-term success. The Committee seeks to provide base salary, target annual incentive awards, and target long-term incentive award values that are competitive with levels of compensation provided by the Company's compensation peer group. The Company also seeks to provide retirement and welfare benefits, perquisites, and personal benefits that are competitive with those provided by the compensation peer group.

In 2011, the Named Executive Officers' target total direct compensation compared to the Company's compensation peer group median was as follows:

Principal Position	Company Target Compensation as a Percentage of Peer Group Median Target			
	Base Salary	Target Total Cash Compensation (Base Salary + Target Annual Incentive)	Target Long-Term Incentive Compensation	Target Total Direct Compensation
Chief Executive Officer	97%	94%	90%	89%
Other Named Executive Officers (Average) ⁽¹⁾	95%	89%	83%	83%

Footnote:

(1) Based on comparisons to compensation for functionally comparable positions at the Company's compensation peer group companies for the positions held by the chief financial officer, the president of Con Edison of New York, the president and chief executive officer of Orange & Rockland, and the general counsel.

(ii) Pay-Performance Alignment and Target Total Direct Compensation Mix

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

The Company's compensation program is designed to motivate its officers to create value for its stockholders and provide safe, reliable and efficient service for its customers. The Committee seeks to ensure that the target total direct compensation of each Named Executive Officer is balanced appropriately between base salary (fixed compensation) and annual incentive and long-term incentive compensation (variable compensation). The Committee believes that fixed compensation should recognize each Named Executive Officer's individual responsibility and performance. The Committee believes that variable compensation should represent the most significant portion of each Named Executive Officer's target total direct compensation to motivate strong annual and multi-year performance. The Committee also believes that most of the total variable compensation targeted to each Named Executive Officer should be in the form of long-term, rather than annual, incentives, to emphasize the importance of sustained Company performance. The target annual incentive and target long-term incentive grants made to each Named Executive Officer by the Committee reflect the Committee's desired balance between these elements, relative to the base salary paid to each executive. Awards under the Company's annual incentive plan are based on achieving financial and operating objectives critical to the performance of the Company's businesses. Awards of performance restricted stock units under the Company's long-term incentive plan are based on achieving financial and operating objectives and the Company's total shareholder return relative to the total shareholder return for the Company's compensation peer group over a three-year period.

Table of Contents

As shown below for 2011, the mix of target total direct compensation for the Named Executive Officers meets the Committee's objectives: each is weighted heavily towards performance-based variable compensation, with the largest portion delivered in long-term incentives. As each element of compensation for the chief executive officer and the other Named Executive Officers as a group is set at median levels for chief executive officers and other named executive officers (based on comparisons to compensation for functionally comparable positions) of the compensation peer group, we believe the target total direct compensation mix of the Named Executive Officers is in line with that of the compensation peer group.

The following charts illustrate the average mix of target total direct compensation for the chief executive officer and for chief executive officers in our compensation peer group for 2011:

The following charts illustrate the average mix of target total direct compensation for the other Named Executive Officers and other named executive officers (based on comparisons to compensation for functionally comparable positions) in our compensation peer group for 2011:

III. Role of the Committee and Others in Determining Executive Compensation

A. Committee's Role

The role of the Committee is to establish and oversee the Company's executive compensation and benefit plans and policies, administer its equity plans and annual incentive plan and review and approve annually all compensation relating to the Named Executive Officers. All of the decisions with respect to determining the amount or form of compensation of the Named Executive Officers under the Company's compensation program are made by the Committee.

B. Management's Role

The role of the Company's chief executive officer with respect to determining the amount or form of the compensation of the other Named Executive Officers is to provide his recommendations to the Committee. The

Table of Contents

chief executive officer is not present when the Committee determines his compensation. The chief executive officer considers the following in making his recommendations:

Individual performance of the other Named Executive Officers;

The other Named Executive Officer's contribution towards the Company's long-term performance;

The scope of their individual responsibilities; and

Compensation peer group proxy data provided by the Committee's compensation consultant.

The Company's Human Resources department also supports the Committee in its work.

C. Compensation Consultant's Role

The Committee has the authority under its charter to hire advisors to assist it in its compensation decisions. It has retained Mercer as its compensation consultant to provide information, analyses, and objective advice regarding executive compensation. The Committee periodically meets with Mercer in executive session to discuss compensation matters. The Committee's decisions reflect factors and considerations in addition to the information and advice provided by Mercer. A discussion of Mercer's role as the Committee's compensation consultant is set forth in the section titled "Standing Committees of the Board" on pages 19 to 22.

IV. Committee Actions with Respect to Executive Compensation

A. Compensation Peer Group

For 2011, the Committee used a compensation peer group of 20 publicly-traded utility companies of comparable size and scope to that of the Company. The companies in the compensation peer group have revenues that range from approximately 50 percent below to approximately 30 percent above the revenues of the Company. The purpose of the compensation peer group, which is reviewed annually by the Committee, is to provide benchmark information on compensation levels provided to the Company's officers, as well as measuring relative total shareholder returns for the vesting of performance restricted stock unit awards.

For 2011, the Company's compensation peer group consisted of the following companies:

Ameren Corporation
American Electric Power Company, Inc.

FirstEnergy Corp.
NextEra Energy, Inc.

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

CenterPoint Energy, Inc.
Constellation Energy Group, Inc.
Dominion Resources, Inc.
DTE Energy Company
Duke Energy Corporation
Edison International
Entergy Corporation
Exelon Corporation

NiSource Inc.
Pepco Holdings, Inc.
PG&E Corporation
PPL Corporation
Progress Energy, Inc.
Sempra Energy
The Southern Company
Xcel Energy Inc.

B. Base Salary

A portion of each officer's annual cash compensation is paid in the form of base salary. Base salary is reviewed on an annual basis to recognize individual performance, as well as at the time of a promotion or other change in responsibilities.

In setting base salary for the Company's Named Executive Officers, including the chief executive officer, the Committee considers various factors, including:

Recommendations from the chief executive officer for the other Named Executive Officers;

Table of Contents

A general assessment of each Named Executive Officer's performance of his or her responsibilities; and

The level of base salary compared to executives holding equivalent positions in the Company's compensation peer group.

Effective March 1, 2011, base salary merit increases for the Named Executive Officers as a group increased by an average of 3.7 percent to align the Named Executive Officers' base salaries with the market median for equivalent positions in the Company's compensation peer group. The 2011 base salary of each Named Executive Officer is set forth in the "Salary" column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 44.

C. Annual Incentive Compensation

(i) Awards

A significant portion of the annual cash compensation paid to the officers is directly related to the Company's financial and operating performance, factors that the Committee believes influence stockholder value.

Individual performance is taken into consideration in setting annual incentive compensation through the establishment by the Committee of financial and operating objectives for which the Named Executive Officers have individual and collective responsibility.

(ii) Potential Awards

For 2011, the Committee set the range of the award that each Named Executive Officer was eligible to receive under the annual incentive plan after considering various factors, including:

Recommendations from the chief executive officer for the other Named Executive Officers;

A general assessment of each Named Executive Officer's performance of his or her responsibilities; and

The level of annual incentive compensation compared to executives holding functionally comparable positions in the Company's compensation peer group.

The range of awards included threshold, target and maximum levels reflecting differing levels of achievement of the various financial and operating objectives. Awards are scaled to reflect relative levels of achievement of the objectives between the threshold, target and maximum levels. The range of each Named Executive Officer's potential award is set forth on the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 45. Awards under the annual incentive plan are designed to provide a competitive level of compensation if the officers achieve the target financial and operating objectives. Over the past three years, the aggregate actual awards to the Named Executive Officers ranged from 105.5 percent to 156.7 percent of aggregate target annual incentive awards. Pursuant to the terms of the annual incentive plan, the Committee has the discretion to

adjust (upward or downward) the annual incentive awards to be paid to an officer.

Awards under the annual incentive plan are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Base Salary} \times \text{Target Percentage} \times \text{Weighting Earned}$$

Base Salary is the annual base salary of the officer as of the end of the year to which the annual incentive award relates, and is determined as discussed under the caption *Base Salary* on pages 32 to 33.

Target Percentage is a percentage of base salary that varies based on an officer's position. The chief executive officer's target is 100 percent; the target for the president of Con Edison of New York and the president and chief executive officer of Orange & Rockland is 80 percent; and the target for the chief financial officer and the general counsel is 50 percent.

Table of Contents

Weighting Earned is the sum of the weightings earned for the financial and operating objectives. For each officer, target weightings totaling 100 percent are assigned to each of the officer's objectives. Weightings earned reflect achievement of the objectives and may vary from zero to 200 percent. If actual results are between performance targets, weightings earned are interpolated.

(iii) Financial Objectives

The financial objectives under the annual incentive plan were selected as those most indicative of the Company's success during the year. For 2011, the financial objectives consisted of adjusted net income and other financial performance components.

The *adjusted net income* component, reflecting the financial results of the Company's business for which its officers are responsible and accounting for 50 percent of each Named Executive Officer's potential annual incentive award, was: the adjusted Company net income, for the chief executive officer, the chief financial officer and the general counsel; the adjusted regulated net income (the total adjusted net income for Con Edison of New York and Orange & Rockland) for the president of Con Edison of New York; and, 70 percent of Orange & Rockland's adjusted net income and 30 percent of Con Edison of New York's adjusted net income for the president and chief executive officer of Orange & Rockland. Adjusted net income excludes the net mark-to-market effects of the Company's competitive energy businesses and any extraordinary non-recurring items identified by the Company after the performance target had been determined. No non-recurring items were excluded from adjusted net income with respect to the 2011 annual incentive awards.

2011 target adjusted net income and actual adjusted net income were:

	Target	Actual	Performance Relative to Targets
	(in millions)		
Adjusted Company net income	\$ 1,037.6	\$ 1,064.1	102.6%
Adjusted Con Edison of New York net income	\$ 962.3	\$ 978.1	101.6%
Adjusted Orange & Rockland net income	\$ 46.5	\$ 53.2	114.4%
Adjusted Regulated net income	\$ 1,008.8	\$ 1,031.3	102.2%

If actual adjusted net income for 2011 had been less than or equal to 90 percent of the target adjusted net income, no annual incentive awards would have been made.

The weightings earned for the 50 percent adjusted net income component were determined based upon the following scale:

Performance Relative to Targets	Weighting Earned
≥ 110%	100%
107.5%	87.5%
105%	75.0%
102.5%	62.5%

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

(Target) 100%	50.0%
97.5%	37.5%
95%	25.0%
< 92.5%	12.5%
£ 90%	0%

The *other financial performance* component, reflecting the responsibilities of the Named Executive Officer and accounting for 20 percent of each Named Executive Officer's potential annual incentive award, as

Table of Contents

shown on the Achievement of 2011 Financial and Operating Objectives table on page 37, was comprised of one or more of the Con Edison of New York and Orange & Rockland budgets, or objectives for the competitive energy businesses relating to operations and maintenance expense, capital expenditures, dividend payout and value at risk exposure. Effective January 1, 2011, the Committee changed Con Edison of New York's other financial performance component from 20 percent operating budget performance to 10 percent capital budget performance and 10 percent operating budget performance, subject to a 25 percent upward or downward adjustment based on certain performance criteria.

2011 target budgets and actual expenditures were:

	Target	Actual	Weightings	Performance Relative to Targets
	(in millions)			
Con Edison of New York				
Operating Budget	\$ 1,316.5	\$ 1,265.5	10%	96.1%
Capital Budget	\$ 1,799.0	\$ 1,737.0	10%	96.6%
Orange & Rockland				
Operating Budget	\$ 204.4	\$ 192.7	20%	94.3%

Weightings earned for the other financial performance component are based on the Company's business for which each Named Executive Officer is responsible. For the chief executive officer, chief financial officer, and the general counsel other financial performance weighting earned is allocated 10 percent for Con Edison of New York's capital budget, eight percent for Con Edison of New York's operating budget, one percent for Orange & Rockland's operating budget, and one percent for the competitive energy businesses' objectives. For the president of Con Edison of New York, other financial performance weighting earned is 10 percent for each of Con Edison of New York's operating budget and capital budget. For the president and chief executive officer of Orange & Rockland, other financial performance weighting earned is 20 percent for Orange & Rockland's operating budget.

The weightings earned for Con Edison of New York's and Orange & Rockland's other financial performance component were determined based upon the following scales:

Con Edison of New York Performance Relative to	Weighting Earned for the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, and the General Counsel	Weighting Earned for the President of Con Edison of New York
Operating Budget Target		
£93.75%	16.0%	20%
95.00%	14.4%	18%
96.25%	12.8%	16%
97.50%	11.2%	14%
98.75%	9.6%	12%
(Target) 100%	8.0%	10%
101.25%	6.4%	8%
102.50%	4.8%	6%
103.75%	3.2%	4%
105.00%	1.6%	2%
³106.25%	0%	0%

Table of Contents

Con Edison of New York	Weighting Earned for the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer,	
Performance Relative to	the Chief Financial Officer, the President of Con Edison of New York, and the	
Capital Budget Target	General Counsel	
£95.00%		20%
96.00%		18%
97.00%		16%
98.00%		14%
99.00%		12%
(Target) 100%		10%
101.00%		8%
102.00%		6%
103.00%		4%
104.00%		2%
³ 105.00%		0%
Orange & Rockland		
Performance Relative to	Weighting Earned for the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, and the General Counsel	Weighting Earned for the President and Chief Executive Officer of Orange & Rockland
Operating Budget Target		
£ 93.75%	2.0%	40%
95.00%	1.8%	36%
96.25%	1.6%	32%
97.50%	1.4%	28%
98.75%	1.2%	24%
(Target) 100%	1.0%	20%
101.25%	0.8%	16%
102.50%	0.6%	12%
103.75%	0.4%	8%
105.00%	0.2%	4%
³ 106.25%	0%	0%

(iv) Operating Objectives

The operating objectives, reflecting the responsibilities of the Named Executive Officers and accounting for 30 percent of each Named Executive Officer's potential annual incentive award, were designed to encourage sustained or improved performance regarding specific matters that are important to day-to-day operations of the Company's businesses. There were numerous objectives for:

Employee safety;

Electric, gas and steam system performance;

Customer service;

Environmental performance; and

Employee development.

For the competitive energy businesses, there were other objectives including gross margins, retail sales and collections, and financial, regulatory controls, and business development goals.

The operating objectives chosen represent a number of key safety and performance indicators that guide the Company to serve its customers in a safe, effective, and efficient manner. These measures are recognized across the Company's compensation peer group and industry.

Table of Contents**(v) Achievement of 2011 Financial and Operating Objectives**

The following table shows, for each Named Executive Officer, the target weightings assigned to the financial and operating objectives and the weightings earned based on achievement of those objectives. The weightings of the Company's subsidiaries for the chief executive officer, the chief financial officer, the president of Con Edison of New York, the president and chief executive officer of Orange & Rockland, and the general counsel were based on each subsidiary's relative contribution to the Company's net income.

Objectives	Chief Executive Officer		Chief Financial Officer		President of Con Edison of New York		President and Chief Executive Officer of Orange & Rockland		General Counsel	
	Target	Earned	Target	Earned	Target	Earned	Target	Earned	Target	Earned
Net Income										
Adjusted net income	50%	62.8%	50%	62.8%	50%	61.2%	50%	87.5%	50%	62.8%
Other Financial										
Con Edison of New York ⁽¹⁾										
Operating Budget	8%	14.9%	8%	14.9%	10%	18.6%			8%	14.9%
Capital Budget	10%	19.8%	10%	19.8%	10%	19.8%			10%	19.8%
Orange & Rockland										
Operating Budget	1%	1.9%	1%	1.9%			20%	38.3%	1%	1.9%
Competitive Energy Businesses										
	1%	2.0%	1%	2.0%					1%	2.0%
Operating										
Con Edison of New York	28%	49.0%	28%	49.0%	30%	52.5%			28%	49.0%
Orange & Rockland	1%	1.5%	1%	1.5%			30%	45.0%	1%	1.5%
Competitive Energy Businesses										
	1%	0.8%	1%	0.8%					1%	0.8%
Total	100%	152.7%	100%	152.7%	100%	152.1%	100%	170.8%	100%	152.7%

Footnote:

(1) The weighting earned was adjusted based on the achievement of certain performance criteria. (See *other financial performance* on pages 34 to 35.)

(vi) 2011 Annual Incentive Awards

In February 2012, the Committee evaluated and determined whether the applicable financial and operating objectives were satisfied. In assessing performance against the objectives, the Committee considered actual results achieved against the specific targets associated with each objective.

Principal Position	Base Salary	×	Target Percentage	×	Weighting Earned	=	2011 Award
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	\$ 1,181,500		100%		152.7%		\$ 1,804,200

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

Chief Financial Officer	\$ 621,900	50%	152.7%	\$ 474,800
President, Con Edison of New York	\$ 621,200	80%	152.1%	\$ 755,900
President and Chief Executive Officer, Orange & Rockland	\$ 433,000	80%	170.8%	\$ 591,700
General Counsel	\$ 524,200	50%	152.7%	\$ 400,200

37

Table of Contents

D. Long-Term Incentive Compensation

(i) Awards

Officers are eligible to receive equity-based awards under the Company's long term incentive plan. The Committee determines the target long-term incentive award value for each Named Executive Officer based on various factors, including:

Recommendations from the chief executive officer for the other Named Executive Officers;

A general assessment of each Named Executive Officer's performance of his or her responsibilities; and

Level of long-term incentive compensation compared to executives holding functionally comparable positions in the Company's compensation peer group.

(ii) Performance Restricted Stock Unit Awards

It is the Committee's practice in the first quarter of each year to approve its annual awards of performance restricted stock units under the long term incentive plan for the Company's officers and to authorize the chief executive officer to make annual awards under the plan to the Company's employees (other than officers).

The stock units awarded to officers provide for the right to receive one share of Company Common Stock and/or a cash payment equal to the fair market value of one share of Company Common Stock for each stock unit granted, subject to the satisfaction of certain pre-established long-term performance objectives. Officers may elect to defer the receipt of the cash value of the award into the Company's supplemental plan and/or to defer the receipt of the shares. Dividends are not paid and do not accrue on the stock unit awards during the vesting period.

(iii) 2011 Performance Restricted Stock Units Awards

The number of stock units awarded in 2011 to the Named Executive Officers is shown in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 45. Payouts to officers of the stock units, if any, are calculated by a non-discretionary formula as follows:

Award X 50% X Incentive Plan Percentage

plus

Award X 50% X Shareholder Return Percentage

Award is the annual award of performance restricted stock units under the long term incentive plan. The target award of performance restricted stock units is a percentage of base salary that varies based on position. The chief executive officer's target award is 375 percent; the target award for the president of Con Edison of New York, the president and chief executive officer of Orange & Rockland, and for the chief financial officer is 200 percent; and the target award for the general counsel is 100 percent.

Incentive Plan Percentage is the average calculated payout under the Company's annual incentive plan over the performance period beginning on January 1, 2011 and ending on December 31, 2013 (for awards granted in 2011). See Annual Incentive Compensation starting on page 33.

Shareholder Return Percentage is the weighting earned based on the cumulative change in Company total shareholder returns over the performance period beginning on January 1, 2011 and ending on December 31, 2013 (for awards granted in 2011) compared with the Company's compensation peer group as constituted on the date of grant. In the event that the companies that make up the peer group change during the

Table of Contents

performance period, the Committee will use the compensation peer group as constituted on the date of grant. If a company ceases to be publicly traded before the end of the performance period, that company's total shareholder returns will not be used to calculate awards.

The levels of awards of performance restricted stock units that will be earned are as follows:

Ratio of Company's Shareholder Returns vs.

Compensation Peer Group	Weighting Earned
75 th or greater	150%
70 th	140%
65 th	130%
60 th	120%
55 th	110%
50 th	100%
45 th	85%
40 th	70%
35 th	55%
30 th	40%
25 th	25%
Below 25 th	0%

The actual payout of the stock unit awards to the officers may vary from zero up to a maximum of 175 percent of such award, based on actual performance over the performance period. The maximum payout of the stock unit awards represents the weighted average of: (i) the maximum payout of the stock unit awards that vest based on payouts from the annual incentive plan (200 percent), plus (ii) the maximum payout of the stock unit awards that vest based on the cumulative change in total shareholder returns (150 percent). The Committee has discretion to adjust (upward or downward) the actual stock unit awards to be paid to an officer.

The Committee believes that total shareholder return is the performance measure that most closely helps the Company to achieve its overall compensation philosophy by aligning executive rewards with the creation of stockholder value, as articulated in the Company's compensation philosophy. Total shareholder return is balanced with the annual operating and financial objectives of the annual incentive plan to further align executives' rewards with other key Company performance objectives which total shareholder return does not fully capture.

The Committee may adopt different performance measures for the stock unit grants, from time to time, as it deems appropriate at the time of grant.

(iv) Calculation of Payout of 2009 Performance Restricted Stock Units Awards

Following the end of the relevant performance period for each outstanding stock unit award, the Company's achievement of the performance measures is reviewed by the Committee. The Committee evaluates and approves the Company's performance relative to the performance measures and pays out the stock units in either cash and/or shares of Company Common Stock (as elected by the officer) based on the attainment of such performance measures.

Table of Contents

The following table shows, for each Named Executive Officer (other than the president of Con Edison of New York and the general counsel who each were hired in 2009), the calculation of the payout with respect to the stock units for the 2009–2011 performance period:

Principal Position	Award × 50%	× Incentive Plan Percentage⁽¹⁾	+ Award × 50%	× Shareholder Return Percentage	= 2009-2011 Payout Total
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	53,000	145.1%	53,000	150%	156,403
Chief Financial Officer	14,500	145.1%	14,500	150%	42,790
President and Chief Executive Officer, Orange & Rockland	4,450	136.4%	4,450	150%	12,745

Footnote:

(1) The calculated Incentive Plan Percentage for each year in the 2009–2011 performance period was as follows:

	2009	2010	2011
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer; Chief Financial Officer	109.3%	173.2%	152.7%
President and Chief Executive Officer, Orange & Rockland	88.5%	150.0%	170.8%

V. Retirement and Other Benefits**A. Retirement and Welfare Benefits**

The Company provides employees with a range of retirement and welfare benefits that reflects the competitive practices of the industry. These benefits assist the Company in attracting, retaining and motivating employees critical to its long-term success. Officers are eligible for benefits under the following Company plans:

Tax-qualified retirement plan and its related non-qualified supplemental plan;

Tax-qualified savings plan and its related non-qualified supplemental plan;

Stock purchase plan; and

Health and welfare plans.

(i) Retirement Plans

A tax-qualified retirement plan covers substantially all the Company's employees. All employees whose benefits under the plan are limited by the Internal Revenue Code are eligible to participate in a supplemental retirement income plan. The plans are described in the narrative to the

Pension Benefits Table on pages 48 to 49.

The estimated retirement benefits payable to the Named Executive Officers (determined on a present value basis) are set forth in the Pension Benefits table.

(ii) Savings Plans

A tax-qualified savings plan covers substantially all of the Company's employees. All employees whose benefits under the plan are limited by the Internal Revenue Code, are eligible to participate in a supplemental plan. Officers may defer a portion of their salary into the supplemental plan. The plans are described in the narrative to the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table on page 50.

Company matching contributions allocated to the Named Executive Officers under the savings plan and supplemental plan are included in the All Other Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 44.

(iii) Stock Purchase Plan

The stock purchase plan covers substantially all of the Company's employees and provides the opportunity to purchase shares of Company Common Stock. The plan is described in Note M to the financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

(iv) Health and Welfare Plans

Active employee benefits, such as medical, dental, life insurance and disability coverage, are available to all employees through the Company's health and welfare benefits plans. Employees contribute towards the cost of the plans by paying a portion of the premium costs on a pre-tax basis. Under the plans, employees can purchase a higher level of coverage than that provided to employees generally. The Company also provides all employees with paid time-off benefits, such as vacation and sick leave.

Officers are eligible to participate in a supplemental medical plan. The plan supplements medical and hospital benefits provided to officers under the Company's medical program. Officers contribute towards a portion of the cost of the supplemental plan on an after-tax basis. The supplemental plan provides officers with the option to continue their participation following retirement. The amount that the Company contributes for each Named Executive Officer is included in the "All Other Compensation" column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 44.

B. Perquisites and Personal Benefits

Pursuant to the compensation program, the Company provides certain officers, including the Named Executive Officers, with limited, specific perquisites that are competitive with industry practices. The Committee reviews the level of perquisites and personal benefits annually. The Company provides the following perquisites, the costs of which, if used by an Executive Officer in 2011 are set forth in the "All Other Compensation" column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 44:

Supplemental health insurance and life insurance;

Reimbursement for reasonable costs of financial and tax planning; and

A company vehicle and, in the case of the chief executive officer, a company vehicle and driver.

C. Severance and Change of Control Benefits

The Company provides for the payment of severance benefits upon certain types of employment terminations. Providing severance and change of control benefits assists the Company in attracting and retaining executive talent and reduces the personal uncertainty that executives are likely to feel when considering a corporate transaction. These arrangements also provide valuable retention incentives that focus executives on completing such transactions, thus, enhancing long-term shareholder value. The compensation under the various circumstances that trigger payments or provision of benefits upon termination or a change of control was chosen to be broadly consistent with prevailing competitive practices.

Officers of the Company (other than the chief executive officer) are provided benefits under the officers' severance program. The severance benefits payable to the chief executive officer are set forth in his employment agreement, which is described in the narrative to the Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change of Control table beginning on page 52. The severance benefits payable to each of the

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

Named Executive Officers (other than the chief executive officer) are set forth in the severance program which is described in footnote 2 to the Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change of Control table on page 55. The estimated severance benefits that each Named Executive Officer would be entitled to receive are set forth in the applicable Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change of Control table beginning on page 52.

As set forth in greater detail in the narrative to the Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change of Control table, the Company's change of control provisions provide that payments may be made only in the event that the Named Executive Officer's employment is terminated under certain circumstances in connection with a change of control. Upon a change in control, the vesting of long term incentive plan grants will accelerate, whether or not the Named Executive Officer's employment with the Company continues. This practice eliminates the Named Executive Officer's incentive to terminate employment in the event of a change in control. The Committee believes that such vesting is broadly consistent with prevailing competitive practices.

Table of Contents

VI. Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Company has established the following stock ownership guidelines for the Company's officers:

Chief Executive Officer	3 × base salary
Chief Financial Officer	2 × base salary
President of Con Edison of New York	2 × base salary
President and Chief Executive Officer of Orange & Rockland	2 × base salary
Presidents of Consolidated Edison Development, Inc., Consolidated Edison Energy, Inc. and Consolidated Edison Solutions, Inc.	1 × base salary
Senior Vice Presidents of Con Edison of New York	1 × base salary

The officers covered by the guidelines are expected to retain for at least one year a minimum of 25 percent of the net shares acquired upon exercise of stock options and 25 percent of the net shares acquired pursuant to vested restricted stock and restricted stock unit grants until their holdings of common stock equal or exceed their applicable ownership guidelines.

For these purposes, officers subject to the guidelines have five years from January 1st after their appointment to covered titles to meet the guidelines. In February 2012, it was determined that as of December 31, 2011, the chief executive officer, the chief financial officer, the president of Con Edison of New York, and the president and chief executive officer of Orange & Rockland have either met their ownership milestones or are making reasonable progress towards their milestones.

For purposes of the guidelines:

Stock ownership includes value of the officers' individually-owned shares, vested restricted stock and restricted stock units, and shares held under the Company's benefit plans.

The one-year period is measured from the date the stock options are exercised or the restricted stock or restricted stock units vest, as applicable.

Net shares means the shares remaining after sale of shares necessary to pay the related tax liability and, if applicable, exercise price.

VII. Recoupment Policy

In 2010, the Company adopted a Recoupment Policy. The Recoupment Policy provides for the recoupment of excess incentive-based compensation received by any current or former officer during the three-year period preceding the date on which the Company's Audit Committee determines that the Company is required to prepare an accounting restatement due to the Company's material noncompliance with any financial reporting requirement under the securities laws. The Recoupment Policy applies to the long-term incentive-based compensation awards paid on or after January 1, 2011, under the Company's long term incentive plan, and the incentive-based compensation payments made under the Company's annual incentive plan based on any performance period commencing on or after January 1, 2011.

VIII. Tax Deductibility of Pay

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code places a limit of \$1,000,000 on the amount of compensation that the Company may deduct in any one year with respect to each of the Named Executive Officers, other than the chief financial officer, employed by the Company on the last day of the fiscal year. There is an exception to the \$1,000,000 limitation for performance-based compensation meeting certain requirements. While the Committee considers the tax impact of Section 162(m), the Committee has determined that it is appropriate to maintain flexibility in compensating Named Executive Officers in a manner intended to promote varying corporate goals, recognizing that certain amounts paid to Named Executive Officers in excess of \$1,000,000 may

Table of Contents

not be deductible under Section 162(m). For 2011, \$4,664,000 of the compensation paid to the chief executive officer, \$73,000 of the compensation paid to the president of Con Edison of New York, and \$59,000 of the compensation paid to the president and chief executive officer of Orange & Rockland, was not deductible for federal income tax purposes.

COMPENSATION POLICIES AND PRACTICES AS THEY RELATE TO THE COMPANY'S RISK MANAGEMENT

In 2011, the Management Development and Compensation Committee asked Mercer to undertake a risk assessment of the Company's compensation programs to determine whether the Company's compensation policies and practices for employees, generally, would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's risk management and create incentives that could lead to excessive or inappropriate risk taking by employees. The Committee also asked management to review the assessment. Based on Mercer's risk assessment findings, with which the Committee and management concur, the Company's compensation programs are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's risk management or create incentives that could lead to excessive or inappropriate risk taking by employees. The Company's compensation programs include a Recoupment Policy applicable to all Company officers with respect to incentive-based compensation for periods commencing on or after January 1, 2011.

Table of Contents**SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

The following table sets forth the compensation of the Named Executive Officers, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and the other three most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers as of December 31, 2011. The positions shown in the table are the officers' positions with the Company or with the Company's principal subsidiaries, Con Edison of New York or Orange & Rockland as of December 31, 2011.

Summary Compensation Table

Name & Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Stock Awards⁽²⁾ (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Non- Qualified Deferred Compensation⁽³⁾ (\$)	All Other Compensation⁽⁴⁾ (\$)	Total⁽⁵⁾ (\$)
Kevin Burke	2011	\$ 1,177,633		\$ 4,337,333	\$ 1,804,200	\$ 3,498,783	\$ 147,098	\$ 10,965,047
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	2010	\$ 1,118,550		\$ 4,063,356	\$ 1,805,600	\$ 3,189,329	\$ 99,559	\$ 10,276,394
	2009	\$ 1,107,200		\$ 3,691,980	\$ 1,179,100	\$ 1,882,192	\$ 38,829	\$ 7,899,301
Robert Hoglund		\$ 619,867		\$ 1,213,240	\$ 474,800	\$ 212,488	\$ 61,129	\$ 2,581,524
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2011	\$ 589,450		\$ 1,151,880	\$ 475,300	\$ 102,449	\$ 43,706	\$ 2,362,785
	2010	\$ 584,200		\$ 1,010,070	\$ 311,100	\$ 58,421	\$ 37,073	\$ 2,000,864
Craig Ivey President, Con Edison of New York	2011	\$ 611,000		\$ 1,083,250	\$ 755,900	\$ 153,233	\$ 48,857	\$ 2,652,240
	2010	\$ 555,000		\$ 1,104,216	\$ 700,000	\$ 75,543	\$ 34,399	\$ 2,469,158
William Longhi	2011	\$ 431,583		\$ 909,930	\$ 591,700	\$ 1,109,618	\$ 65,461	\$ 3,108,292
President and Chief Executive Officer, Orange & Rockland	2010	\$ 419,000	\$ 40,600	\$ 873,840	\$ 509,400	\$ 475,729	\$ 46,908	\$ 2,365,477
	2009	\$ 409,000		\$ 309,987	\$ 292,800	\$ 697,252	\$ 46,275	\$ 1,755,314
Elizabeth D. Moore	2011	\$ 521,833		\$ 511,294	\$ 400,200	\$ 133,689	\$ 53,835	\$ 1,620,851
General Counsel	2010	\$ 505,000		\$ 440,892	\$ 397,500	\$ 26,826	\$ 40,657	\$ 1,410,875

Footnotes:

- (1) The amounts paid were awarded under the annual incentive plan.
- (2) Dividends are not paid and do not accrue on stock awards during the vesting period. Amounts shown do not reflect the payment or accrual of dividends during the vesting period for any portion of the stock awards and otherwise reflect the assumptions used for the Company's financial statements. See Note M to the financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K. Actual value to be realized, if any, on stock awards by the Named Executive Officers will depend on the performance of Company Common Stock and the Named Executive Officer's continued service. The terms applicable to the stock awards granted for fiscal year 2011 are set forth on the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 45. Based on the fair value at grant date, the following are the maximum potential values of the performance restricted stock units for the 2011-2013 performance period granted under the long term incentive plan assuming maximum level of performance is achieved: Mr. Burke \$7,591,416; Mr. Hoglund \$2,123,170; Mr. Ivey \$1,897,854; Mr. Longhi \$1,594,544; and

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

Ms. Moore \$896,931.

- (3) Amounts do not represent actual compensation paid to the Named Executive Officers. Instead the amounts represent the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of the accumulated pension benefit based on the difference between the amounts required to be disclosed under the Pension Benefits Table for the year indicated and the amounts reported or that would have been reported under the Pension Benefits Table for the previous year. For information about the assumptions used, see the Pension Benefits Table on page 49 of the Proxy Statement. There are no above-market or preferential earnings with respect to the non-qualified deferred compensation arrangements.

- (4) Value of the items shown below are based on the aggregate incremental cost, which except for the Company provided vehicle and the supplemental health insurance is the actual cost to the Company.

Mr. Burke received the following amounts for 2011: \$7,153, representing personal use of company provided vehicle; \$4,757, in driver costs; \$95,613 in life insurance; \$4,246, in supplemental health insurance; and company matching contributions to the Savings Plan of \$7,350, and supplemental plan of \$27,979.

Mr. Hoglund received the following amounts for 2011: \$1,091, representing personal use of company provided vehicle; \$10,000, in financial planning; \$25,527, in life insurance; \$5,915, in supplemental health insurance; and company matching contributions to the Savings Plan of \$7,350, and supplemental plan of \$11,246.

Table of Contents

Mr. Ivey received the following amounts for 2011: \$179, representing personal use of company provided vehicle; \$10,000, in financial planning; \$14,432, in life insurance; \$5,915, in supplemental health insurance; and company matching contributions to the Savings Plan of \$7,350, and supplemental plan of \$10,981.

Mr. Longhi received the following amounts for 2011: \$3,608, representing personal use of company provided vehicle; \$10,000, in financial planning; \$32,990, in life insurance; \$5,915, in supplemental health insurance; and company matching contributions to the Savings Plan of \$7,350, and supplemental plan of \$5,598.

Ms. Moore received the following amounts for 2011: \$1,251 representing personal use of company provided vehicle; \$10,000 in financial planning; \$22,683 in life insurance; \$4,246 in supplemental health insurance; and company matching contributions to the Savings Plan of \$7,350 and supplemental savings plan of \$8,305.

(5) Represents, for each Named Executive Officer, the total of amounts shown for the Named Executive Officer in all other columns of the table.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS TABLE

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the grant of equity plan awards and non-equity incentive plan awards awarded to the Named Executive Officers for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

Name & Principal Position	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾			Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards ⁽³⁾
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	
Kevin Burke Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	2/1/2011	\$ 147,700	\$ 1,181,500	\$ 2,363,000	25,000	100,100	175,200	\$ 4,337,333
Robert Hoglund Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2/1/2011	\$ 38,900	\$ 311,000	\$ 622,000	7,000	28,000	49,000	\$ 1,213,240
Craig Ivey President, Con Edison of New York	2/1/2011	\$ 62,100	\$ 497,000	\$ 994,000	6,300	25,000	43,800	\$ 1,083,250
William Longhi President and Chief Executive Officer, Orange & Rockland	2/1/2011	\$ 43,300	\$ 346,400	\$ 692,800	5,300	21,000	36,800	\$ 909,930
Elizabeth D. Moore General Counsel	2/1/2011	\$ 32,800	\$ 262,100	\$ 524,200	3,000	11,800	20,700	\$ 511,294

Footnotes:

- (1) Represents annual cash incentive award opportunity awarded under the Company's annual incentive plan. See Annual Incentive Compensation starting on page 33.
- (2) Represents awards of performance restricted stock units for the 2011-2013 performance period granted under the Company's long term incentive plan. See Long-Term Incentive Compensation starting on page 38. Based on the fair value at grant date, the following are the maximum potential values of the performance restricted stock units for the 2011-2013 performance period granted under the long term incentive plan assuming maximum level of performance

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

is achieved: Mr. Burke \$7,591,416; Mr. Hoglund \$2,123,170; Mr. Ivey \$1,897,854; Mr. Longhi \$1,594,544; and Ms. Moore \$896,931.

- (3) This column reflects the grant date fair value of the performance restricted stock unit awards for the 2011-2013 performance period. See footnote 2 to the Summary Compensation Table on page 44.

Table of Contents**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS TABLE**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to all unvested stock awards previously awarded to the Named Executive Officers as of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. None of the Named Executive Officers have unexercised option awards.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

Name & Principal Position	STOCK AWARDS ⁽¹⁾	
	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of unearned shares, units or other rights held that have not vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of unearned shares, units or other rights that have not vested (\$)
Kevin Burke	102,300 ⁽²⁾	\$ 6,345,669
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	100,100 ⁽³⁾	\$ 6,209,203
Robert Hoglund	29,000 ⁽²⁾	\$ 1,798,870
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	28,000 ⁽³⁾	\$ 1,736,840
Craig Ivey	27,800 ⁽²⁾	\$ 1,724,434
President, Con Edison of New York	25,000 ⁽³⁾	\$ 1,550,750
William Longhi.	22,000 ⁽²⁾	\$ 1,364,660
President and Chief Executive Officer, Orange & Rockland	21,000 ⁽³⁾	\$ 1,302,630
Elizabeth D. Moore	11,100 ⁽²⁾	\$ 688,533
General Counsel	11,800 ⁽³⁾	\$ 731,954

Footnotes:

- (1) Value of unvested performance restricted stock units using the closing price of \$62.03 for a share of Company Common Stock on December 31, 2011.
- (2) The number of performance restricted stock units and payment amount of the performance restricted stock units will be determined as of December 31, 2012 based on satisfaction of target performance measures for the 2010-2012 performance cycle.
- (3) The number of performance restricted stock units and payment amount of the performance restricted stock units will be determined as of December 31, 2013 based on satisfaction of target performance measures for the 2011-2013 performance cycle.

Table of Contents**OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED TABLE**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to all options exercised and stock awards vested in 2011 for the Named Executive Officers.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

Name & Principal Position	OPTION AWARDS		STOCK AWARDS	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Kevin Burke	159,000	\$ 2,144,620	156,403 ⁽²⁾	\$ 9,066,682 ⁽²⁾
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	180,000	\$ 2,103,444		
Robert Høglund	50,000	\$ 688,645	42,790 ⁽²⁾	\$ 2,480,536 ⁽²⁾
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer				
Craig Ivey ⁽³⁾				
President, Con Edison of New York				
William Longhi	8,000	\$ 124,151	12,745 ⁽²⁾	\$ 738,828 ⁽²⁾
President and Chief Executive Officer, Orange & Rockland	8,000	\$ 123,040		
	10,000	\$ 126,860		
Elizabeth D. Moore ⁽³⁾				
General Counsel				

Footnotes:

- (1) The value realized on exercise was determined by calculating the difference between the average of the actual sales price of Company Common Stock underlying the options exercised and the exercise price of the respective option.
- (2) Represents the vesting of each Named Executive Officer's performance restricted stock unit award for the 2009-2011 performance period, valued at \$57.97, the closing price of Company Common Stock on the vesting date. Actual value realized by each Named Executive Officer will depend on each individual's payout election under the Company's long term incentive plan.
- (3) Mr. Ivey, who became an officer in September 2009, and Ms. Moore, who became an officer in May 2009, did not have any stock awards that vested in 2011, and have not received any stock option awards.

Table of Contents**PENSION BENEFITS****Retirement Plan Benefits**

The retirement plan, a tax qualified retirement plan, covers substantially all of the Company's employees. The supplemental retirement income plan provides certain highly compensated employees (including the Named Executive Officers) whose benefits are limited by the Internal Revenue Code with that portion of their retirement benefit that represents the difference between (i) the amount they would have received under the retirement plan absent IRS limitations on the amount of final average salary that may be considered in calculating pension benefits and the amount of pension benefits paid and (ii) the amount actually paid from the retirement plan. All amounts under the supplemental retirement income plan are paid out of the Company's general assets.

For management employees hired before January 1, 2001, including Messrs. Burke and Longhi, the retirement plan and the supplemental retirement income plan (the Plans) provide pension benefits based on: (i) the participant's highest average salary for 48 consecutive months within the 120 consecutive months prior to retirement (final average salary); (ii) the portion of final average salary in excess of the Social Security taxable wage base in the year of retirement; and (iii) the participant's length of service. For purposes of the Plans, a participant's salary for a year is deemed to include any award under the Company's annual incentive plans for that year. Participants in the Plans whose age and years of service equal 75 are entitled to an annual pension benefit for life, payable in monthly installments. Participants may earn increased pension benefits by working additional years. Benefits payable to a participant who retires between ages 55 and 59 with less than 30 years of service are subject to a reduction of 1.5 percent for each full year of retirement before age 60. Early retirement reduction factors are not applied to pensions of employees electing retirement at age 55 or older with at least 30 years of service. Effective January 1, 2009, management employees covered under the final average salary formula who are at least age 55 and have 30 or more years of service or will turn age 55 with 30 or more years of service during the period from January 1, 2009 through June 30, 2012 qualify for an additional pension accrual for a limited period of time. The additional pension accrual will be credited from the time an employee becomes eligible through June 30, 2012 at a rate equal to one-twelfth of 0.5 percent of the final average salary for each month of service. The additional pension accrual period ends on June 30, 2012. The Plans provide an annual adjustment equal to the lesser of three percent or 3/4 of the annual increase in the Consumer Price Index to offset partially the effects of inflation.

For management employees hired on or after January 1, 2001, including Messrs. Hoglund and Ivey and Ms. Moore, the Plans provide pension benefits based on a cash balance formula under which benefits accrue at the end of each calendar quarter. The crediting percent, which can range from four to seven percent, depending on the participant's age and years of service, is applied to the participant's base salary and annual incentive award (Earnings) during the quarter. In addition, a participant whose Earnings exceed the Social Security Wage Base (\$106,800 for 2011) will receive a four percent credit on the amount of his or her Earnings that exceed the Social Security Wage Base. The cash balance account of participants is credited with interest quarterly at a rate equal to one-quarter of the annual interest rate payable on the 30-year U.S. Treasury bond, subject to a minimum annual rate of three percent and a maximum annual rate of nine percent. The following table shows how this works:

Age Plus Years of Service	Rate on Earnings	Plus	Rate on Earnings Above Social Security Wage Base
Under 35	4.00%		4.00%
35 - 49	5.00%		4.00%
50 - 64	6.00%		4.00%
Over 64	7.00%		4.00%

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

Mr. Hoglund currently has eight years of credited service under the Plans. The Company has agreed to provide Mr. Hoglund credit for an additional ten years of service in the cash balance formula. Five of the additional ten years of service will be credited after he completes ten years of continuous employment and the

Table of Contents

remaining five years will be credited after he completes fifteen years of continuous service. The portion of Mr. Hoglund's retirement benefit that is attributable to the additional years of service provided by the Company will be paid under the supplemental retirement income plan. Benefit distributions are made in the form of a lump sum payment, but participants may elect instead to receive an immediate or deferred lifetime annuity.

Pension Benefits Table

The following table shows certain pension benefits information for each Named Executive Officer as of December 31, 2011.

Pension Benefits				
Name & Principal Position	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Payments during Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Kevin Burke	Retirement Plan	39	\$ 1,706,848	\$ 0
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	Supplemental Retirement Income Plan	39	\$ 16,723,658	\$ 0
Robert Hoglund	Retirement Plan	8	\$ 159,448	\$ 0
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	Supplemental Retirement Income Plan	8	\$ 496,527	\$ 0
Craig Ivey	Retirement Plan	2	\$ 44,484	\$ 0
President, Con Edison of New York	Supplemental Retirement Income Plan	2	\$ 186,309	\$ 0
William Longhi	Retirement Plan	36	\$ 1,404,760	\$ 0
President and Chief Executive Officer, Orange & Rockland	Supplemental Retirement Income Plan	36	\$ 2,966,694	\$ 0
Elizabeth D. Moore	Retirement Plan	2	\$ 56,988	\$ 0
General Counsel	Supplemental Retirement Income Plan	2	\$ 141,828	\$ 0

Footnotes:

(1) Amounts were calculated as of December 31, 2011, using the assumptions that were used for the Company's financial statements. See Note E to the financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for material assumptions.

Table of Contents

NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION

Company Savings Plan

The savings plan, a tax-qualified retirement plan, covers substantially all of the Company's employees. Participating employees may contribute up to 50 percent of their compensation on a before-tax basis and/or an after-tax basis, into their savings plan accounts. In addition, the Company matches an amount equal to 50 percent for each dollar contributed by participating employees on the first six percent of their regular earnings.

Pursuant to IRS rules, effective for 2011, the savings plan limits the additions that can be made to a participating employee's account to \$49,000 per year. Additions include Company matching contributions, before-tax contributions made by a participating employee under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, and employee after-tax contributions.

Of those additions, the current maximum before-tax contribution is \$16,500 per year (or \$22,000 per year for certain participants age 50 and over). In addition, no more than \$245,000 of annual compensation may be taken into account in computing benefits under the savings plan.

Supplemental Plan

Certain highly compensated employees, including the Named Executive Officers, are eligible to participate in the supplemental plan, a non-qualified deferred compensation plan. The supplemental plan permits participating officers to defer on a before-tax basis: (i) up to 50 percent of their base salary, (ii) all or a portion of their annual incentive award, and (iii) the cash value of any restricted stock unit awards (including any dividend equivalents). In addition, under the supplemental plan the Company will credit participating employees with a Company matching contribution on that portion of their contributions that cannot be matched under the savings plan because of IRS limitations. Earnings on amounts contributed under the supplemental plan reflect investment in accordance with participating employees' investment elections. There were no above-market or preferential earnings with respect to the supplemental plan. Individuals participating in the plan may elect to have their account balances credited with a return that is benchmarked to numerous investment funds institutionally managed by the Nationwide Insurance Company. Participants may change their investment allocation once per calendar quarter. All amounts distributed from the supplemental plan are paid out of the Company's general assets.

Amounts deferred, if any, under the savings plan and the supplemental plan by the Named Executive Officers are included in the Salary and Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation columns of the Summary Compensation Table on page 44 of the Proxy Statement. Company matching contributions allocated to the Named Executive Officers under the savings plan and the supplemental plan are shown in the All Other Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 44 of the Proxy Statement. Amounts realized upon vesting of stock awards that were deferred into the supplemental plan, if any, are shown on the Value Realized on Vesting column of the Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table on page 47.

Table of Contents**Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to nonqualified deferred compensation for each Named Executive Officer as of December 31, 2011.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

Name & Principal Position	Executive Contributions in Last FY⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY⁽²⁾ (\$)	Aggregate Earnings/ (Losses) in Last FY⁽³⁾ (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE⁽⁴⁾ (\$)
Kevin Burke Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	\$ 119,092	\$ 27,979	\$ (70,579)	\$ 0	\$ 3,726,534
Robert Hoglund Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	\$ 22,492	\$ 11,246	\$ (6,782)	\$ 0	\$ 239,619
Craig Ivey President, Con Edison of New York	\$ 225,677	\$ 10,981	\$ 169	\$ 0	\$ 332,452
William Longhi President and Chief Executive Officer, Orange & Rockland	\$ 11,195	\$ 5,598	\$ (4,680)	\$ 0	\$ 181,108
Elizabeth D. Moore General Counsel	\$ 135,860	\$ 8,305	\$ 1,826	\$ 0	\$ 336,154

Footnotes:

- (1) Amounts set forth under Executive Contributions in Last FY are reported in either: (i) the Salary column of the Summary Compensation Table; (ii) the Value Realized on Vesting column of the Stock Awards section of the Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table; or (iii) the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table of the Company's proxy statements for its 2011 and 2012 annual meetings of stockholders, as applicable.
- (2) The amounts set forth under the Registrant Contributions in Last FY are reported in the All Other Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 44.
- (3) Represents earnings or losses on accounts for fiscal year 2011. No amounts set forth under Aggregate Earnings in Last FY have been reported in the Summary Compensation Table on page 44, as there were no above-market or preferential earnings credited to any Named Executive Officer's account.
- (4) Aggregate account balances as of December 31, 2011:
Mr. Burke's aggregate balance is comprised of: executive contributions of \$2,789,031; company matching contributions of \$200,457; and earnings of \$737,046.
Mr. Hoglund's aggregate balance is comprised of: executive contributions of \$146,175; company matching contributions of \$68,226; and earnings of \$25,218;
Mr. Ivey's aggregate balance is comprised of: executive contributions of \$304,697; company matching contributions of \$19,955; and earnings of \$7,800;
Mr. Longhi's aggregate balance is comprised of: executive contributions of \$120,504; company matching contributions of \$24,213; and earnings of \$36,391; and
Ms. Moore's aggregate balance is comprised of: executive contributions of \$293,410, company matching contributions of \$17,355, and earnings of \$25,389.

Table of Contents**POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT OR CHANGE OF CONTROL**

The Company's Severance Program for Officers of Consolidated Edison, Inc. and its Subsidiaries (the "Severance Program") provides compensation to its officers in the event of certain terminations of employment or a change of control of the Company. Mr. Burke is provided such benefit pursuant to his employment agreement, dated July 22, 2005, as amended on December 15, 2008. The initial term ended December 31, 2008. The employment agreement as amended automatically extends for additional one-year periods unless either Mr. Burke or the Company provides written notice of non-renewal 180 days prior to the expiration.

The amount of compensation that is potentially payable to each Named Executive Officer in each situation is listed in the table below and in the table on page 55. These amounts are estimates only and do not necessarily reflect the actual amounts that would be paid to the Named Executive Officers, which would only be known at the time that they become eligible for payment. The tables reflect the amount that could be payable under the Severance Program (or, in the case of Mr. Burke, his employment agreement) assuming such termination occurred at December 31, 2011, including a gross-up for certain taxes in the case of Mr. Burke in the event that any payments made in connection with a change of control would be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Mr. Burke

The following table describes the potential payments upon termination of employment or a change of control of the Company for Mr. Burke as of December 31, 2011.

Name	Executive Benefits and Payments Upon Termination ⁽¹⁾	Resignation			Termination without Cause or Resignation for		Termination without Cause or Resignation for		Death or Disability ⁽⁶⁾
		without Good Reason ⁽²⁾	Non-Renewal of employment agreement ⁽³⁾	Retirement	Good Reason (before a CIC) ⁽⁴⁾	Termination for Cause	Good Reason (following a CIC) ⁽⁵⁾		
Kevin Burke	Severance Long-term incentives ⁽⁷⁾ Benefits and Perquisites	\$ 0	\$ 3,544,500	\$ 0	\$ 5,907,500	\$ 0	\$ 8,270,500	\$ 1,181,500	
		\$ 6,300,180	\$ 12,554,872 ⁽⁸⁾	\$ 12,554,872 ⁽⁸⁾	\$ 12,554,872	\$ 0	\$ 12,554,872 ⁽⁹⁾	\$ 12,554,872 ⁽⁸⁾	
		\$ 113,606	\$ 974,971	\$ 113,606	\$ 1,811,334	\$ 113,606	\$ 10,743,351	\$ 2,476,606	
	Total	\$ 6,413,786	\$ 17,074,343	\$ 12,668,478	\$ 20,273,706	\$ 113,606	\$ 31,568,723	\$ 16,212,978	

Footnotes:

- (1) Assumes the compensation of Mr. Burke for 2011 is as follows: base salary equal to \$1,181,500 and a target annual bonus equal to 100 percent of base salary. Benefits and perquisites include incremental pension amounts, health and life insurance coverage cost, life insurance proceeds, accrued vacation pay, and outplacement costs, as applicable. For purposes of Mr. Burke's table above, Mr. Burke is defined as the "Executive" in the corresponding footnotes below.
- (2) As per Mr. Burke's employment agreement, the Executive's severance benefit pursuant to a resignation without Good Reason is equal to (i) any unpaid base salary and bonus for any completed prior fiscal year and (ii) any accrued vacation pay.
- (3)

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

As per Mr. Burke's employment agreement, the Executive's severance benefit pursuant to a non-renewal of the employment agreement is equal to: (i) a lump sum equal to base salary and target annual bonus pro-rated through the termination date and any accrued vacation pay, (ii) a lump sum equal to the net present value of one additional year of service credit under the Company's pension plans (assuming compensation at the Executive's then annual rate of base salary and target annual bonus), (iii) a lump sum equal to 1x the sum of base salary and target annual bonus, (iv) one year continuation of health and life insurance coverage and one year of additional service credit toward eligibility for (but not for commencement of) retiree benefits, and (v) one year of outplacement costs.

- (4) As per Mr. Burke's employment agreement, the Executive's severance benefit pursuant to a termination without Cause or resignation for Good Reason (before a Change of Control or CIC) is equal to: (A) the same severance benefit under a non-renewal of employment agreement, except the amounts in clauses (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) in footnote 3 above are 2x instead of 1x.
- (5) As per Mr. Burke's employment agreement, the Executive's severance benefit under a termination without Cause or resignation for Good Reason (on or following a CIC) is equal to the same severance benefit under a termination without Cause or resignation for Good Reason (before a CIC) except the amounts in clauses (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) in footnote 4 above are 3x instead of 2x. Includes reimbursement for all excise taxes that are imposed on the Executive under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code and any income and excise taxes that are payable by the Executive as a result of any reimbursements by the Company for Section 280G excise taxes.
- (6) As per Mr. Burke's employment agreement, the Executive's severance benefit due to death or disability is equal to the same severance benefit under a resignation without Good Reason (except for the payment of life insurance proceeds and his pro-rata annual incentive award).
- (7) In calculating the potential payments, the Executive's date of termination is assumed to be December 31, 2011 and the price per share of Company Common Stock on the date of termination is \$62.03 per share.

Table of Contents

- (8) For disclosure purposes only, upon a non-renewal of Mr. Burke's employment agreement, retirement, death or disability the Committee is assumed to have taken action pursuant to the long term incentive plan to fully accelerate the vesting of target performance-based equity awards.
- (9) As per the long term incentive plan, in the event of a Change in Control, target performance-based restricted stock unit awards vest pro-rata through the date of such event. For disclosure purposes, the Committee is assumed to have taken action to fully accelerate target performance-based restricted stock unit awards under the long term incentive plan.

Below is a description of the assumptions used in creating the above table.

Non-Compete and Non-Solicitation Provisions

As a condition to Mr. Burke's receiving the severance benefits referenced in the table above, he is bound by the terms of the non-competition and non-solicitation provisions in his employment agreement for the period of two years from the date of his termination of employment for any reason (other than in connection with a non-renewal of employment in which case the period shall be one year from the date of termination).

Equity Acceleration

Pursuant to Mr. Burke's employment agreement, in the event of his termination of employment without Cause by the Company or a resignation by him for Good Reason (in connection with or without a Change of Control), performance-based and non-performance based awards under the long term incentive plan, including stock option awards, fully vest upon the date of termination. In the event of a termination of employment without Good Reason, a non-renewal of Mr. Burke's employment agreement or a termination due to death or disability, Mr. Burke's performance-based and non-performance based awards under the long term incentive plan vest pro-rata through the date of termination and stock option awards become fully vested. In addition, in the event of a termination of Mr. Burke's employment for any reason other than for Cause or a non-renewal of his employment agreement, unexpired stock options remain exercisable until the tenth anniversary of the date of grant. In the event of a termination for Cause, all unvested equity is forfeited on the date of termination.

Incremental Pension Amounts

As per Mr. Burke's employment agreement, the amounts relating to the incremental pension amounts in the above table are based on the net present value of two additional years of additional service credit under the Company's pension plans following a termination without Cause or a resignation for Good Reason (one additional year if such termination is in connection with a non-renewal of the employment agreement and three additional years if such termination is in connection with a Change of Control) assuming compensation at Mr. Burke's annual salary and target incentive award, age 65 normal retirement, and the assumptions used to calculate lump sum benefits under the qualified retirement plan in December 2011. These assumptions include interest rates of 2.22 percent for the first five years, 4.23 percent for the next 15 years, and 5.28 percent thereafter (adjusted to 0.61 percent, 2.59 percent and 3.62 percent, respectively, to reflect cost of living adjustments) and the RP-2000 mortality table projected for 2011 (50 percent male/50 percent female blend). All amounts payable pursuant to an incremental non-qualified pension are assumed to be paid as a lump sum.

Termination without Cause or a Resignation for Good Reason

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

Mr. Burke will receive certain benefits as described in the above table if he is terminated by the Company for reasons other than Cause or by him for Good Reason. Under Mr. Burke's employment agreement, Cause is defined as termination of employment by the Company for any of the following reasons: (i) willful and continued failure by the Executive to substantially perform his duties as a CEO, (ii) a conviction of a felony or entering a plea of *nolo contendere* to a felony that has a significant adverse effect on the business of the Company, or (iii) a finding by a regulatory or judicial body that the Executive has violated the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 or other federal or state securities laws in relation to the Company.

Table of Contents

Under Mr. Burke's employment agreement, "Good Reason" is defined as a resignation by Mr. Burke for any of the following reasons: (i) any adverse change to his title, authority, duties, responsibilities or reporting lines or the assignment of any duties or responsibilities inconsistent in any respect with those customarily associated to the position of CEO, (ii) the appointment, without his consent, of any person other than the executive to the position of CEO or other position or title conferring similar status or authority, (iii) any reduction in salary, target annual bonus, target long-term incentive or retirement benefit, (iv) any requirement by the Company that his services be rendered primarily at an office or location that is more than 50 miles from his office or location as of the date of the employment agreement, (v) any purported termination of his employment for reasons not expressly permitted by his employment agreement, (vi) any failure by a successor of the Company to assume the employment agreement, or (vii) any other material breach of Mr. Burke's employment agreement by the Company that is not taken in good faith, or if taken in good faith, is not remedied by the Company promptly after receipt of notice from the Executive.

Termination of Employment due to Disability

Under Mr. Burke's employment agreement, Mr. Burke will receive certain benefits as described in the above table if he is terminated by the Company due to a disability, which occurs if the Executive is: (i) by reason of a medically determinable physical or mental impairment that could result in death or could last for a continuous period of at least 12 months (A) unable to engage in any substantial gainful activity or (B) receiving income replacement for a period of at least three months under an accident and health plan covering employees by the Company, and (ii) has not been able to perform his material duties and responsibilities for six consecutive months or for more than six months within a period of twelve calendar months.

Payments upon Termination of Employment in Connection with a Change of Control

Under Mr. Burke's employment agreement, Mr. Burke will receive certain benefits as described in the above table if his termination of employment is without Cause by the Company or by him for Good Reason in connection with a Change of Control. Under Mr. Burke's employment agreement, "Change of Control" is defined under the Severance Program. Under the Severance Program, a Change of Control means the occurrence of any of the following: (i) any person or group (within the meaning of Section 13(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934) acquires securities of the Company, together with securities held by such person or group, representing more than 50 percent of the fair market value or combined voting power of the Company's then outstanding securities, (ii) any person or group acquires securities of the Company representing 30 percent or more of the combined voting power of the Company's then outstanding securities, (iii) a majority of the number of directors who constitute the Board of Directors is replaced during any 12-month period by directors whose appointment or election is not endorsed by a majority of the members of the Board prior to the date of appointment or election, or (iv) there is consummated an agreement for the sale or disposition of the Company's assets having a total gross market value equal to or more than 40 percent of the total gross fair market value of all of the Company's assets immediately prior to such sale or disposition (other than a sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all assets to an entity of which at least 50 percent of the combined voting power of the securities owned by shareholders of the Company).

280G Tax Gross-Up

Under Mr. Burke's employment agreement, in the event Mr. Burke receives any payment or distribution from the Company in connection with a Change of Control, he may be subject to certain excise taxes pursuant to Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code ("Section 280G"). The Company has agreed to reimburse Mr. Burke for all excise taxes that are imposed on him under Section 280G and any income and excise taxes that are payable by him as a result of any reimbursements by the Company for Section 280G excise taxes. The total Section 280G tax gross-up amount in the table above assumes that Mr. Burke is entitled to full reimbursement by the Company of (i) any excise taxes that are imposed upon him as a result of such payment, (ii) any income and excise taxes imposed upon Mr. Burke as a result of the Company's reimbursement of the excise tax amount and

Table of Contents

(iii) any additional income and excise taxes that are imposed upon Mr. Burke as a result of the Company's reimbursement of any excise or income taxes. The calculation of the Section 280G gross-up amount in the above table is based upon a Section 280G excise tax rate of 20 percent, a 35 percent federal income tax rate, a 1.45 percent Medicare tax rate, a 8.97 percent state income tax rate, a Change in Control date of December 31, 2011 and a closing price of \$62.03 per share of Company Common Stock on December 31, 2011.

Messrs. Hogleund, Ivey, and Longhi, and Ms. Moore

The following table describes the potential payments upon termination of employment or a change of control of the Company for each of Messrs. Hogleund, Ivey, and Longhi, and Ms. Moore as of December 31, 2011.

Name	Executive Benefits and Payments Upon Termination ⁽¹⁾	Resignation for any Reason (prior to CIC) or Resignation without Good Reason (following a CIC)		Retirement	Termination without Cause ⁽²⁾	Termination for Cause	Termination without Cause or Resignation for Good Reason (following a CIC) ⁽³⁾	Death or Disability
Robert Hogleund	Severance	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,243,900	\$ 0	\$ 2,176,700	\$ 0
	Long-term incentives ⁽⁴⁾ Benefits and	\$ 0	\$ 3,535,710 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 3,535,710 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 3,535,710 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 0	\$ 3,535,710 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 3,535,710 ⁽⁵⁾
	Perquisites	\$ 47,838	\$ 47,838	\$ 47,838	\$ 106,677	\$ 47,838	\$ 140,516	\$ 1,291,638
	Total	\$ 47,838	\$ 3,583,548	\$ 3,583,548	\$ 4,886,287	\$ 47,838	\$ 5,852,926	\$ 4,827,348
Craig Ivey	Severance	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,615,200	\$ 0	\$ 2,733,400	\$ 0
	Long-term incentives ⁽⁴⁾ Benefits and	\$ 0	\$ 3,275,184 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 3,275,184 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 3,275,184 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 0	\$ 3,275,184 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 3,275,184 ⁽⁵⁾
	Perquisites	\$ 23,892	\$ 23,892	\$ 23,892	\$ 193,707	\$ 23,892	\$ 338,522	\$ 1,266,292
	Total	\$ 23,892	\$ 3,299,076	\$ 3,299,076	\$ 5,084,091	\$ 23,892	\$ 6,347,106	\$ 4,541,476
William Longhi	Severance	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,125,800	\$ 0	\$ 1,905,200	\$ 0
	Long-term incentives ⁽⁴⁾ Benefits and	\$ 0	\$ 2,667,290 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 2,667,290 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 2,667,290 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 0	\$ 2,667,290 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 2,667,290 ⁽⁵⁾
	Perquisites	\$ 41,635	\$ 41,635	\$ 41,635	\$ 734,740	\$ 41,635	\$ 1,402,843	\$ 1,124,135
	Total	\$ 41,635	\$ 2,708,925	\$ 2,708,925	\$ 4,527,830	\$ 41,635	\$ 5,975,333	\$ 3,791,425
Elizabeth D. Moore	Severance	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,048,400	\$ 0	\$ 1,834,700	\$ 0
	Long-term	\$ 0	\$ 1,420,487 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 1,420,487 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 1,420,487 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 0	\$ 1,420,487 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 1,420,487 ⁽⁵⁾

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

incentives⁽⁴⁾
Benefits and

Perquisites	\$	20,162	\$	20,162	\$	148,825	\$	20,162	\$	252,487	\$	1,068,562
Total	\$	20,162	\$	1,440,649	\$	2,617,712	\$	20,162	\$	3,507,674	\$	2,489,049

Footnotes:

- (1) Assumes the compensation of Messrs. Hoglund, Ivey and Longhi, and Ms. Moore for 2011 is as follows: (i) Mr. Hoglund's base salary equal to \$621,900 and a target annual bonus equal to 50 percent of base salary; (ii) Mr. Ivey's base salary equal to \$621,200 and a target annual bonus equal to 80 percent of base salary; (iii) Mr. Longhi's base salary equal to \$433,000 and a target annual bonus equal to 80 percent of base salary; and (iv) Ms. Moore's base salary equal to \$524,200 and a target annual bonus equal to 50 percent of base salary. Benefits and perquisites include incremental pension amounts, health and life insurance coverage cost, life insurance proceeds, accrued vacation pay, and outplacement costs, as applicable. For purposes of the table above, Messrs. Hoglund, Ivey and Longhi, and Ms. Moore, are each defined as the Executive in the corresponding footnotes below.
- (2) As per the Severance Program, the Executive's severance benefit pursuant to a termination without Cause (before a Change of Control or CIC) is equal to: (i) a lump sum equal to base salary and annual target bonus pro-rated through the termination date and any accrued vacation pay, (ii) a lump sum equal to the net present value of one additional year of service credit under the Company's pension plans (assuming compensation at Executive's then annual rate of base salary and target annual bonus), (iii) a lump sum equal to 1x the sum of

Table of Contents

- the Executive's then base salary and target annual bonus, (iv) one year continuation of health and life insurance coverage and one year of additional service credit toward eligibility for (but not for commencement of) retiree benefits, and (v) one year of outplacement costs.
- (3) As per the Severance Program, the Executive's severance benefit under a termination without Cause or resignation for Good Reason (on or following CIC) is equal to the same severance benefit under a termination without Cause (before CIC) as described in footnote 2 above except the amounts in clauses (ii), (iii), and (iv) are 2x instead of 1x.
 - (4) In calculating the potential payments, the Executive's date of termination is assumed to be December 31, 2011 and the price per share of Company Common Stock on the date of termination is \$62.03 per share.
 - (5) For disclosure purposes, upon Termination (other than a termination for Cause or a resignation without Good Reason), retirement, death or disability the Management Development and Compensation Committee (the Committee) is assumed to have taken action pursuant to the long term incentive plan to fully accelerate the vesting of target performance-based awards and non-performance awards.
 - (6) As per the long term incentive plan, in the event of a CIC, non-performance based stock unit awards and stock option awards fully vest on the date of such event and target performance-based restricted stock unit awards vest pro-rata through the date of such event. For disclosure purposes, the Committee is assumed to have taken action to fully accelerate target performance-based restricted stock unit awards under the long term incentive plan.

Assumptions for Messrs. Hogle, Ivey, and Longhi, and Ms. Moore

Below is a description of the assumptions that were used in creating the tables for Messrs. Hogle, Ivey, and Longhi, and Ms. Moore.

Equity Acceleration

As per the long term incentive plan, in the event of a Termination, resignation, retirement, death or Disability, the Management Development and Compensation Committee has discretion to determine the terms of the stock option awards and performance-based restricted stock awards (including, without limitation, to accelerate the vesting of unvested awards). Unless otherwise provided in the applicable long term incentive plan award agreement, in the event of a retirement, death or Disability, restricted stock awards vest pro-rata through the date of termination on the termination date.

As per the long term incentive plan, in the event of a Change in Control (as described below), stock unit awards, restricted stock awards, stock option awards, and stock appreciation awards fully vest on the date of such event. In the event of a Change in Control, performance-based restricted stock awards vest pro-rata through the date of such event.

For the purposes of the long term incentive plan: (i) a Termination means a resignation or discharge from employment, except death, disability or retirement, (ii) retirement means resignation on or after age 55 with at least five years of service, (iii) Disability means an inability to work in any gainful occupation for which the person is reasonably qualified by education, training or experience because of a sickness or injury for which the person is under doctor's care, and (iv) Change in Control has the same meaning as such term is used in the Severance Program.

Incremental Pension Amounts

As per the Severance Program, the amounts relating to the incremental pension amounts in the above tables are based on the net present value of one additional year of additional service credit under the Company's pension plans following a termination without Cause or a resignation for Good Reason (two additional years if such termination is in connection with a Change of Control) assuming compensation at the Executive's annual salary and target award, age 65 normal retirement, and the assumptions used to calculate lump sum benefits under the qualified retirement plan in December 2011. These assumptions include interest rates of 2.22 percent for the first five years, 4.23 percent for the next 15

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

years, and 5.28 percent thereafter (adjusted to 0.61 percent, 2.59 percent and 3.62 percent, respectively, to reflect cost of living adjustments) and the RP-2000 mortality table projected for 2011 (50 percent male/50 percent female blend). The assumptions for Messrs. Hoglund's and Ivey's and Ms. Moore's pension amount do not reflect a cost of living adjustment in accordance with the cash balance formula. All amounts payable pursuant to an incremental non-qualified pension are assumed to be paid as a lump-sum.

Table of Contents

Termination without Cause or a Resignation for Good Reason

As per the Severance Program, the Executive will receive certain benefits as described in the table above if he or she is terminated by the Company for reasons other than Cause or he or she resigns for Good Reason (following a Change of Control). A termination is for Cause if it is for any of the following reasons: (i) willful and continued failure to substantially perform his or her duties, (ii) a conviction of a felony or entering a plea of *nolo contendere* to a felony that has a significant adverse effect on the business of the Company, or (iii) a willful engaging in illegal conduct or in gross misconduct materially and demonstrably injurious to the Company.

As per the Severance Program, a resignation for Good Reason occurs if the Executive resigns for any of the following reasons on or following a Change of Control: (i) any material decrease in base compensation (except uniform decreases affecting similarly situated employees), (ii) any material breach by the Company of any material provisions of the Severance Program, (iii) a requirement by the Company for the Executive to be based more than 50 miles from the location the Executive is employed prior to the Change of Control, or (iv) the assignment of any duties materially inconsistent in any respect with the Executive's position, authority, duties or responsibilities in effect immediately prior to the Change of Control or any other action by the Company resulting in a material diminution in position, authority, duties or responsibilities.

Payments upon Termination of Employment in Connection with a Change of Control

As per the Severance Program, the Executive will receive certain benefits as described in the above table if his or her termination of employment is without Cause by the Company or he or she resigns for Good Reason following a Change of Control.

Section 280G

As per the Severance Program, in the event an Executive receives any payment or distribution from the Company in connection with a Change of Control, he or she may be subject to certain excise taxes pursuant to Section 280G. If any such payment by the Company to any of the Executives subjects the Executive to such taxes and the Executive would receive a greater net after-tax amount if the payment were reduced to avoid such taxation, the aggregate present value of amounts payable to the Executive pursuant to the Severance Program will be reduced (but not below zero) to the extent it does not trigger taxation under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code. As per the long term incentive plan, any stock option award for which the exercise price is greater than fair market value or Company Common Stock may be cancelled if such cancellation would reduce or eliminate any such excise taxes under Section 4999 of the Code.

CERTAIN INFORMATION AS TO INSURANCE AND INDEMNIFICATION

No stockholder action is required with respect to the following information that is included to fulfill the requirements of Sections 726 of the Business Corporation Law of the State of New York.

Effective December 2, 2011, the Company purchased Directors and Officers (D&O) Liability insurance for a one-year term providing for reimbursement, with certain exclusions and deductions, to: (a) Con Edison and its subsidiaries for payments they make to indemnify Directors,

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

Trustees, officers and assistant officers of Con Edison and its subsidiaries, (b) Directors, Trustees and officers for losses, costs and expenses incurred by them in actions brought against them in connection with their acts in those capacities for which they are not indemnified by Con Edison or its subsidiaries, and (c) Con Edison and its subsidiaries for any payments they make resulting from a securities claim. The insurers are: Associated Electric & Gas Insurance Services Limited, Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd., Arch Insurance Company, Continental Casualty Company, Endurance American Insurance Company, Federal Insurance Company, Illinois National Insurance Company, Ironshore Insurance Ltd., Bermuda, National Specialty Insurance Company, U.S. Specialty Insurance Company, X.L. Insurance

Table of Contents

(Bermuda) Ltd., XL Specialty Insurance Company and Zurich American Insurance Company. The total cost of the D&O Liability insurance for one year from December 2, 2011 amounts to \$4,670,176. The Company also purchased from Associated Electric & Gas Insurance Services Limited, Arch Insurance Company, Axis Insurance Company, Great American Insurance Company, Illinois National Insurance Company, St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company, RLI Insurance Company, U.S. Specialty Insurance Company and Zurich American Insurance Company, additional insurance coverage for one year effective January 1, 2012, insuring the Directors, Trustees, officers, and employees of Con Edison and its subsidiaries and certain other parties against certain liabilities which could arise in connection with fiduciary obligations mandated by ERISA and from the administration of the employee benefit plans of the Company and its subsidiaries. The cost of such coverage was \$859,628.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING

In order to be included in the Proxy Statement and form of proxy relating to the Company's 2013 annual meeting of stockholders, stockholder proposals must be received by the Company at its principal offices at 4 Irving Place, New York, New York 10003, Attention: Vice President and Corporate Secretary, by December 9, 2012.

Under the Company's By-laws, written notice of any proposal to be presented by any stockholder or any other person to be nominated by any stockholder for election as a Director must be received by the Secretary of the Company at its principal executive office not less than 70 days nor more than 90 days prior to the anniversary date of the previous year's annual meeting of stockholders; provided, however, that if the date of the annual meeting is first publicly announced or disclosed less than 80 days prior to the date of the meeting, such notice must be given not more than 10 days after such date is first announced or disclosed.

OTHER MATTERS TO COME BEFORE THE MEETING

Management intends to bring before the meeting only the election of Directors (Proposal No. 1) and Proposals No. 2 and 3, and knows of no matters to come before the meeting other than the matters set forth herein, including Proposal No. 4 by a stockholder. If other matters or motions come before the meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying form of proxy to vote such proxy in accordance with their judgment on such matters or motions, including any matters dealing with the conduct of the meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Carole Sobin

Vice President and Corporate Secretary

Dated: April 5, 2012

Table of Contents

ADMISSION TICKET

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

of

CONSOLIDATED EDISON, INC.

MONDAY, MAY 21, 2012 10:00 a.m.

4 Irving Place

New York, NY 10003

This ticket admits only the named stockholder(s). Please bring this admission ticket and a proper form of identification with you if attending the meeting.

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT!

Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please promptly vote by telephone, through the Internet or by completing and returning the attached proxy card. Voting early will not prevent you from voting in person at the Annual Meeting if you wish to do so. Your proxy is revocable in accordance with the procedures set forth in the proxy statement.

q **FOLD AND DETACH HERE** q

Consolidated Edison, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, NY 10003

CONSOLIDATED EDISON, INC

COMMON STOCK

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The undersigned hereby appoints Kevin Burke, Michael J. Del Giudice and Eugene R. McGrath and each or any of them with power of substitution, proxies to vote all stock of the undersigned (including any shares held through the Company's Automatic Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Payment Plan) at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders on Monday, May 21, 2012 at 10:00 a.m. at the Company's Headquarters, 4 Irving Place, New York, NY or at any adjournments or postponements thereof, as specified on the reverse side in the election of Directors and on the proposals, all as more fully set forth in the proxy statement, and in their discretion on any matters that may properly come before the meeting or at any adjournments or postponements thereof.

Your vote for the election of Directors may be indicated on the reverse side. Nominees are: 1.1 - Kevin Burke,

1.2 - Vincent A. Calarco, 1.3 - George Campbell, Jr., 1.4 - Gordon J. Davis, 1.5 - Michael J. Del Giudice, 1.6 - Ellen V. Futter,

1.7 - John F. Hennessy III, 1.8 - John F. Killian, 1.9 - Eugene R. McGrath, 1.10 - Sally H. Piñero, 1.11 - Michael W. Ranger and

1.12 - L. Frederick Sutherland.

THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED ON THE REVERSE SIDE, BUT IF NO CHOICE IS MADE, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE ELECTION OF THE NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR LISTED ABOVE (PROPOSAL 1), FOR PROPOSALS 2 AND 3, AND AGAINST PROPOSAL 4.

Address Change/Comments

(Mark the corresponding box on the reverse side)

SHAREOWNER SERVICES
P.O. BOX 3550
SOUTH HACKENSACK, NJ 07606-9250

(Continued and to be marked, dated and signed, on the reverse side)

Table of Contents

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT. PLEASE VOTE TODAY.

We encourage you to take advantage of Internet or telephone voting.

Both are available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

INTERNET AND TELEPHONE VOTING IS AVAILABLE UNTIL 11:59 P.M. EDT SUNDAY, MAY 20, 2012.

INTERNET

www.proxyvoting.com/ed

Use the Internet to vote your proxy. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site.

OR

TELEPHONE

1-866-540-5760

Use any touch-tone telephone to vote your proxy. Have your proxy card in hand when you call.

OR

MAIL

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

Mark, sign and date your proxy card. Detach your proxy card from this form. Return your proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided.

If you have submitted your proxy by Internet or by telephone, there is no need for you to mail back your proxy card.

Your Internet or telephone vote authorizes the named proxies to vote your shares in the same manner and to the same extent as if you marked, signed and returned your proxy card.

q **FOLD AND DETACH HERE** q

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR all of the nominees listed (Proposal 1).

Please mark your votes as x

indicated in this example

1. Election of Directors:

	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
1.1 Kevin Burke
1.2 Vincent A. Calarco
1.3 George Campbell, Jr.
1.4 Gordon J. Davis
1.5 Michael J. Del Giudice
1.6

Edgar Filing: TORTOISE ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE CORP - Form N-Q

Ellen V. Futter

	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
1.7

John F. Hennessy III

1.8
-----	----	----	----

John F. Killian

1.9
-----	----	----	----

Eugene R. McGrath

1.10
------	----	----	----

Sally H. Piñero

1.11
------	----	----	----

Michael W. Ranger

1.12
------	----	----	----

L. Frederick Sutherland

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR Proposals 2 and 3.

	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
2. Ratification of appointment of independent accountants.
3. Advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote AGAINST Stockholder Proposal 4.

	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
4. Additional compensation information.

SEE REVERSE FOR ADMISSION TICKET.

**THIS TICKET ADMITS ONLY
THE NAMED STOCKHOLDER(S).**

I will attend the
Annual Meeting ..
Mark Here for ..
Address Change
SEE REVERSE

(Please sign exactly as name or names appear hereon. Full title of one signing in representative capacity should be clearly designated after signature. Names of all joint holders should be written even if signed by only one.)

Signature

Co-owner sign here

Date

Table of Contents

***** Exercise Your *Right* to Vote *****

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the
Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on May 21, 2012**

Meeting Information

Meeting Type:	Annual
For holders as of:	March 26, 2012
Date: May 21, 2012	Time: 10:00 a.m. EDT
Location:	Consolidated Edison, Inc. 4 Irving Place New York, New York 10003

**THIS NOTICE IS YOUR ADMISSION TICKET TO THE
ANNUAL MEETING**

You are receiving this communication because you hold shares in the above named company.

This is not a ballot. You cannot use this notice to vote these shares. This communication presents only an overview of the more complete proxy materials that are available to you on the Internet. You may view the proxy materials online at www.proxyvoting.com/ed or easily request a paper copy (see reverse side).

We encourage you to access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy materials before voting.

See the reverse side of this notice to obtain proxy materials and voting instructions.

Voting Items

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR Proposals 2 and 3.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR all of the nominees listed (Proposal 1).

1. Election of Directors:

1.1 Kevin Burke

1.2 Vincent A. Calarco

1.3 George Campbell, Jr.

1.4 Gordon J. Davis

1.5 Michael J. Del Giudice

1.6 Ellen V Futter

1.7 John F. Hennessy III

1.8 John F. Killian

1.9 Eugene R. McGrath

1.10 Sally H. Piñero

1.11 Michael W. Ranger

2. Ratification of appointment of independent accountants.

3. Advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote AGAINST Stockholder Proposal 4.

4. Additional compensation information.

CONTROL NUMBER

1.12 L. Frederick Sutherland

21943

Table of Contents

BEFORE YOU VOTE

How to Access the Proxy Materials

Proxy Materials Available to VIEW or RECEIVE

NOTICE AND PROXY STATEMENT ANNUAL REPORT

How to View Online:

Have the 11-digit control number that is printed in the gray shaded box marked by the arrow located on the opposite side of this notice and visit: www.proxyvoting.com/ed.

How to Request and Receive a PAPER or E-MAIL Copy:

If you want to receive a paper or e-mail copy of these documents, you must request one. There is NO charge for requesting a copy. Please choose one of the following methods to make your request:

- 1) BY INTERNET: www.proxyvoting.com/ed
- 2) BY TELEPHONE: 1-888-313-0164
- 3) BY E-MAIL: shrrelations@bnymellon.com

If requesting materials by e-mail, please send a blank e-mail with the 11-digit control number that is printed in the gray shaded box marked by the arrow located on the opposite side of this notice in the subject line.

Requests, instructions and other inquiries sent to this e-mail address will NOT be forwarded. Please make the request as instructed above on or before May 11, 2012 to facilitate timely delivery.

HOW TO VOTE

Please Choose One of the Following Voting Methods

Vote By Internet: To vote now by Internet, go to www.proxyvoting.com/ed. Have the 11-digit control number that is printed in the gray shaded box marked by the arrow located on the opposite side of this notice available and follow the instructions.

Vote By Mail: You can vote by mail by requesting a paper copy of the materials, which will include a proxy card.

Vote in Person: This Notice and valid photo identification will serve as your non-transferable admission ticket to the annual meeting. If you are a stockholder of record, or hold a legal proxy, you may vote these shares by ballot at the annual meeting.

21943

Table of Contents

Why did I receive this Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice) and not the printed proxy materials?

In 2007, the Securities and Exchange Commission adopted Notice and Access Rules. Companies are permitted to send the enclosed **Notice** instead of a full set of printed proxy materials. The **Notice** gives you instructions on how to view Con Edison's proxy materials and vote online or how to receive a full set of printed proxy materials by mail.

There are several advantages to Con Edison sending a **Notice** instead of a full set of printed proxy materials:

Lowers Con Edison's printing and mailing costs.

Reduces environmental impact - saves trees and reduces fossil fuel consumption.

Allows faster notification of how to access proxy materials in an easily searchable format.

How do I view the proxy materials online?

Go to www.proxyvoting.com/ed and follow the instructions to view the proxy materials. You will need to provide the 11-digit control number printed in the gray shaded box marked by the arrow located on the **Notice**.

What if I still prefer to receive a paper copy of the proxy materials?

You can request a paper copy at no cost. You will need the 11-digit control number on the **Notice** that is printed in the gray shaded box marked by the arrow. Please select from one of the three options below.

By **INTERNET** at www.proxyvoting.com/ed;

By **PHONE** toll-free at 888-313-0164 or call 201-680-6688 if you are outside of the U.S. and Canada; or

By sending an **E-MAIL** to shrrelations@bnymellon.com; enter in the subject line the 11-digit control number printed in the gray shaded box marked by the arrow from the **Notice**. No other information is necessary.

Table of Contents

Can I request to receive my proxy materials by e-mail rather than receive a Notice?

Yes, you may request to receive proxy materials for all future meetings, either by e-mail, or in paper form by mail. To request future copies by e-mail, go to www.proxyvoting.com/ed and follow the electronic delivery enrollment instructions after voting your shares.

How can I vote my shares?

You may vote your shares via the **INTERNET** at www.proxyvoting.com/ed.

Provide the 11-digit control number printed in the gray shaded box marked by the arrow located on the **Notice**.

You may vote your shares **BY MAIL** by requesting a paper copy of the proxy materials.

You may vote your shares **BY PHONE** by requesting a paper copy of the proxy materials or by viewing the proxy materials at www.proxyvoting.com/ed at which time phone numbers will be provided. You will need a touch tone telephone to vote by phone.

You may also vote your shares **IN PERSON** at Con Edison's meeting. Please refer to the proxy statement for specific instructions. You are encouraged to read all of the proxy materials before voting your shares as they contain important information for making an informed voting decision.

You MAY NOT use your Notice to vote your shares. It is **NOT** a form for voting. If you send the **Notice** back your vote will not count.

For more information please visit,

www.sec.gov/spotlight/proxymatters/e-proxy.shtml

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

***** Exercise Your *Right* to Vote *****

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the
Shareholder Meeting to Be Held on May 21, 2012.**

Meeting Information

CONSOLIDATED EDISON, INC.

Meeting Type: Annual Meeting
For holders as of: March 26, 2012
Date: May 21, 2012 **Time:** 10:00 AM
Location: 4 Irving Place

New York, NY 10003

You are receiving this communication because you hold shares in the above named company.

This is not a ballot. You cannot use this notice to vote these shares. This communication presents only an overview of the more complete proxy materials that are available to you on the Internet. You may view the proxy materials online at www.proxyvote.com or easily request a paper copy (see reverse side).

We encourage you to access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy materials before voting.

See the reverse side of this notice to obtain proxy materials and voting instructions.

Table of Contents

Before You Vote

How to Access the Proxy Materials

Proxy Materials Available to VIEW or RECEIVE:

NOTICE AND PROXY STATEMENT ANNUAL REPORT

How to View Online:

Have the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow (located on the following page) and visit:
www.proxyvote.com.

How to Request and Receive a PAPER or E-MAIL Copy:

If you want to receive a paper or e-mail copy of these documents, you must request one. There is NO charge for requesting a copy. Please choose one of the following methods to make your request:

- 1) *BY INTERNET:* www.proxyvote.com
- 2) *BY TELEPHONE:* 1-800-579-1639
- 3) *BY E-MAIL*:* sendmaterial@proxyvote.com

* If requesting materials by e-mail, please send a blank e-mail with the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow (located on the following page) in the subject line.

Requests, instructions and other inquiries sent to this e-mail address will NOT be forwarded to your investment advisor. Please make the request as instructed above on or before May 7, 2012 to facilitate timely delivery.

How To Vote

Please Choose One of the Following Voting Methods

Vote By Internet: To vote now by Internet, go to www.proxyvote.com. Have the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow available and follow the instructions.

Vote By Mail: You can vote by mail by requesting a paper copy of the materials, which will include a voting instruction form.

Vote In Person: If you choose to vote these shares in person at the meeting, you must request a *legal proxy*. To do so, please follow the instructions at www.proxyvote.com or request a paper copy of the materials, which will contain the appropriate instructions. Many shareholder meetings have attendance requirements including, but not limited to, the possession of an attendance ticket issued by the entity holding the meeting. Please check the meeting materials for any special requirements for meeting attendance. **THIS NOTICE WILL SERVE AS AN ADMISSION TICKET.**

Table of Contents

Voting Items

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR all of the nominees listed (Proposal 1):

1. Election of Directors:

1a. Kevin Burke

1b. Vincent A. Calarco

1c. George Campbell, Jr.

1d. Gordon J. Davis

1e. Michael J. Del Giudice

1f. Ellen V. Futter

1g. John F. Hennessy III

1h. John F. Killian

1i. Eugene R. McGrath

1j. Sally H. Pinero

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR Proposals 2 and 3:

2. Ratification of appointment of independent accountants.

3. Advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote AGAINST Stockholder Proposal 4:

4. Additional compensation information.

1k. Michael W. Ranger

1l. L. Frederick Sutherland

Table of Contents

Why did I receive this Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice) and not the printed proxy materials?

In 2007, the Securities and Exchange Commission adopted Notice and Access Rules. Companies are permitted to send the enclosed **Notice** instead of a full set of printed proxy materials. The **Notice** gives you instructions on how to view Con Edison's proxy materials and vote online or how to receive a full set of printed proxy materials by mail.

There are several advantages to Con Edison sending a **Notice** instead of a full set of printed proxy materials:

Lowers Con Edison's printing and mailing costs.

Reduces environmental impact - saves trees and reduces fossil fuel consumption.

Allows faster notification of how to access proxy materials in an easily searchable format.

How do I view the proxy materials online?

Go to www.proxyvote.com and follow the instructions to view the proxy materials. You will need to provide the information printed in the box marked by the arrow located on the **Notice**.

What if I still prefer to receive a paper copy of the proxy materials?

You can request a paper copy at no cost. You will need the information on the **Notice** that is printed in the box marked by the arrow. Please select from one of the three options below.

By **INTERNET** at www.proxyvote.com;

By **TELEPHONE** toll-free at 800-579-1639; or

By sending an **E-MAIL** to sendmaterial@proxyvote.com; enter in the subject line the information printed in the box marked by the arrow from the **Notice**. No other information is necessary.

Table of Contents

Can I request to receive my proxy materials by e-mail rather than receive a Notice?

Yes, you may request to receive proxy materials for all future meetings, either by e-mail, or in paper form by mail. To request future copies by e-mail, go to www.proxyvote.com or www.investordelivery.com and follow the electronic delivery enrollment instructions.

How can I vote my shares?

You may vote your shares via the **INTERNET** at www.proxyvote.com.

Provide the information printed in the box marked by the arrow located on the **Notice**.

You may vote your shares **BY MAIL** by requesting a paper copy of the proxy materials.

You may vote your shares **BY PHONE** by requesting a paper copy of the proxy materials or by viewing the proxy materials at www.proxyvote.com at which time a toll-free number will be provided. You will need a touch tone telephone to vote by phone.

You may also vote your shares **IN PERSON** at Con Edison's meeting. Please refer to the proxy statement for specific instructions. You are encouraged to read all of the proxy materials before voting your shares as they contain important information for making an informed voting decision.

You MAY NOT use your Notice to vote your shares. It is **NOT** a form for voting. If you send the **Notice** back your vote will not count.

For more information please visit,

www.sec.gov/spotlight/proxymatters/e-proxy.shtml

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents