INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY
Form 10-Q
February 11, 2010

United States
Securities and Exchange Commission
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended January 2, 2010

OR

[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ____ to ____

Commission File Number 001-10684

International Game Technology

| Nevada | 88-0173041 |
|---|---|
| (State of Incorporation) | (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) |

9295 Prototype Drive
Reno, Nevada 89521
(Address of principal executive offices)

(775) 448-7777
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

www.IGT.com
(Registrant's website)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days:
Yes [X] No [ ]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).
Yes [ X ] No [ ]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer,” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer [X]     Accelerated filer [   ]     Non-accelerated filer [   ]     Smaller reporting company [   ]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act):
Yes [   ] No [X]

At February 8, 2010, there were 296.7 million shares of our $.00015625 par value common stock outstanding.

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS


| | |
|---|---|
| GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (as used in this document) | iii |
| PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION | 1 |
| Item 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 1 |
| CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS | 1 |
| CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS | 2 |
| CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS | 3 |
| NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | 5 |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 26 |
| FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS | 26 |
| OVERVIEW | 26 |
| RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS | 28 |
| CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES | 29 |
| CONSOLIDATED OPERATING RESULTS – A Year Over Year Comparative Analysis | 30 |
| BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS – A Year Over Year Comparative Analysis | 33 |
| LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES | 35 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 37 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 37 |
| PART II – OTHER INFORMATION | 38 |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 38 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 38 |
| Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 43 |
| Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities | 43 |
| Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 43 |
| Item 5. Other Information | 43 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 44 |

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (as used in this document)

| Fiscal dates as presented: | Fiscal dates -- actual: |
|---|---|
| December 31, 2009 | January 2, 2010 |
| December 31, 2008 | January 3, 2009 |
| September 30, 2009 | October 3, 2009 |

| Abbreviation/term as presented | Definition |
|---|---|
| Anchor | Anchor Gaming |
| ARS | auction rate securities |
| AVP® | Advanced Video Platform® |
| Bonds | 7.5% Notes due 2019 |
| bps | basis points |
| CAD | Canadian dollars |
| CCSC | Colorado Central Station Casino |
| CEO | Chief Executive Officer |
| CFO | Chief Financial Officer |
| CLS | China LotSynergy Holdings, Ltd. |
| DCF | Discounted cash flow |
| Debentures | 2.6% Convertible Debentures |
| EBITDA | earnings before interest, tax, depreciation, and amortization |
| EPA | Environmental Protection Agency |
| EPS | earnings per share |
| ESP | estimated selling price |
| FASB | Financial Accounting Standards Board |
| GAAP | generally accepted accounting principles |
| GSA | Gaming Standards Association |
| ICR | Interest coverage ratio |
| IGT | International Game Technology |
| IP | intellectual property |
| IRS | Internal Revenue Service |
| LIBOR | London Inter-Bank Offering Rate |
| MDA | management's discussion and analysis |
| MLD® | 3-D Multi-Layer Display |
| Notes | 3.25% Convertible Notes due 2014 |
| OSHA | Occupational Safety & Health Administration |
| pp | percentage points |
| SEC | Securities and Exchange Commission |
| SIP | Stock Incentive Plan |
| TLR | Total leverage ratio |
| TPE | Third-party evidence |
| UK | United Kingdom |
| US | United States |
| VIE | variable interest entity |
| VSOE | vendor specific other evidence |
| WAP | wide area progressive |
| * | not meaningful (in table) |

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS

| | Quarters Ended December 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| (In millions, except per share amounts) | | |
| Revenues | | |
| Gaming operations | $277.3 | $313.3 |
| Product sales | 238.4 | 288.3 |
| Total revenues | 515.7 | 601.6 |
| Costs and operating expenses | | |
| Cost of gaming operations | 104.1 | 151.9 |
| Cost of product sales | 115.0 | 143.8 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 90.0 | 114.9 |
| Research and development | 46.7 | 53.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 19.6 | 20.0 |
| Restructuring charges | 0 | 17.4 |
| Total costs and operating expenses | 375.4 | 501.5 |
| Operating income | 140.3 | 100.1 |
| Other income (expense) | | |
| Interest income | 16.0 | 16.5 |
| Interest expense | (43.2) | (35.5) |
| Other | (1.0) | (8.0) |
| Total other income (expense) | (28.2) | (27.0) |
| Income before tax | 112.1 | 73.1 |
| Income tax provision | 38.8 | 11.9 |
| Net income | $73.3 | $61.2 |
| | | |
| Basic earnings per share | $0.25 | $0.21 |
| | | |
| Diluted earnings per share | $0.25 | $0.21 |
| | | |
| Cash dividends declared per share | $0.06 | $0.15 |
| | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | |
| Basic | 295.1 | 293.3 |
| Diluted | 297.4 | 293.4 |

See accompanying notes

1

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (In millions, except par value) | December 31, 2009 | September 30, 2009 |
|---|---|---|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and equivalents | $173.0 | $146.7 |
| Investment securities | 19.6 | 21.3 |
| Restricted cash and investments | 84.4 | 79.4 |
| Jackpot annuity investments | 67.0 | 67.2 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 301.1 | 334.3 |
| Current maturities of notes and contracts receivable, net | 170.0 | 154.8 |
| Inventories | 150.8 | 157.8 |
| Deferred income taxes | 87.0 | 82.8 |
| Other assets and deferred costs | 158.3 | 189.4 |
| Total current assets | 1,211.2 | 1,233.7 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 558.0 | 558.8 |
| Jackpot annuity investments | 392.7 | 396.9 |
| Notes and contracts receivable, net | 258.8 | 249.4 |
| Goodwill | 1,152.3 | 1,151.5 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 247.2 | 259.2 |
| Deferred income taxes | 161.2 | 172.2 |
| Other assets and deferred costs | 292.4 | 306.4 |
| Total Assets | $4,273.8 | $4,328.1 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Short-term debt | $12.5 | $5.3 |
| Accounts payable | 99.8 | 90.5 |
| Jackpot liabilities, current portion | 159.8 | 155.5 |
| Accrued employee benefits | 11.7 | 32.8 |
| Accrued income taxes | 7.4 | 9.4 |
| Dividends payable | 17.8 | 17.8 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 259.3 | 313.2 |
| Total current liabilities | 568.3 | 624.5 |
| Long-term debt | 1,943.3 | 2,014.7 |
| Jackpot liabilities | 425.8 | 432.6 |
| Other liabilities | 201.0 | 192.7 |
| Total Liabilities | 3,138.4 | 3,264.5 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Common stock: $.00015625 par value; 1,280.0 shares authorized; 337.4 and 337.2 issued; 296.6 outstanding | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,418.2 | 1,407.5 |
| Treasury stock at cost: 40.8 and 40.6 shares | (801.0) | (799.3) |
| Retained earnings | 503.1 | 447.6 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 15.2 | 6.1 |
| Total IGT Stockholders' Equity | 1,135.6 | 1,062.0 |
| Non-Controlling Interests | (0.2) | 1.6 |
| Total Equity | 1,135.4 | 1,063.6 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | $4,273.8 | $4,328.1 |

See accompanying notes

2

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| Three Months Ended December 31, | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|---|---|
| (In millions) | | |
| Operations | | |
| Net income | $ 73.3 | $ 61.2 |
| Adjustments: | | |
| Depreciation, amortization, and asset charges | 62.7 | 79.1 |
| Discounts and deferred issuance costs | 13.8 | 5.9 |
| Inventory obsolescence | 2.3 | 3.4 |
| Bad debt provisions | 2.7 | 11.3 |
| Share-based compensation | 9.0 | 10.8 |
| Loss on investments | (0.1) | 5.3 |
| Gain on redemption of debt | 0 | (2.3) |
| Other non-cash items | (0.4) | (2.6) |
| Excess tax benefits from employee stock plans | (2.7) | 0 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, excluding acquisitions: | | |
| Receivables | 23.3 | 47.3 |
| Inventories | 8.8 | 3.4 |
| Other assets and deferred costs | 15.7 | 20.6 |
| Income taxes, net of employee stock plans | 27.4 | (3.6) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (58.3) | (103.3) |
| Jackpot liabilities | (8.8) | 13.0 |
| Cash from operations | 168.7 | 149.5 |
| Investing | | |
| Capital expenditures | (53.3) | (76.0) |
| Proceeds from assets sold | 0.8 | 1.3 |
| Proceeds from investment securities | 1.9 | 0 |
| Jackpot annuity investments, net | 10.8 | 7.2 |
| Changes in restricted cash | (5.0) | (11.6) |
| Loans receivable cash advanced | (17.7) | (41.5) |
| Loans receivable payments received | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| Investments in unconsolidated affiliates | (4.9) | (10.3) |
| Business acquisitions/VIE deconsolidation | (1.4) | (0.2) |
| Cash from investing | (66.7) | (129.1) |
| Financing | | |
| Debt proceeds | 946.6 | 440.0 |
| Debt repayments | (1,009.2) | (417.8) |
| Employee stock plan proceeds | 2.4 | 0.1 |
| Excess tax benefits from employee stock plans | 2.7 | 0 |
| Dividends paid | (17.8) | (42.9) |
| Cash from financing | (75.3) | (20.6) |
| Foreign exchange rates effect on cash | (0.4) | (5.1) |
| Net change in cash and equivalents | 26.3 | (5.3) |
| Beginning cash and equivalents | 146.7 | 266.4 |
| Ending cash and equivalents | $ 173.0 | $ 261.1 |

See accompanying notes

Supplemental Cash Flows Information

"Depreciation, amortization, and asset charges" reflected in the cash flows statements are comprised of amounts presented separately on the income statements, plus "depreciation, amortization, and asset charges" included in cost of gaming operations and cost of product sales.

| Three Months Ended December 31, | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|---|---|
| (In millions) | | |
| Jackpot funding | | |
| Change in jackpot liabilities | $(8.8) | $13.0 |
| | | |
| Jackpot annuity purchases | (2.4) | (5.8) |
| Jackpot annuity proceeds | 13.2 | 13.0 |
| Net change in jackpot annuity investments | 10.8 | 7.2 |
| Net jackpot funding | $2.0 | $20.2 |
| Capital expenditures | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | $(5.5) | $(17.1) |
| Gaming operations equipment | (46.3) | (57.3) |
| Intellectual property | (1.5) | (1.6) |
| Total | $(53.3) | $(76.0) |
| Payments | | |
| Interest | $41.1 | $28.0 |
| Income taxes | 11.7 | 25.1 |
| Non-cash investing and financing items: | | |
| Accrued capital asset additions | $2.7 | $5.0 |
| Interest accretion for jackpot annuity investments | 6.3 | 7.3 |
| | | |
| Business acquisitions/purchase price adjustments and VIE deconsolidations | | |
| Fair value of assets | $(0.8) | $0.2 |
| Fair value of liabilities | (2.2) | 0 |

See accompanying notes

4

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND CONSOLIDATION

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of International Game Technology (IGT, we, our, or the Company), including all majority-owned or controlled subsidiaries and VIEs for which we are the primary beneficiary. All appropriate inter-company accounts and transactions are eliminated.

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with SEC and US GAAP requirements and include all adjustments of a normal recurring nature that are necessary to fairly present our consolidated results of operations, financial position, and cash flows for all periods presented. Interim period results are not necessarily indicative of full year results. This quarterly report includes subsequent events evaluated through the date of financial statement issuance on February 11, 2010 and should be read in conjunction with our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our fiscal year is reported on a 52/53-week period that ends on the Saturday nearest to September 30 each year. Similarly, our quarters end on the Saturday nearest to the last day of the quarter end month. For simplicity, this report presents all fiscal periods using the calendar month end as outlined in the table below. Fiscal 2010 will contain 52 weeks and our results for the current first quarter contained 13 weeks versus 14 weeks in the prior year quarter.

| | Period End | |
|---|---|---|
| | Presented as | Actual |
| Current quarter | December 31, 2009 | January 2, 2010 |
| Prior year quarter | December 31, 2008 | January 3, 2009 |
| Prior fiscal year end | September 30, 2009 | October 3, 2009 |

Use of Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with US GAAP. Accordingly, we are required to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that we believe are reasonable based on our historical experience, contract terms, observance of known trends in our company and the industry as a whole, and information available from other outside sources. Our estimates affect reported amounts for assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses, and related disclosures. Actual results may differ from initial estimates.

## 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### Recently Issued Accounting Standards That Have Been Adopted

### Fair Value Measurements

In September 2006, new accounting guidance was issued which refined the definition of fair value, established a framework for measuring fair value, and expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. The adoption of this guidance for non-financial assets and liabilities beginning October 1, 2009 did not have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

### Revenue Recognition For Software-enabled Products and Multi-element Arrangements

In October 2009, the FASB issued new revenue recognition accounting standards with respect to certain software-enabled products and multi-element arrangements. We elected to early adopt this new guidance prospectively for new or materially modified arrangements entered into on or after the beginning of our first quarter of fiscal 2010. For transactions entered into prior to the first quarter of fiscal 2010, revenues will continue to be recognized based on prior revenue recognition guidance.

Under the new guidance, tangible products, containing both software and nonsoftware components that function together to deliver a tangible product's essential functionality, will no longer be subject to software revenue accounting. The new guidance also established a more economically aligned model for allocating revenues among multiple deliverables in a multi-element arrangement, based on relative selling prices. In order of preference, relative selling prices will be estimated based on VSOE, TPE, or management's ESP, and the residual method is no longer allowed.

Most of our products and services qualify as separate units of accounting, and the new guidance does not change this premise. When VSOE or TPE is not available, generally for new or highly customized offerings and solutions, estimated selling price is the amount we would sell the product or service for individually. The determination of ESP is made based on our normal pricing and discounting practices, which consider multiple factors, such as market conditions, competitive landscape, internal costs, and profit objectives.

Under the new guidance, revenues for machines and other software-enabled equipment in certain bundled arrangements will no longer be deferred because VSOE is not available for an undelivered element. Generally, revenues allocated to non-software elements will be recognized upon delivery and customer acceptance, and only revenues allocated to software elements will require deferral and recognition over the lease or license term.

Although this new accounting guidance is not currently expected to have a significant effect on the timing or amount of revenues in periods after the initial adoption, the impact is dependent upon the prevalence of future multi-element arrangements and the evolution of new sales strategies. Adoption of the new revenue recognition guidance for the first quarter of fiscal 2010 resulted in $10.4 million of revenues which would have been recognized in later periods under the prior guidance. Pro forma revenues that would have been reported under the prior accounting guidance are reflected in the following table:

| | Quarter Ended December 31, 2009 | |
|---|---|---|
| | As Reported | Pro Forma |
| (In millions) | | |
| Revenues: | | |
| Gaming operations | $ 277.3 | $ 270.4 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Product Sales | | 238.4 | | 234.9 |
| Total | $ | 515.7 | $ | 505.3 |

6

Participating Securities in Share-Based Payment Transactions

At the beginning of fiscal 2010, we adopted new accounting guidance issued in June 2008 for determining whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities which should be included in the computation of EPS using the two-class method (see Note 13). Certain restricted stock granted under our employee SIP (see Note 6) is considered a participating security because it carries non-forfeitable rights to dividends. The adoption of this guidance was insignificant to our financial statements and the effect of the required retrospective application for prior periods presented is outlined in the table below at the end of this section.

Business Combinations and Noncontrolling Interests

At the beginning of fiscal 2010, we adopted new accounting guidance issued in December 2007 revising the method of accounting for a number of aspects of business combinations and noncontrolling interests (i.e. minority interests), such that more assets and liabilities will be measured at fair value as of the acquisition date. Certain contingent consideration liabilities will require remeasurement at fair value in each subsequent reporting period. Noncontrolling interests will initially be measured at fair value and classified as a separate component of equity.

Acquisition related costs, such as fees for attorneys, accountants, and investment bankers, will be expensed as incurred and no longer be capitalized as part of the business purchase price. For all acquisitions, regardless of the consummation date, deferred tax assets and uncertain tax position adjustments occurring after the measurement period will be recorded as a component of income tax expense, rather than adjusted through goodwill. For business combinations and asset purchases, the impact of this guidance on our results of operations or financial position will vary depending on the specifics of each transaction.

This adoption did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Income attributable to the noncontrolling interests is presented as a component of other income (expense) as it was not significant to our consolidated operating results. The required retrospective presentation of noncontrolling interests as a separate component of stockholders' equity, rather than liabilities, is outlined in the table below at the end of this section.

Convertible Debt Instruments

At the beginning of fiscal 2010, we adopted new accounting standards issued in May 2008 requiring the separation of liability (debt) and equity (conversion option) components for convertible debt instruments that may settle in cash upon conversion. This guidance requires separation of the liability and equity components to reflect an effective nonconvertible borrowing rate when the debt was issued.

We estimated fair value of our Debentures and Notes using similar debt instruments at issuance that did not have a conversion feature and allocated an equity component included in paid-in capital that represents the estimated fair value of the conversion feature at issuance.

The adoption of this new accounting guidance increased first quarter noncash interest expense $9.3 million for fiscal 2010 and $5.1 million for fiscal 2009. On an annual basis for fiscal 2010 and 2009, noncash interest expense will increase approximately $30.0 million and fiscal 2009 Debenture repurchase gains decrease approximately $5.0 million, reducing diluted EPS approximately $0.06 for fiscal 2010 and $0.08 for 2009.

Additionally, the new guidance decreased long-term debt, deferred tax assets and deferred offer costs and increased stockholders' equity. The effects of the required retrospective application for prior periods presented are outlined in the table below at the end of this section as it relates to our Debentures and Notes (see Note 10). The adjustment to long-term debt represents the unamortized balance of the revised discount.

7

Retrospective Application of New Accounting Standards Adopted at the Beginning of Fiscal 2010

| (In millions, except per share amounts) | As Previously Reported | Adjustments Convertible Debt | Adjustments Non-controlling Interests | Adjustments Partici-pating Securities | As Currently Presented |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| INCOME STATEMENT For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
| Interest expense | $ (30.4) | $ (5.1) | $ - | $ - | $ (35.5) |
| Other income (expense), net | (5.9) | (2.1) | - | - | (8.0) |
| Income before tax | 80.3 | (7.2) | - | - | 73.1 |
| Income tax provision | 14.6 | (2.7) | - | - | 11.9 |
| Net income | 65.7 | (4.5) | - | - | 61.2 |
| | | | | | |
| Basic EPS | $ 0.22 | $ (0.01) | - | - | $ 0.21 |
| Diluted EPS | 0.22 | (0.01) | - | - | 0.21 |
| | | | | | |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding | 293.7 | - | - | (0.3) | 293.4 |
| BALANCE SHEET At September 30, 2009 | | | | | |
| Deferred income taxes (noncurrent) | $ 227.3 | $ (55.1) | $ - | $ - | $ 172.2 |
| Other assets and deferred costs (noncurrent) | 311.4 | (5.0) | - | - | 306.4 |
| Total assets | 4,388.2 | (60.1) | - | - | 4,328.1 |
| | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | 2,169.5 | (154.8) | - | - | 2,014.7 |
| Other liabilities | 194.3 | - | (1.6) | - | 192.7 |
| Total liabilities | 3,420.9 | (154.8) | (1.6) | - | 3,264.5 |
| | | | | | |
| Paid-in capital | 1,264.1 | 143.4 | - | - | 1,407.5 |
| Retained earnings | 496.3 | (48.7) | - | - | 447.6 |
| Total equity | 967.3 | 94.7 | 1.6 | - | 1,063.6 |
| STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
| Operations | | | | | |
| Net income | $ 65.7 | $ (4.5) | $ - | $ - | $ 61.2 |
| Adjustments: | | | | | |
| Other non-cash items | (0.8) | - | (1.8) | - | (2.6) |
| Discounts and deferred issuance costs | 0.8 | 5.1 | - | - | 5.9 |
| Gain on redemption of debt | (4.4) | 2.1 | - | - | (2.3) |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (105.1 ) | - | 1.8 | - | (103.3 ) |
| Income taxes, net of employee stock plans | (0.9 ) | (2.7 ) | - | - | (3.6 ) |

Recently Issued Accounting Standards Not Yet Adopted

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities

In June 2009, the FASB issued new guidance which requires us to reassess our primary beneficiary position for all VIE arrangements based on qualitative factors on an on-going basis. This guidance is effective beginning with our first quarter of fiscal 2011 and must be adopted through a cumulative-effect adjustment (with a retrospective option). We continue to evaluate the extent to which this guidance will impact our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

Fair Value Measurements

In January 2010, the FASB issued new guidance which will require added disclosures related to assets and liabilities carried at fair value to identify significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2, as well as provide purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements within the Level 3 reconciliation. This guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009 or IGT’s second quarter of fiscal 2010.

3. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES AND AFFILIATES

Variable Interest Entities

As the primary beneficiary, we consolidate our VIE WAP trusts in New Jersey that are responsible for administering jackpot payments to winners. The VIE trust consolidations increase jackpot liabilities and related assets, as well as interest income and equivalent offsetting interest expense. Consolidated VIE trust assets and equivalent liabilities totaled $88.3 million at December 31, 2009 and $91.3 million at September 30, 2009.

Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates

China LotSynergy Holdings, Ltd.

At December 31, 2009, our investments in CLS stock and convertible notes were accounted for as available-for-sale securities reflected in the aggregate table below. We determined that no features of the convertible notes met the definition of a derivative requiring bifurcation at December 31, 2009. See Note 15 about related foreign currency derivatives and Note 16 for factors related to fair value measurements.

For our equity method joint ventures with CLS, IGT Synergy Holding Ltd. and Asiatic Group Ltd., as of and for the three months ended December 31, 2009, we recognized a loss of $0.1 million and $8.4 million remains to be funded on our capital commitment.

Aggregate Available-for-sale Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates

| December 31, 2009 | | Adjusted Cost | | Unrealized gain (loss) | | Fair Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| (In millions) | | | | | | |
| CLS Stock | $ | 12.2 | $ | 4.4 | $ | 16.6 |
| CLS Convertible Note | | 78.6 | | 5.6 | | 84.2 |
| Total | $ | 90.8 | $ | 10.0 | $ | 100.8 |

4. INVENTORIES

| | | December 31, 2009 | | September 30, 2009 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (In millions) | | | | |
| Raw materials | $ | 80.1 | $ | 74.9 |
| Work-in-process | | 7.4 | | 6.7 |
| Finished goods | | 63.3 | | 76.2 |
| Total | $ | 150.8 | $ | 157.8 |

5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

| (In millions) | December 31, 2009 | September 30, 2009 |
|---|---|---|
| Land | $ 62.7 | $ 62.7 |
| Buildings | 230.4 | 230.0 |
| Leasehold improvements | 15.0 | 14.5 |
| Machinery, furniture and equipment | 295.1 | 300.2 |
| Gaming operations equipment | 847.9 | 832.4 |
| Total | 1,451.1 | 1,439.8 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (893.1) | (881.0) |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | $ 558.0 | $ 558.8 |

6. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The amount, frequency, and terms of share-based awards may vary based on competitive practices, operating results, and government regulations. New IGT common shares are issued upon option exercises or restricted share grants. Our current practice is generally to grant restricted share awards in the form of units without dividends. Forfeitures are typically due to employee terminations.

On November 4, 2009, IGT granted 2.7 million employee stock options with an exercise price of $18.60 per share in exchange for the 5.3 million underwater employee stock options surrendered in a shareholder approved exchange offer that expired on November 3, 2009. The newly granted options have a six-year contractual term and will vest ratably over two years. The exchange ratio was calculated based on the fair values of the options surrendered and issued under a value-for-value exchange and incremental compensation expense recognized was not material. In connection with the exchange, we recorded $1.4 million of deferred tax benefits.

At December 31, 2009, shares available for grant under the IGT SIP totaled 16.0 million and we have $102.4 million of unrecognized share-based compensation expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.0 years. SIP activity is reflected below as of and for the three months ended December 31, 2009.

| | | Weighted Average | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Options | Shares (thousands) | Exercise Price (per share) | Remaining Contractual Term (years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (millions) |
| Outstanding at beginning of fiscal year | 18,022 | $26.88 | | |
| Granted | 3,570 | 18.97 | | |
| Exercised | (202) | 12.03 | | |
| Forfeited | (217) | 35.53 | | |
| Expired | (177) | 19.51 | | |
| Stock options exchange: | | | | |
| Granted | 2,653 | 18.60 | | |
| Cancelled | (5,306) | 36.35 | | |
| Outstanding at end of period | 18,343 | $21.53 | 6.7 | $39.0 |
| Vested and expected to vest | 18,077 | $21.59 | 6.7 | $38.4 |
| Exercisable at end of period | 7,585 | $26.72 | 4.5 | $9.8 |

| Restricted Shares/Units | Shares (thousands) | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value (per share) | Weighted Average Remaining Vesting Period (years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (millions) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Outstanding at beginning of fiscal year | 2,000 | $ 22.60 | | |
| Granted | 1,332 | 18.38 | | |
| Vested | (464) | 25.12 | | |
| Forfeited | (123) | 36.85 | | |
| Outstanding at end of period | 2,745 | $ 19.57 | 1.9 | $ 51.5 |
| | | | | |
| Expected to vest | 2,691 | $ 19.60 | 2.0 | $ 50.5 |

7. ALLOWANCE FOR RECEIVABLES

| (In millions) | December 31, 2009 | September 30, 2009 |
|---|---|---|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | $ 24.0 | $ 23.4 |
| | | |
| Allowance for doubtful notes and contracts | | |
| Current | $ 23.8 | $ 22.6 |
| Non-current | 10.8 | 10.6 |
| | $ 34.6 | $ 33.2 |

8. CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and equivalents, investments, and receivables. We place short-term investments in high credit quality financial institutions and in short-duration high-quality securities. With the exception of US Government and Agency securities, our short-term investment policy limits the amount of credit exposure in any one financial institution, industry group, or type of investment. Cash on deposit may be in excess of Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation limits.

Our receivables are concentrated in the following legalized gaming regions at December 31, 2009:

| North America | | International | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Alabama | 12% | Argentina | 22% |
| Nevada | 9 | Europe | 7 |
| Oklahoma | 6 | Other Latin America | 6 |
| Pennsylvania | 5 | Other (less than 5% individually) | 8 |
| Other (less than 5% individually) | 25 | | 43% |
| | 57% | | |

Our net carrying amount for development financing and accounts receivable extended in Alabama to charitable bingo properties was approximately $83.0 million at December 31, 2009. The legality of the operations of these properties

has been challenged by the Governor's Task Force on Illegal Gambling.

Auction Rate Securities

After $1.9 million par were called during the first quarter just ended, we held $19.7 million par of trading ARS along with corresponding put rights at December 31, 2009. The carrying fair values totaled $16.3 million for ARS and $3.3 million for put rights at December 31, 2009. See Note 16 for our valuation techniques and assumptions. The following changes in fair value were included in other income (expense):

- $0.1 million net gain ($0.4 million ARS gain and $0.3 million put loss) for the quarter ended December 31, 2009
- $1.5 million net loss ($6.2 million ARS loss and $4.7 million put gain) for the quarter ended December 31, 2008

9. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES

Goodwill

| Activity by Segment<br>Three Months Ended December 31, 2009<br>(In millions) | | North<br>America | | International | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Beginning balance | $ | 1,042.8 | $ | 108.7 | $ | 1,151.5 |
| Foreign currency adjustments | | - | | 0.8 | | 0.8 |
| Ending balance | $ | 1,042.8 | $ | 109.5 | $ | 1,152.3 |

Other Intangibles

During the quarter ended December 31, 2009, we added $0.7 million for capitalized patent legal costs with a weighted average life of 6 years.

| | December 31, 2009 | | | | | | September 30, 2009 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Balances<br>(In millions) | | Cost | | Accumulated<br>Amortization | | Net | | Cost | | Accumulated<br>Amortization | | Net |
| Finite lived intangible assets | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Patents | $ | 396.4 | $ | 214.3 | $ | 182.1 | $ | 396.3 | $ | 205.7 | $ | 190.6 |
| Developed technology | | 76.7 | | 39.7 | | 37.0 | | 76.7 | | 37.3 | | 39.4 |
| Contracts | | 26.5 | | 16.3 | | 10.2 | | 26.4 | | 15.7 | | 10.7 |
| Reacquired rights | | 13.4 | | 0.3 | | 13.1 | | 13.4 | | 0.1 | | 13.3 |
| Customer relationships | | 8.8 | | 5.0 | | 3.8 | | 8.8 | | 4.8 | | 4.0 |
| Trademarks | | 3.6 | | 2.6 | | 1.0 | | 3.6 | | 2.4 | | 1.2 |
| Total | $ | 525.4 | $ | 278.2 | $ | 247.2 | $ | 525.2 | $ | 266.0 | $ | 259.2 |

Aggregate amortization expense totaled $12.8 million in the current quarter versus $11.5 million in the prior year quarter.

| | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| (In millions) | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Estimated annual amortization | $50.8 | $44.5 | $39.5 | $36.0 | $32.0 |

10. CREDIT FACILITIES AND INDEBTEDNESS

| Outstanding Debt<br>(In millions) | December 31,<br>2009 | September 30,<br>2009 |
|---|---|---|
| Domestic credit facility | $ 737.0 | $ 100.0 |
| Foreign credit facilities | 6.7 | 5.3 |
| Debentures | 5.8 | 707.0 |
| Notes | 850.0 | 850.0 |
| Bonds | 500.0 | 500.0 |
| Total principal | $ 2,099.5 | $ 2,162.3 |
| Debentures discount | - | (2.7) |
| Notes discount | (145.2) | (152.1) |
| Bonds discount | (2.6) | (2.6) |
| Swap fair value adjustment (see Note 15) | 4.1 | 15.1 |
| Total long-term debt, net | $ 1,955.8 | $ 2,020.0 |

IGT was in compliance with all applicable debt covenants at December 31, 2009. Embedded features of all debt agreements were evaluated and did not require bifurcation or had only a nominal value at December 31, 2009.

At the beginning of fiscal 2010, we adopted new accounting standards requiring the separation of liability (debt) and equity (conversion option) components of our convertible debt instruments to reflect an effective nonconvertible borrowing rate when the debt was issued. See Note 2 for prior period amounts retrospectively recast under the new standards.

Domestic Credit Facility

At December 31, 2009, $0.7 billion was drawn ($0.6 billion extended and $0.1 billion non-extended), $1.1 billion was available, and $3.6 million was reserved for letters of credit on our domestic revolving credit facility. The outstanding amount carried a 2.54% weighted average interest rate.

Interest under the credit facility is paid at least quarterly with rates and facility fees based on our public debt ratings or debt to capitalization ratio. Currently, extended commitments bear interest at LIBOR plus 260 bps with a facility fee of 65 bps and non-extended commitments bear interest at LIBOR plus 37.5 bps with a facility fee of 12.5 bps.

Foreign Credit Facilities

At December 31, 2009, $6.7 million was drawn at a weighted average rate of 1.38% and $41.4 million was available under our three revolving credit facilities in Japan and $9.0 million was available under a revolving credit facility in Australia. These foreign credit facilities generally renew annually and are guaranteed by the parent company, International Game Technology, with maturities in January, April, and August for Japan and in March for Australia.

2.6% Convertible Debentures

On December 15, 2009, $701.2 million in aggregate principal of Debentures were validly tendered under the holders' put option and accepted by IGT for payment. On December 21, 2009, IGT gave call notice to the remaining Debenture holders and completed final redemption of the remaining $5.8 million aggregate outstanding principal on February 4, 2010.

As recast, the equity component totaled $43.7 million and the effective interest rate on the debt component was 6.2%. As of December 31, 2009, the discount was fully amortized. For the three months ended December 31, 2009 and

2008, respectively, the contractual interest expense totaled $3.8 million and $5.8 million and the discount amortization totaled $2.7 million and $5.2 million. The first quarter of fiscal 2009 also included $2.3 million (as recast) in other income (expense) related to gains on Debentures repurchased.

3.25% Convertible Notes

As recast, the equity component totaled $99.7 million and the effective interest rate on the debt component was 8.7%. The contractual interest expense totaled $6.9 million and interest expense related to the discount amortization totaled $6.9 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2010. The remaining discount amortization period was 4.3 years at December 31, 2009.

The market price condition for convertibility of our Notes was not met and there were no related note hedges or warrants exercised at December 31, 2009.

7.5% Bonds

Interest rate swaps executed in conjunction with our 7.5% Bonds due 2019 are described in Note 15.

11. CONTINGENCIES

Litigation

IGT has been named in and has brought lawsuits in the normal course of business. We do not expect the outcome of these suits, including the lawsuits described below, to have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of future operations.

Bally

2004 Federal District Court of Nevada

On December 7, 2004, IGT filed a complaint in US District Court for the District of Nevada, alleging that defendants Alliance Gaming Corp., Bally Gaming Int'l, Inc., and Bally Gaming, Inc. infringed six US patents held by IGT: US Patent Nos. 6,827,646; 5,848,932; 5,788,573; 5,722,891; 6,712,698; and 6,722,985. On January 21, 2005, defendants filed an answer denying the allegations in the complaint and raising various affirmative defenses to IGT's asserted claims. Defendants also asserted fourteen counterclaims against IGT, including counterclaims for a declaratory judgment of non-infringement, invalidity, and unenforceability of the asserted patents, and for antitrust violations and intentional interference with prospective business advantage. IGT has successfully moved for partial summary judgment on defendants' counterclaims for intentional interference with prospective business advantage and defendants' antitrust allegations related to the gaming machine market. IGT denies the remaining allegations. On May 9, 2007, the Court issued an order construing disputed terms of the asserted patent claims. On October 16, 2008, the Court issued summary judgment rulings finding certain of IGT's patents, including patents that IGT believes cover bonus wheel gaming machines, invalid as obvious. The rulings also found that Bally was not infringing certain patents asserted by IGT. Bally's antitrust and unfair competition counterclaims remain pending. On November 7, 2008, the Court issued an order staying the proceedings and certifying the summary judgment and claim construction rulings for immediate appeal. On December 1, 2008, IGT appealed the rulings to the US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. On January 8, 2009, Bally moved to dismiss the appeal on jurisdictional grounds. On February 2, 2009, the Federal Circuit denied the Bally motion without prejudice to the parties raising jurisdictional issues in their merits briefs. On October 22, 2009, the Federal Circuit affirmed the District Court's summary judgment rulings. On December 7, 2009, Bally filed a motion to lift the stay and schedule a trial on the remaining issues. A hearing on the motion was held on February 1, 2010, at which the Court indicated that it would revisit earlier motions for summary judgment on the issues not addressed on appeal, including IGT's motions for summary judgment on Bally's antitrust and unfair competition counterclaims. Consequently, a trial on Bally's antitrust and unfair competition counterclaims has not yet been scheduled.

2006 Federal District Court of Delaware

On April 28, 2006, IGT filed a complaint in US District Court for the District of Delaware, alleging that defendants Bally Technologies, Inc., Bally Gaming Int'l, Inc., and Bally Gaming, Inc. infringed nine US patents held by IGT: US Patent Nos. RE 38,812; RE 37,885; 6,832,958; 6,319,125; 6,244,958; 6,431,983; 6,607,441; 6,565,434; and 6,620,046. The complaint alleges that the “BALLY POWER BONUSING™” technology infringes one or more of the claims of the asserted IGT patents. The lawsuit seeks monetary damages and an injunction. On June 30, 2006, defendants filed an answer denying the allegations in the complaint and raising various affirmative defenses to IGT’s asserted claims. Defendants also asserted twelve counterclaims against IGT, including counterclaims for a declaratory judgment of non-infringement, invalidity, and unenforceability of the asserted patents, antitrust violations, unfair competition, and intentional interference with prospective business advantage. IGT denies these allegations. Pursuant to stipulation of the parties, all claims and counterclaims except those relating to US Patent Nos. RE 37,885 ("the '885 patent"), RE 38,812 ("the '812 patent"), and 6,431,983 have been dismissed. All proceedings relating to Bally’s antitrust, unfair competition, and intentional interference counterclaims have been stayed. On April 28, 2009, the court issued a summary judgment ruling finding the '885 and '812 patents valid. The court also ruled that Bally's "Power Rewards" and "ACSC Power Winners" products infringe certain claims of the '885 and '812 patents. The court granted Bally's motion for summary judgment that Bally's "SDS Power Winners" does not infringe the '885 patent and "Power Bank" and "Power Promotions" do not infringe the '983 patent. The court denied Bally's motion for summary judgment that the '983 patent is invalid. The parties have agreed that Bally's counterclaim for a declaratory judgment on invalidity of the '983 patent will be dismissed without prejudice. IGT’s motion for a permanent injunction against Bally’s infringing products was denied. A trial to determine the amount of damages incurred by IGT, and related matters, as a result of Bally's infringement has not yet been scheduled.

2006 Federal District Court of Nevada

On September 5, 2006, Bally Gaming, Inc. filed a complaint in US District Court for the District of Nevada alleging that IGT is infringing US Patent No. 7,100,916, entitled “Indicator Wheel System.” The products named in the complaint are IGT’s gaming machines with “wheel” features, including, without limitation, Wheel of Fortune®, Wheel of Gold®, The Addams Family™, American Bandstand®, The Apprentice™, Dilbert's™ Wheelbert™, Drew Carey Great Balls of Cash™, Elvira®, I Dream of Jeannie®, I Love Lucy™, Indiana Jones™: Raiders of the Lost Ark™, M*A*S*H*™, Megabucks® with Morgan Fairchild, Regis On the Town™, Sinatra™ and The Twilight Zone® gaming machines. The lawsuit seeks unspecified monetary damages and an injunction. On October 6, 2006, IGT filed an answer and counterclaims denying infringement and seeking a declaration that the patent is invalid and non-infringed. On September 9, 2008, the Court granted IGT’s motion for summary judgment of invalidity and final judgment in IGT’s favor was entered on October 3, 2008. Bally appealed the decision to the US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. On October 22, 2009, the Federal Circuit affirmed the District Court’s summary judgment ruling.

Aristocrat

2006 Northern Federal District Court of California

On June 12, 2006, Aristocrat Technologies Australia PTY Ltd. and Aristocrat Technologies, Inc. filed a patent infringement lawsuit against IGT. Aristocrat alleged that IGT willfully infringed US Patent No. 7,056,215, which issued on June 6, 2006. On December 15, 2006, Aristocrat filed an amended complaint, adding allegations that IGT willfully infringed US Patent No. 7,108,603, which issued on September 19, 2006. The IGT products named in the original and amended complaints were the Fort Knox® mystery progressive slot machines. On June 13, 2007, the US District Court for the Northern District of California entered an order granting summary judgment in favor of IGT declaring both patents invalid. The US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit reversed this decision on September 22, 2008. IGT’s request for a rehearing was denied on November 17, 2008. This case has recommenced in the District Court. Pursuant to agreement of the parties, this matter has been stayed.

Brochu v. Loto Quebec

Loto Quebec commenced an action in warranty against VLC, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of IGT, and another manufacturer of video lottery machines in October 2003, in the Superior Court of the Province of Quebec, District of Quebec, seeking indemnification for any damages that may be awarded against Loto Quebec in a class action suit, also filed in the Superior Court of the Province of Quebec. The class action claim against Loto Quebec, to which neither IGT nor any of its affiliates are parties, was filed by Jean Brochu on behalf of himself and a class of other persons who allegedly developed pathological behaviors through the play of video lottery machines made available by Loto Quebec in taverns and other public locations. In this action, the plaintiff seeks to recover on behalf of the class damages of approximately CAD$578.7 million, representing CAD$4,863 per class member, and CAD$119.0 million in punitive damages. Loto Quebec filed its Plea in Defense in the main action in February 2006. On August 1, 2008, Loto Quebec filed a discontinuance of the action in warranty against VLC. Notwithstanding the discontinuance, Loto Quebec may still pursue the claims it asserted, or could have asserted, in the action in warranty through arbitration against VLC. The trial of the class action against Loto Quebec commenced on September 15, 2008.

Shareholder Actions

Securities Class Action

On July 30, 2009, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 697 filed a putative securities fraud class action in the US District Court for the District of Nevada, alleging causes of action under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act against IGT and certain of its officers, one of whom is a director. The complaint alleges that between November 1, 2007 and October 30, 2008, the defendants inflated IGT's stock price through a series of materially false and misleading statements or omissions regarding IGT's business, operations, and prospects. The Court has not yet appointed a lead plaintiff pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act.

Derivative Actions

Between August 20, 2009 and September 17, 2009, the Company was nominally sued in a series of derivative lawsuits filed in the US District Court for the District of Nevada, captioned Fosbre v. Matthews et al., Case No. 3:09-cv-00467; Calamore v. Matthews et al., Case No. 3:09-cv-00489-ECR-VPC; Israni v. Bittman, et al., Case No. 3:09-cv-00536; and Aronson v. Matthews et al., Case No. 3:09-cv-00542-RCJ-VPC. Plaintiffs purportedly brought their respective actions on behalf of the Company. The complaints assert claims against various current and former officers and directors of the Company, for breaches of fiduciary duties, unjust enrichment, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, waste of corporate assets, and contribution and indemnification. The complaints seek an unspecified amount of damages and allege similar facts as the securities class action lawsuit. The complaints additionally allege that certain individual defendants engaged in insider trading and that the director defendants improperly handled Thomas J. Matthews' resignation as Chief Executive Officer of the Company. The actions were consolidated and subsequently a consolidated derivative complaint was filed in December 2009. Defendants have moved to dismiss that complaint.

On September 30, 2009, the Company was nominally sued in a derivative lawsuit filed in the Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, County of Washoe. Plaintiff purportedly filed the action on behalf of the Company. The lawsuit, captioned Kurz et al. v. Hart et al., Case No. cv-0-9-02982, asserts claims against various current and former officers and directors for breach of fiduciary duties and unjust enrichment. The complaint generally makes the same allegations as the federal derivative complaints and seeks an unspecified amount of damages. The parties have stipulated to stay this action pending the motions to dismiss in the above-mentioned consolidated federal derivative action.

In a letter dated October 7, 2009 to the Company's Board of Directors, a shareholder made factual allegations similar to those set forth in the above derivative and securities class actions and demanded that the Board investigate, address and remedy the harm allegedly inflicted on IGT. In particular, the letter alleges that certain officers and directors grossly mismanaged the Company by overspending in the area of R&D of server-based game technology despite a looming recession to which the Company was particularly vulnerable; by making or allowing false and misleading statements regarding the Company's growth prospects and earnings guidance; and by wasting corporate assets by causing the Company to repurchase Company stock at inflated prices. The letter asserts that this alleged conduct resulted in breaches of fiduciary duties and violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and SEC Rule 10b-5.

ERISA Actions

On October 2, 2009, two putative class action lawsuits were filed on behalf of participants in the Company's employee pension plans, naming as defendants the Company, the IGT Profit Sharing Plan Committee, and several current and former officers and directors. The complaints (which seek unspecified damages) allege breaches of fiduciary duty under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, 29 U.S.C §§ 1109 and 1132. The complaints allege similar facts as the securities class action lawsuit. The complaints further allege that the defendants breached fiduciary duties to Plan Participants by failing to disclose material facts to Plan Participants, failing to exercise their fiduciary duties solely in the interest of the Participants, failing to properly manage Plan assets, failing to diversify Plan assets, and

permitting Participants to elect to invest in Company stock. The actions, filed in the US District Court for the District of Nevada, are captioned Carr et al. v. International Game Technology et al., Case No. 3:09-cv-00584, and Jordan et al. v. International Game Technology et al., Case No. 3:09-cv-00585. In October 2009, plaintiffs moved for consolidation of the two actions which motion is currently pending. Defendants need not respond until the Court rules on the consolidation motion.



---

Environmental Matters

CCSC, a casino operation sold by IGT in April 2003, is located in an area that has been designated by the EPA as an active Superfund site because of contamination from historic mining activity in the area. In order for Anchor Coin, an entity IGT acquired in December 2001, to develop the CCSC site, it voluntarily entered into an administrative order of consent with the EPA to conduct soil removal and analysis (a requirement imposed on similarly situated property developers within the region) in conjunction with re-routing mine drainage. The work and obligations contemplated by the agreement were completed by Anchor in June 1998, and the EPA subsequently issued a termination of the order.

The EPA, together with other property developers excluding CCSC, continues remediation activities at the site. While we believe our remediation obligations are complete, it is possible that additional contamination may be identified and we could be obligated to participate in remediation efforts. Under accounting guidance for environmental remediation liabilities, we determined the incurrence of additional remediation costs is neither probable nor reasonably estimable and no liability has been recorded.

OSHA / Wrongful Termination Matter

On July 8, 2004, two former employees filed a complaint with the US Department of Labor, OSHA alleging retaliatory termination in violation of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The former employees allege that they were terminated in retaliation for questioning whether Anchor and its executives failed to properly disclose information allegedly affecting the value of Anchor's patents in connection with IGT's acquisition of Anchor in December 2001. The former employees also allege that the acquired patents were overvalued on the financial statements of IGT. Outside counsel, retained by an independent committee of our Board of Directors, reviewed the allegations and found them to be entirely without merit.

On November 10, 2004, the employees withdrew their complaint filed with OSHA and filed a notice of intent to file a complaint in federal court. On December 1, 2004, a complaint was filed under seal in the US District Court for the District of Nevada, based on the same facts set forth above regarding their OSHA complaint. IGT filed a motion for summary judgment as to all claims in plaintiffs’ complaint. On June 14, 2007, the US District Court for the District of Nevada entered an order granting summary judgment in favor of IGT as to plaintiffs’ Sarbanes-Oxley whistle-blower claims and dismissed their state law claims without prejudice. Plaintiffs’ motion for reconsideration of the District Court’s decision was denied. Plaintiffs appealed to the US Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Oral argument was heard on March 12, 2009, and on August 3, 2009, the Ninth Circuit reversed the District Court’s decision. IGT’s motion for summary judgment on plaintiffs’ state law claims was argued on October 22, 2009 and granted in IGT’s favor on December 8, 2009. Plaintiffs currently have a motion pending seeking reconsideration of the dismissal of their state law claims, and IGT has a motion pending seeking to strike plaintiffs’ demand for a jury trial. Trial has been scheduled to begin on June 1, 2010.

In conjunction with the Anchor acquisition purchase price allocation as of December 31, 2001, IGT used the relief of royalty valuation methodology to estimate the fair value of the patents at $164.4 million. The carrying value of the patents at December 31, 2009 totaled $51.1 million.

Arrangements with Off-Balance Sheet Risks

In the normal course of business, we are party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk, such as performance bonds and guarantees not reflected in our balance sheet. We do not expect any material losses to result from these arrangements and are not dependent on off-balance sheet financing arrangements to fund our operations.

Performance Bonds

Performance bonds outstanding related to gaming operations totaled $5.8 million at December 31, 2009. We are liable to reimburse the bond issuer in the event of exercise due to nonperformance.

Letters of Credit

Outstanding letters of credit issued under our line of credit to ensure payment to certain vendors and governmental agencies totaled $3.6 million at December 31, 2009.

IGT Licensor Arrangements

Our sales agreements that include software and IP licensing arrangements may provide a clause whereby IGT indemnifies the third-party licensee against liability and damages (including legal defense costs) arising from any claims of patent, copyright, trademark infringement, or trade secret misappropriation. Should such a claim occur, we could be required to make payments to the licensee for any liabilities or damages incurred. Historically, we have not incurred any significant costs due to infringement claims. As we consider the likelihood of incurring future costs to be remote, no liability has been recorded.

Product Warranties

The majority of our products are generally covered by a warranty for periods ranging from 90 days to one year. We estimate accrued warranty costs in the table below based on historical trends in product failure rates and expected costs to provide warranty services.

| Three Months Ended December 31,<br>(In millions) | | 2009 | | 2008 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Balance at beginning of year | $ | 7.9 | $ | 8.4 |
| Reduction for payments made | | (2.1) | | (2.2) |
| Accrual for new warranties issued | | 3.1 | | 3.0 |
| Adjustments for pre-existing warranties | | (1.8) | | (0.9) |
| Balance at end of period | $ | 7.1 | $ | 8.3 |

Self-Insurance

We are self-insured for various levels of workers' compensation, directors' and officers' liability, and electronic errors and omissions liability, as well as employee medical, dental, prescription drug, and disability coverage. We purchase stop loss coverage to protect against unexpected claims. Accrued insurance claims and reserves include estimated settlements for known claims, and actuarial estimates for claims incurred but not reported.

State and Federal Taxes

We are subject to sales, use, income, gaming and other tax audits and administrative proceedings in various US federal, state, local, and foreign jurisdictions. While we believe we have properly reported our tax liabilities in each jurisdiction, we can give no assurance that taxing authorities will not propose adjustments that increase our tax liabilities.

12. INCOME TAXES

Our provision for income taxes is based on estimated effective annual income tax rates. The provision differs from income taxes currently payable because certain items of income and expense are recognized in different periods for financial statement purposes than for tax return purposes. We reduce deferred tax assets by a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Our effective tax rate was 34.6% and 16.3% for the quarters ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The prior year quarter tax rate was lower because of nonrecurring tax benefits, including significant settlements with the IRS, the reversal of accrued interest related to a tax accounting method change application and other discrete items in fiscal 2009.

We file income tax returns in the US federal, and various state, local and foreign jurisdictions. The IRS began an audit of our US federal income tax returns for fiscal years 2002 through 2005 in 2009. We are also subject to examination in state and foreign jurisdictions for the same years. Based on the outcome of taxing authority examinations and statute of limitations expiring in specific jurisdictions, it is reasonably possible that unrecognized tax benefits could significantly change within the next 12 months. However, an estimate of the amount of possible change cannot be made at this time. We believe we have recorded all appropriate provisions for outstanding issues for all jurisdictions and open years. However, we can give no assurance that taxing authorities will not propose adjustments that increase our tax liabilities.

At December 31, 2009, we had $69.0 million of gross unrecognized tax benefits excluding related accrued interest and penalties of $47.8 million. As of December 31, 2009, $83.7 million of our unrecognized tax benefits, including related accrued interest and penalties, would affect our effective tax rate, if recognized. During the three months ended December 31, 2009, our unrecognized tax benefits increased $1.2 million, and related interest and penalties increased $1.3 million.

## 13. EARNINGS PER SHARE RECONCILIATION

| (In millions, except per share amounts) | | 2009 | | 2008 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Net income available to common shares (1) | $ | 73.3 | $ | 61.2 |
| Basic weighted average shares outstanding | | 295.1 | | 293.3 |
| Dilutive effect of non-participating share-based awards | | 2.3 | | 0.1 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | | 297.4 | | 293.4 |
| Basic earnings per share | | $0.25 | | $0.21 |
| Diluted earnings per share | | $0.25 | | $0.21 |
| Weighted average antidilutive share-based awards shares excluded from diluted EPS | | 9.8 | | 19.3 |

(1) Net Income available to participating securities was not significant

## 14. OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| | Quarters Ended December 31, | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (In millions) | | 2009 | | 2008 |
| Net income | $ | 73.3 | $ | 61.2 |
| Currency translation adjustments | | 3.1 | | (28.9) |
| Investment unrealized gains (losses) | | 6.0 | | (5.2) |
| Comprehensive income | $ | 82.4 | $ | 27.1 |

15. DERIVATIVES

Foreign Currency Hedging

We hedge our net foreign currency exposure related to monetary assets and liabilities denominated in nonfunctional currency. The notional amount of foreign currency contracts hedging this exposure totaled $28.1 million at December 31, 2009 and $24.9 million at September 30, 2009.

In May 2007, we executed 5-year forward contracts designated as a fair value hedge to protect a portion of the US dollar value of our Hong Kong dollar investment in the CLS convertible note (see Note 3). The notional amount of foreign currency contracts hedging this exposure totaled $49.9 million for which there was no ineffectiveness during the quarter ended December 31, 2009. The component of gain/loss excluded from our assessment of hedge effectiveness was immaterial.



---

Interest Rate Management

In June 2009, we executed $250.0 million notional value of interest rate swaps, which effectively exchange 7.5% fixed interest payments for variable rate interest payments at one-month LIBOR plus 342 bps reset on the 15th of each month. Net amounts receivable or payable under the swaps settle semiannually on June 15 and December 15. The interest rate swaps are designated fair value hedges against changes in the fair value of a portion of our Bonds. Our assessment determined that the interest rate swaps are highly effective.

Presentation of Derivative Amounts

| Balance Sheet Location and Fair Value | December 31, 2009 | September 30, 2009 |
|---|---|---|
| (In millions) | | |
| Non-designated Hedges | | |
| Foreign currency contracts: Other assets (current) | $ 0.3 | $ 0.2 |
| Foreign currency contracts: Other liabilities (current) | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| Designated Hedges | | |
| Foreign currency contracts: Other liabilities (current) | $ 0.1 | $ 0.1 |
| Interest rate swaps: Other assets (noncurrent) | 3.3 | 14.8 |
| Interest rate swaps: Long-term debt | 4.1 | 15.1 |

| Income Statement Location and Gain (loss) | Quarter Ended December 31, 2009* |
|---|---|
| (In millions) | |
| Non-designated Hedges | |
| Foreign currency contracts: Other income (expense) | $ 0.4 |
| Designated Hedges | |
| Foreign currency contracts: Other income (expense) | $ 0.1 |
| Interest rate swap - ineffectiveness: Other income (expense) | 0.5 |
| Interest rate swap - effectiveness: Interest expense | 2.3 |

*The prior year quarter not presented was prior to our adoption of revised disclosure requirements.

## 16. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Financial Assets (Liabilities) Carried at Fair Value

| | Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (In millions) | | | | |
| December 31, 2009 | | | | |
| Money market funds | $ 82.8 | $ 82.8 | $ - | $ - |
| Investments in unconsolidated affiliates | 100.8 | 16.6 | - | 84.2 |
| Investments in ARS and put rights | 19.6 | - | - | 19.6 |
| Derivative assets | 3.6 | - | 3.6 | - |
| Derivative liabilities | (4.7 ) | - | (4.7 ) | - |

September 30, 2009

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Money market funds | $ 82.6 | $ 82.6 | $ - | $ - |
| Investments in unconsolidated affiliates | 94.1 | 15.7 | - | 78.4 |
| Investments in ARS and put rights | 21.3 | - | - | 21.3 |
| Derivative assets | 15.0 | - | 15.0 | - |
| Derivative liabilities | (16.0 ) | - | (16.0 ) | - |

20

Reconciliation of Items Carried at Fair Value Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

| | December 31, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Quarter Ended | Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates | Investments in ARS | Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates | Investments in ARS |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| Beginning balance | $ 78.4 | $ 21.3 | $ 80.4 | $ 19.6 |
| Total gain (loss): | | | | |
| Included in other income (expense) - other | - | 0.1 | (3.9) | (1.5) |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 5.0 | - | (6.6) | 2.0 |
| Purchases, issuances, accretion, settlements | 0.8 | (1.8) | 1.2 | - |
| Ending balance | $ 84.2 | $ 19.6 | $ 71.1 | $ 20.1 |
| Net change in unrealized gain (loss) included in earnings related to instruments still held | $ - | $ 0.1 | $ (3.9) | $ (1.5) |

Valuation Techniques and Balance Sheet Presentation

Investments in unconsolidated affiliates carried at fair value are estimated using quoted market prices when available or DCF models incorporating market participant assumptions, including credit quality and market interest rates and/or a Black Scholes formula and lattice models with certain assumptions, such as stock price and volatility. These investments are presented as a component of other assets. See Note 3.

Investments in ARS are valued using DCF, with certain assumptions related to lack of liquidity and observable market transactions. Related put rights are valued based on the difference between the ARS par and fair value, discounted for the broker's non-performance risk and the time remaining until the exercise period. The ARS and related put rights are presented as a component of other assets. See Note 8.

Derivative assets and liabilities are valued using quoted forward pricing from bank counterparties and LIBOR credit default swap rates for non-performance risk, and approximate the net settlement amount if the contracts were settled at the reporting date. These are presented primarily as components of other assets, other liabilities, and notes payable. See Note 15.

Financial Assets (Liabilities) Not Carried at Fair Value

| December 31, 2009 | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Unrealized Gain | Unrealized Loss |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (In millions) | | | | |
| Jackpot investments | $ 459.7 | $ 501.9 | $ 43.1 | $ 0.9 |
| Notes & contracts receivable | 428.8 | 436.1 | 7.3 | - |
| Jackpot liabilities | (585.6) | (576.2) | 9.4 | |
| Credit facilities & indebtedness | (1,951.7) | (2,343.6) | - | 391.9 |

| September 30, 2009 | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Unrealized Gain | Unrealized Loss |
|---|---|---|---|---|

| (In millions) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jackpot investments | $ 464.1 | $ 518.0 | $ 54.1 | $ 0.2 |
| Notes & contracts receivable | 404.2 | 413.8 | 9.6 | - |
| Jackpot liabilities | (588.1 ) | (595.5 ) | - | 7.4 |
| Credit facilities & indebtedness | (2,004.9 ) | (2,435.0 ) | - | 430.1 |

21

Valuation Techniques and Balance Sheet Presentation

Jackpot investments are valued based on quoted market prices.

Notes and contracts receivable are valued using DCF incorporating expected payments and current market interest rates relative to the credit risk of each customer.

Jackpot liabilities are valued using DCF models incorporating estimated funding rates, future payment timing, and IGT's nonperformance credit risk.

Credit facilities and indebtedness are valued at quoted market prices or dealer quotes for the identical liability when traded as an asset in an active market when available. Otherwise, the fair value is determined using DCF models of expected payments on outstanding borrowings at current borrowing rates. The swap fair value adjustment presented as a component of notes payable is excluded from the credit facilities amounts not carried at fair value in the table above. The prior period reflects the retrospective application of new accounting guidance for convertible debt instruments. See Note 2 and Note 10.



---

17. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We view our business in the following two operating segments based on customer regions:

- North America includes our operations in the US and Canada
- International encompasses our efforts in all other jurisdictions worldwide

Certain income and expenses related to company-wide initiatives are managed at the corporate level and not allocated to any operating segment. We do not recognize inter-company revenues or expenses upon the transfer of gaming products between operating segments. Segment accounting policies are consistent with those of our consolidated financial statements and segment profit is measured on the basis of operating income.

Our business segments are designed to allocate resources within a framework of management responsibility. Operating costs from one segment may benefit other segments. Realignment of our business development and administrative functions resulted in changes to segment allocations and prior period operating income and income before tax have been recast accordingly.

| | Quarters Ended December 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| (In millions) | | |
| NORTH AMERICA | | |
| Revenues | $369.6 | $482.5 |
| Gaming operations | 234.3 | 267.5 |
| Product sales | 135.3 | 215.0 |
| Gross profit | 215.1 | 245.4 |
| Gaming operations | 142.1 | 136.8 |
| Product sales | 73.0 | 108.6 |
| Operating income | 116.3 | 106.9 |
| Income before tax | 121.1 | 113.6 |
| | | |
| INTERNATIONAL | | |
| Revenues | $146.1 | $119.1 |
| Gaming operations | 43.0 | 45.8 |
| Product sales | 103.1 | 73.3 |
| Gross profit | 81.5 | 60.5 |
| Gaming operations | 31.1 | 24.6 |
| Product sales | 50.4 | 35.9 |
| Operating income | 45.1 | 22.3 |
| Income before tax | 49.0 | 20.7 |
| | | |
| CORPORATE (net unaollocated costs) | | |
| Operating loss | $(21.1) | $(29.1) |
| Loss before tax | (58.0) | (61.2) |
| | | |
| CONSOLIDATED | | |
| Revenues | $515.7 | $601.6 |
| Gaming operations | 277.3 | 313.3 |
| Product sales | 238.4 | 288.3 |
| Gross profit | 296.6 | 305.9 |
| Gaming operations | 173.2 | 161.4 |
| Product sales | 123.4 | 144.5 |
| Operating income | 140.3 | 100.1 |
| Income before tax | 112.1 | 73.1 |

18. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On February 8, 2010, IGT announced to employees its decision to close international operations in Japan due to ongoing difficult market conditions and changes in the Company's future core business strategy. The closure is part of the Company's ongoing focus on operating efficiencies in all areas of its business. The closure is targeted for completion by the early part of IGT's third quarter of fiscal 2010.

First quarter operations in Japan reflected a net loss of $2.5 million in fiscal 2010 and $1.2 million in fiscal 2009. At December 31, 2009, the carrying amount of related assets totaled $17.2 million and liabilities totaled $16.5 million. Fiscal year 2009 operations in Japan reflected a net loss of $21.2 million.

Total charges related to the closure are still developing and will depend on the culmination of certain asset sales and property lease cancellations. We currently estimate charges, including severance, of up to $20.0 million in the aggregate, will be recorded in the second and third quarters of fiscal 2010.

In connection with the closure of these operations, we anticipate repayment and termination of our foreign credit facilities in Japan (see Note 10).

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains statements that do not relate to historical or current facts, but are "forward looking" statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements relate to analyses and other information based on forecasts of future results and estimates of amounts not yet determinable. These statements may also relate to future events or trends, our future prospects and proposed new products, services, developments, or business strategies, among other things. These statements can generally (although not always) be identified by their use of terms and phrases such as anticipate, appear, believe, could, would, estimate, expect, indicate, intend, may, plan, predict, project, pursue, will, continue, and other similar terms and phrases, as well as the use of the future tense.

Examples of forward looking statements in this report include, but are not limited to, the following categories of expectations about:

- our ability to introduce new products and their impact on replacement demand
- the timing, features, benefits, and expected success of new product introductions
- growth of our business through technology and IP acquisition
- our leadership position in the market
- the advantages offered to customers by our anticipated products and product features
- gaming growth, expansion, and new market opportunities
- fluctuations in future gross margins and tax rates
- increasing product sales or machine placements
- legislative or regulatory developments and related market opportunities
- available capital resources to fund future operating requirements, capital expenditures, payment obligations, and share repurchases
- the timing and amount of future share repurchases and dividends
- losses from off-balance sheet arrangements
- financial returns to shareholders related to management of our costs
- the outcome and expense of litigation
- anticipated increased revenue yields if general economic conditions improve

Actual results could differ materially from those expressed or implied in our forward looking statements. Our future financial condition and results of operations, as well as any forward looking statements, are subject to change and to inherent known and unknown risks and uncertainties. See Item 1A, Risk Factors, in this report for a discussion of these and other risks and uncertainties. You should not assume at any point in the future that the forward looking statements in this report are still valid. We do not intend, and undertake no obligation, to update our forward looking

statements to reflect future events or circumstances.

OVERVIEW

The following MDA is intended to enhance the reader's understanding of our operations and current business environment. It should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2009. Italicized text with an attached superscript trademark or copyright notation in this document indicates trademarks of IGT or its licensors. For a complete list of trademark and copyright ownership information, please visit our website at www.IGT.com.

International Game Technology is a global company specializing in the design, manufacture, and marketing of electronic gaming equipment and systems products. We are a leading supplier of gaming products to the world with fiscal 2009 annual revenues exceeding $2.1 billion. We provide a diverse offering of quality products and services at competitive prices, designed to increase the potential for operator profits by enhancing the gaming player experience.

We manage operations in two geographic segments, North America and International, with certain unallocated company-wide income and expenses managed at the corporate level. See BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS below and Note 17 of our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional segment information and financial results.

Our first quarter results reflect measured progress in numerous aspects of our business, in spite of a challenging global business environment. During the quarter, we introduced innovative products and technologies at the G2E gaming conference in Las Vegas, installed the first large-scale deployment of server-based gaming, and achieved our highest operating margin in six quarters. We also continue to improve the talent within our management ranks, adding fresh perspectives and a wealth of experience, with the recent additions of our vice president of core products, chief marketing officer, and executive vice president of new media.

The combination of economic uncertainty, intense competition, lower replacement demand, and limited near-term opportunities from new or expanding markets continues to negatively impact results. Our customers continue to experience diminished casino play levels as a result of low consumer confidence and reduced discretionary spending. This not only adversely affects our gaming operations revenues, with approximately 85% dependent on play levels, but it also constrains casino capital spending which negatively impacts for-sale product demand.

During the first quarter of 2010, we introduced our Sex and the City® games into the marketplace with great success, using go-to-market strategies focused on selective placement designed to maximize unit productivity for the operator. Our gaming operations installed base grew modestly during the quarter and encompasses a diverse mix of units customized for a wide variety of distinct markets and a broad range of yield characteristics. Our average per unit revenue yield has been reduced by lower play levels, as well as an increasing installed base mix of lower-yielding lease machines. Our gaming operations installed base continues to provide steady recurring cash flows and we anticipate increased revenue yields as general economic conditions improve.

During the first quarter, historically our slowest quarter, we shipped 200 additional for-sale replacement units compared to the prior year. We continue to receive broad acceptance of our AVP® sbX™-enabled models, which comprised 92% of our North America sales during the first quarter of fiscal 2010. Customer interest remains high for our innovative MLD® machines that provide flexibility between video slots and 3-D reel replication, with over 1,700 MLD® units shipped in the current quarter. We anticipate these trends to continue as our legacy for-sale products are phased out. While we are encouraged by incremental improvement in customer interest, we remain cautious about the overall market environment, as well as predicting the timing and scale of a rebound in the replacement cycle.

We continue with our renewed strategic focus on process improvement, with our "Ease of Doing Business" program designed to reduce the order-to-delivery cycle and product development programs designed to bring more compelling products to market faster at a lower cost. In today's gaming market we face highly capable competitors, demanding gaming patrons, and increasing game complexity. This market requires constant enhancements, innovations, and improvements to the quality of game content across all product platforms, particularly in video slots. The goal of our initiatives is to build and deliver compelling products and strong systems to support the casino of the future, as well as provide more entertainment value to the player. We believe excellent content drives product sales, increases replacement cycle opportunities, and enhances our installed base.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, IGT went live at the CityCenter ARIA Resort and Casino in Las Vegas with the industry's first large-scale GSA compliant sbX™ server-based open platform. The ARIA casino floor features a one-of-a-kind combination of the IGT sbX™ network, incorporating the unique player interactive Service Window, sbX™ Floor Manger and Media Manager, the IGT Advantage® casino management system, 100 WMS Industries, Inc. machines, and 970 IGT DynamiX™ machines. IGT DynamiX™ complete solution machines combine AVP®, MLD® and Multi-Game technologies.

We continue to market our sbX™ Tier One package, which was released for sale in the latter half of fiscal 2009, providing slot operators with an incremental step towards full enterprise-wide sbX™. This smaller scale solution is designed for easy implementation at the slot-bank level and accommodates up to 100 machines with access to a standard library of available IGT game content for AVP® Video, Video Poker and MLD®. Additionally, in January 2010 we also announced our first international contracts for the installation of sbX™ Experience Management systems in Finland, France, and Italy.

IGT's sbX™ allows operators the flexibility to reconfigure the gaming floor mix in seconds, more effectively market to players, and offer players personalization, customization and game choices at a single machine location. The sbX™ system is designed to be a product of collaboration with an emphasis on interoperability among multiple machine vendors, creating a seamless experience for the player, and a more cost-effective floor management solution for operators. We expect to realize a growing benefit from sbX™ technology as we differentiate IGT gaming products with new ways to engage, entertain, and interact with the casino player.

We are dependent, in part, on new market opportunities to generate growth. Legislative actions and the passage of voter referendums are providing new and expanding opportunities in Illinois, Ohio, Kansas, Maryland, and Pennsylvania. Development projects in Maryland, Kansas, and Pennsylvania received approval and licensing with openings anticipated over the next two years. State legislatures in Kentucky, Massachusetts, and New Hampshire continue to consider gaming as a way to provide tax revenues in support of public programs. Future gaming expansion is also anticipated in international markets, especially Southeast Asia and Italy. Although the extent and timing is uncertain, we believe new market opportunities will grow as the economy improves and new jurisdictions consider gaming tax revenues as a means to address budget shortfalls.

We remain focused on efficient use of capital in all aspects of our business, taking a prudent and cautious approach in order to preserve maximum flexibility. During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we realized a positive impact from our fiscal 2009 employee restructuring and cost reduction efforts. We expect to realize further benefit throughout fiscal 2010 and anticipate achieving our goal of a 30% operating margin when business conditions normalize. As we continue the process of evaluating our business in conjunction with assessment of the Company's long-term strategic goals, we may also further evaluate past and potential investments to determine if and how they fit into our organizational structure going forward. Changes in our intended relationship, as well as changes in market conditions or operating results, related to our affiliates or subsidiaries may cause us to reassess cash flows, recoverability, useful lives, and fair value measurements that may result in material losses or impairment charges.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Revenue Recognition For Software-enabled Products and Multi-element Arrangements

In October 2009, the FASB issued new revenue recognition accounting standards with respect to certain software-enabled products and multi-element arrangements. We elected to early adopt this new guidance prospectively for new or materially modified arrangements entered into on or after the beginning of our first quarter of fiscal 2010. For transactions entered into prior to the first quarter of fiscal 2010, revenues will continue to be recognized based on prior revenue recognition guidance.

Under the new guidance, tangible products, containing both software and non-software components that function together to deliver a tangible product's essential functionality, will no longer be subject to software revenue accounting. The new guidance also established a more economically aligned model for allocating revenues among multiple deliverables in a multi-element arrangement, based on relative selling prices. In order of preference, relative selling prices will be estimated based on VSOE, TPE, or management's ESP, and the residual method is no longer allowed.

Most of our products and services qualify as separate units of accounting, and the new guidance does not change this premise. When VSOE or TPE is not available, generally for new or highly customized offerings and solutions, estimated selling price is the amount we would sell the product or service for individually. The determination of ESP is made based on our normal pricing and discounting practices, which consider multiple factors, such as market conditions, competitive landscape, internal costs, and profit objectives.

Under the new guidance, revenues for machines and other software-enabled equipment in certain bundled arrangements will no longer be deferred because VSOE is not available for an undelivered element. Generally,

revenues allocated to non-software elements will be recognized upon delivery and customer acceptance, and only revenues allocated to software elements will require deferral and recognition over the lease or license term.

Although this new accounting guidance is not currently expected to have a significant effect on the timing or amount of revenues in periods after the initial adoption, the impact is dependent upon the prevalence of future multi-element arrangements and the evolution of new sales strategies. Adoption of the new revenue recognition guidance for the first quarter of fiscal 2010 resulted in $10.4 million of revenues which would have been recognized in later periods under the prior guidance. Pro forma revenues that would have been reported under the prior accounting guidance are reflected in the following table:

| | Quarter Ended December 31, 2009 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | As Reported | | Pro Forma |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Gaming operations | $ | 277.3 | $ | 270.4 |
| Product Sales | | 238.4 | | 234.9 |
| Total | $ | 515.7 | $ | 505.3 |

Convertible Debt Instruments

At the beginning of fiscal 2010, we adopted new accounting standards issued in May 2008 requiring the separation of liability (debt) and equity (conversion option) components for convertible debt instruments that may settle in cash upon conversion. This guidance requires separation of the liability and equity components to reflect an effective nonconvertible borrowing rate when the debt was issued.

We estimated fair value of our Debentures and Notes using similar debt instruments at issuance that did not have a conversion feature and allocated an equity component included in paid-in capital that represents the estimate fair value of the conversion feature at issuance.

The adoption of this new accounting guidance increased first quarter noncash interest expense $9.3 million for fiscal 2010 and $5.1 million for fiscal 2009. On an annual basis for fiscal 2010 and 2009, noncash interest expense will increase approximately $30.0 million and fiscal 2009 Debenture repurchase gains decrease approximately $5.0 million, reducing diluted EPS approximately $0.06 for fiscal 2010 and $0.08 for 2009.

Additionally, the new guidance decreased long-term debt, deferred tax assets and deferred offer costs and increased stockholders' equity. The effects of the required retrospective application for prior periods presented are outlined in Note 2 of our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as it relates to our Debentures and Notes. See the Liquidity section later in this MDA and Note 10 of our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about our Debentures and Notes.

Other recently issued accounting standards that may impact our financial statements are discussed further in Note 2 of our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Our consolidated financial statements were prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the US. Accordingly, we are required to make estimates incorporating judgments and assumptions we believe are reasonable based on our historical experience, contract terms, trends in our company and the industry as a whole, as well as information available from other outside sources. Our estimates affect amounts recorded in the financial statements and actual results may differ from initial estimates.

We consider the following accounting estimates to be the most critical to fully understand and evaluate our reported financial results. They require us to make subjective or complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain or variable. Senior management discussed the development, selection and disclosure of the following accounting estimates, considered most sensitive to changes from external factors, with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors:

- revenue recognition
- goodwill, other intangible assets, royalties, and affiliate investments
- jackpot liabilities and expenses
- inventory and gaming operations equipment
- income taxes

29

Except for the prospective adoption of accounting standards related to revenue recognition for new arrangements that include software-enabled products and multiple deliverables as discussed above, there have been no significant changes in our critical accounting estimates since those presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K—Item 7 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009.

CONSOLIDATED OPERATING RESULTS – A Year Over Year Comparative Analysis

| | Quarters Ended December 31, | | Favorable (Unfavorable) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Amount | % |
| (In millions except units & EPS) | | | | |
| Revenues | $515.7 | $601.6 | $(85.9) | -14% |
| Gaming operations | 277.3 | 313.3 | (36.0) | -11% |
| Product sales | 238.4 | 288.3 | (49.9) | -17% |
| Machines | 161.2 | 190.9 | (29.7) | -16% |
| Non-machine | 77.2 | 97.4 | (20.2) | -21% |
| Gross profit | $296.6 | $305.9 | $(9.3) | -3% |
| Gaming operations | 173.2 | 161.4 | 11.8 | 7% |
| Product sales | 123.4 | 144.5 | (21.1) | -15% |
| Gross margin | 58% | 51% | 7 pp | 14% |
| Gaming operations | 62% | 52% | 10 pp | 19% |
| Product sales | 52% | 50% | 2 pp | 4% |
| Units | | | | |
| Gaming operations installed base | 62,200 | 60,900 | 1,300 | 2% |
| Fixed | 9,700 | 15,700 | (6,000) | -38% |
| Variable | 52,500 | 45,200 | 7,300 | 16% |
| Revenue Recognition units* | 11,900 | 15,500 | (3,600) | -23% |
| Operating income | $140.3 | $100.1 | $40.2 | 40% |
| Operating margin | 27% | 17% | 10 pp | 59% |
| Net income | $73.3 | $61.2 | $12.1 | 20% |
| Diluted EPS | $0.25 | $0.21 | $0.04 | 19% |

* Represents units recognized in revenues, irrespective of the timing of shipment. Certain units associated with a systems lease are prorated based on revenues recognized.

Improved consolidated net income was primarily the result of fiscal 2009 operating cost reduction efforts. North America revenue declines were partially offset by increases internationally. Revenue declines in both gaming operations and product sales were driven by fewer new openings, more intense competition, and the extended weakness in the economy hindering casino play levels and capital spending. Favorable changes in foreign exchange rates increased first quarter revenues by approximately $8.6 million over the prior year.

The prior year quarter operating results included charges for workforce restructuring and additional bad debt provisions related to increased customer credit risk due to the economic downturn. Additionally, the prior quarter included an extra week due to our 52/53-week accounting year, primarily benefiting gaming operations and increasing operating expenses.

Prior periods presented have been adjusted for the required retrospective application of new convertible debt accounting standards adopted during the first quarter of fiscal 2010. The new accounting guidance required the separation of liability and equity components of our convertible debt, which increased interest expense and stockholder's equity and decreased EPS and long-term debt. Additionally, certain revenues were recognized during the current quarter earlier than previously anticipated as a result of the adoption of new revenue recognition accounting standards for certain software-enable products and multi-element arrangements.

Pending legal issues in Alabama have caused several charitable bingo properties to close their operations. At issue is the definition of "bingo." Our charitable bingo operations and investments in Alabama represented approximately 2% or $9.4 million of quarterly consolidated revenues and 2% or $98.5 million of total assets, primarily development financing notes, as of and for December 31, 2009.

Consolidated Gaming Operations

Decreased first quarter gaming operations revenues was largely due to the extra week contributing approximately $22.4 million in the prior year, as well as lower play levels most predominant in North America. Growth in our International installed base offset declines in North America, reflecting strength in our geographic diversity. Decreased average revenues per unit reflect continued growth in our gaming operations installed base mix of lower priced lease and stand alone units, as well as lower play levels.

Gross profit and margin improvement was driven by reduced costs, primarily jackpot expense largely due to favorable interest rate changes, depreciation, royalties, and service labor. The prior year quarter gross profit also included approximately $11.5 million related to the extra week. Jackpot expense decreased $27.0 million overall, $19.0 million due to favorable interest rate movement compared to the prior year quarter. Additional information is available about factors affecting jackpot expense in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2009 under the caption MDA—CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES—Jackpot Liabilities and Expenses.

Consolidated Product Sales

First quarter product sales revenues and gross profit were down over the prior year predominantly in North America, partially offset by International improvement. Gross margin improved primarily on reduced material costs and obsolescence, as well as favorable product mix offsetting unfavorable geographic mix, and favorable changes in foreign exchange rates.

Deferred revenue decreased $15.2 million during the first quarter of fiscal 2010 to $106.8 million at December 31, 2009, primarily due to the completion of obligations under multi-element contracts. During the current quarter, we shipped 1,900 units for which revenues were deferred and recognized revenues for 2,600 units previously shipped, for a net decrease of 700 units in deferred revenue. "Units shipped" represent all units shipped to customers during the period and include units shipped for which revenues were deferred to future periods.

Operating Expenses

| | Quarters Ended December 31, | | Favorable (Unfavorable) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Amount | % |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | $ 90.0 | $ 114.9 | $ 24.9 | 22 % |
| Research and development | 46.7 | 53.5 | 6.8 | 13 % |
| Depreciation and amortization | 19.6 | 20.0 | 0.4 | 2 % |
| Restructuring charges | - | 17.4 | 17.4 | 100 % |
| Total | $ 156.3 | $ 205.8 | $ 49.5 | 24 % |
| Percent of revenues | 30 % | 34 % | | |

First quarter operating expenses in fiscal 2010 were favorably impacted by our fiscal 2009 cost reduction efforts, bad debt provisions (down $8.6 million), and lower legal and compliance fees (down $5.0 million). Excluding the extra

week, which added approximately $12.6 million to the prior year quarter, and restructuring charges, operating expenses decreased $19.5 million or 11% in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 over the prior year quarter.

Other Income (Expense) and Taxes

| | Quarters Ended December 31, | | Favorable (Unfavorable) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Amount | % |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| Interest Income | $16.0 | $16.5 | $(0.5) | -3% |
| WAP | 6.4 | 7.5 | (1.1) | -15% |
| Other | 9.6 | 9.0 | 0.6 | 7% |
| Interest Expense | (43.2) | (35.5) | (7.7) | -22% |
| WAP | (6.3) | (7.3) | 1.0 | 14% |
| Other | (36.9) | (28.2) | (8.7) | -31% |
| Other | (1.0) | (8.0) | 7.0 | 88% |
| Total other income (expense) | $(28.2) | $(27.0) | $(1.2) | -4% |
| | | | | |
| Income tax provision | $38.8 | $11.9 | $(26.9) | |
| Effective tax rate | 34.6% | 16.3% | (18.3) pp | |

The unfavorable variance in total other income (expense) was primarily due to increased interest expense resulting from increased borrowing costs on our recent refinancing, nearly offset by reduced investment write-downs and lower foreign exchange losses.

The prior year period has been recast for the retrospective application of new accounting standards adopted during the first quarter of fiscal 2010 requiring the separation of liability and equity components of our convertible debt to reflect an effective nonconvertible borrowing rate when the debt was issued. This resulted in an increase to noncash interest expense of $9.3 million in the current quarter and $5.1 million in the prior year quarter. See Note 2 and Note 10 of our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about the impact of the adoption of the new convertible debt accounting standard.

WAP interest income and expense is related to previous jackpot winner liabilities and accretes at approximately the same rate. WAP interest income also includes earnings on restricted cash and investments held for future winners.

Income Tax Provision

The increase in our effective tax rate in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 was primarily due to nonrecurring tax benefits in the prior year quarter, including significant IRS settlements, accrued interest reversed for a method change and other discrete items. Differences between our effective income tax rate and the US federal statutory rate of 35% principally result from the geographical distribution of taxable income, differences between the book and tax treatment of certain items, and changes in uncertain tax positions.

It is reasonably possible that unrecognized tax benefits could significantly change with the next 12 months based on the outcome of taxing authority examinations and statute of limitations expiring in specific jurisdictions. However, an estimate of the amount of possible change cannot be made at this time. While changes in uncertain tax positions and the actual distribution of taxable income may cause volatility in future effective tax rates, we currently expect that our annual effective tax rate (inclusive of discrete items) for fiscal 2010 will be between 36% and 38%.

BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS – A Year Over Year Comparative Analysis

Operating income for each regional segment below reflects applicable operating expenses. See Note 17 of our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional business segment information.

North America

| | Quarters Ended December 31, | | Favorable (Unfavorable) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Amount | % |
| (In millions except units) | | | | |
| Revenues | $369.6 | $482.5 | $(112.9) | -23% |
| Gaming operations | 234.3 | 267.5 | (33.2) | -12% |
| Product sales | 135.3 | 215.0 | (79.7) | -37% |
| Machines | 83.7 | 137.4 | (53.7) | -39% |
| Non-machine | 51.6 | 77.6 | (26.0) | -34% |
| Gross profit | $215.1 | $245.4 | $(30.3) | -12% |
| Gaming operations | 142.1 | 136.8 | 5.3 | 4% |
| Product sales | 73.0 | 108.6 | (35.6) | -33% |
| Gross margin | 58% | 51% | 7 pp | 14% |
| Gaming operations | 61% | 51% | 10 pp | 20% |
| Product sales | 54% | 51% | 3 pp | 6% |
| Units | | | | |
| Gaming operations installed base | 45,800 | 46,900 | (1,100) | -2% |
| Fixed | 6,600 | 6,700 | (100) | -1% |
| Variable | 39,200 | 40,200 | (1,000) | -2% |
| Revenue Recognition units | 5,500 | 9,500 | (4,000) | -42% |
| Operating income | $116.3 | $106.9 | $9.4 | 9% |
| Operating margin | 31% | 22% | 9 pp | 41% |

Cost reduction efforts and reduced bad debt provisions combined to offset lower revenues and resulted in improved first quarter operating income for North America. Fewer new openings, strong competition, and extended weakness in the economy drove revenue declines in both gaming operations and product sales.

North America Gaming Operations

Gaming operations revenues were down $19.1 million related to the extra week in the prior year quarter and lower play levels, as well as retraction in our installed base. A greater mix of lower yielding lease units and fewer WAP units also contributed to lower revenues per unit. With 86% of our North America installed base at December 31, 2009 comprised of variable fee games that earn a percentage of machine play levels, gaming operations is significantly impacted by lower play levels.

The first quarter of fiscal 2010 also included approximately $6.9 million of accumulated lease fees as a result of adopting new accounting standards that would have been recognized in future periods under the prior guidance. See further discussion about new revenue recognition standards for software-enabled products and multi-element arrangements above under RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS.

Gross profit and margin improvement was driven by reduced jackpot expense largely due to interest rate changes, as well as lower depreciation, royalties and service labor costs. Additionally, the prior year quarter included approximately $9.8 million of gross profit related to the extra week.

North America Product Sales

Product sales revenues and gross profit decreased during the first quarter of fiscal 2010 on lower volume of machine units and non-machine sales, partially offset by a favorable mix of non-machine products and higher priced MLD® machine models. The first quarter of fiscal 2010 also included approximately $3.5 million in revenues as a result of adopting new accounting standards that would have been recognized in later periods under the prior guidance. See further discussion about new revenue recognition standards for software-enabled products and multi-element arrangements above under RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS.



---

First quarter gross margin improved primarily due to reduced material costs and obsolescence, as well as an increasing mix of our premium-priced AVP® and MLD® machines. Fewer new openings continued to adversely affect unit shipments. Replacement units comprised 57% of 5,300 first quarter shipments in fiscal 2010 compared to 36% of 7,800 units shipped in the prior year.

International

| | Quarters Ended December 31, | | Favorable (Unfavorable) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Amount | % |
| (In millions except units) | | | | |
| Revenues | $146.1 | $119.1 | $27.0 | 23 % |
| Gaming operations | 43.0 | 45.8 | (2.8) | -6 % |
| Product sales | 103.1 | 73.3 | 29.8 | 41 % |
| Machines | 77.5 | 53.5 | 24.0 | 45 % |
| Non-machine | 25.6 | 19.8 | 5.8 | 29 % |
| Gross profit | $81.5 | $60.5 | $21.0 | 35 % |
| Gaming operations | 31.1 | 24.6 | 6.5 | 26 % |
| Product sales | 50.4 | 35.9 | 14.5 | 40 % |
| Gross margin | 56 % | 51 % | 5 pp | 10 % |
| Gaming operations | 72 % | 54 % | 18 pp | 33 % |
| Product sales | 49 % | 49 % | - pp | - |
| Units | | | | |
| Gaming operations installed base | 16,400 | 14,000 | 2,400 | 17 % |
| Fixed | 3,100 | 9,000 | (5,900) | -66 % |
| Variable | 13,300 | 5,000 | 8,300 | 166 % |
| Revenue Recognition units | 6,400 | 6,000 | 400 | 7 % |
| Operating income | $45.1 | $22.3 | $22.8 | 102 % |
| Operating margin | 31 % | 19 % | 12 pp | 63 % |

International operating income grew in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 primarily due to higher product sales volume and cost reduction efforts. Foreign exchange rates were favorable to international revenues by approximately $8.0 million in the current quarter compared to the prior year.

On February 8, 2010, IGT announced to employees its decision to close international operations in Japan due to ongoing difficult market conditions and changes in the Company's future core business strategy. The closure is part of the Company's ongoing focus on operating efficiencies in all areas of its business. The closure is targeted for completion by the early part of IGT's third quarter of fiscal 2010. First quarter operations in Japan reflected revenues of $0.1 million in fiscal 2010 and $5.7 million in fiscal 2009. Operating losses were $2.5 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 and $1.9 million in fiscal 2009.

International Gaming Operations

First quarter gaming operations revenues declined primarily due to approximately $3.3 million related to the extra week in the prior year quarter. Additionally, installed base growth was offset by a greater mix of lower-yielding units and conversions of fixed to variable pricing arrangements. Installed base growth was most significant in the UK. Current quarter gross profit and margin improved primarily due to lower depreciation from a maturing installed base. Additionally, the prior year quarter included approximately $1.7 million of gross profit related to the extra week.

International Product Sales

Product sales revenues and gross profit improved in the current quarter primarily due to volume increases in Latin America and Europe, improved non-machine contributions, and favorable changes in foreign exchange rates.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Sources of Liquidity

At December 31, 2009, our principal sources of liquidity were cash and equivalents (including restricted cash), cash from operations, and $1.2 billion available on our credit facilities worldwide. Other potential sources of capital include, but are not limited to, the issuance of public or private placement debt, bank credit facilities and the issuance of equity or convertible debt securities. Based on past performance and current expectations, we believe the combination of these resources will satisfy our needs for working capital, jackpot liabilities, capital expenditures, debt service, and other liquidity requirements associated with our existing operations for the foreseeable future.

Restricted cash and investments, as well as jackpot annuity investments, are available only for funding jackpot winner payments. Unrestricted cash and equivalents increased $26.3 million to $173.0 million at December 31, 2009. Working capital increased to $642.9 million at December 31, 2009 from $609.2 million at September 30, 2009, primarily due to reductions in accrued liabilities.

Our investments included ARS of $19.7 million par at December 31, 2009 that have no active market, as well as corresponding put rights exercisable from June 30, 2010 through July 2, 2012. There has been no interruption in ARS interest receipts and we expect to fully realize the par value without significant loss. See Note 8 and Note 16 of our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements regarding our ARS recorded fair value and gain/loss.

Cash Flows Summary

| Three Months Ended December 31, (In millions) | 2009 | 2008 | Favorable (Unfavorable) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Operations | $ 168.7 | $ 149.5 | $ 19.2 |
| Investing | (66.7) | (129.1) | 62.4 |
| Financing | (75.3) | (20.6) | (54.7) |
| Effects of exchange rates | (0.4) | (5.1) | 4.7 |
| Net Change | $ 26.3 | $ (5.3) | $ 31.6 |

Operating Cash Flows

Operating cash flows increased during the first three months of fiscal 2010 versus the same prior year period primarily due to higher net income and net changes in operating assets and liabilities. Additional cash provided from payables and income taxes, was partially offset by cash used by operating receivables and jackpot liabilities.

Cash flows related to jackpot liabilities fluctuate based on the timing of jackpots and winner payments, volume of play, and market variations in applicable interest rates as described in Note 1 of our Consolidated Financial Statements in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The net change in operating receivables resulted in operating cash flows of $23.3 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. Excluding notes and contracts, average days sales outstanding for the trailing twelve months ended December 31, 2009 decreased to 54 days from 58 days from September 30, 2009 with lower receivables and revenues.

The decrease in inventory resulted in $8.8 million of operating cash flows during the first quarter of fiscal 2010. Inventory turns for the trailing twelve months ended December 31, 2009 declined slightly to 2.9 versus 3.0 at September 30, 2009.

Investing Cash Flows

The decrease in cash used for investing during the first three months of fiscal 2010 compared to fiscal 2009 was primarily due to decreased net development financing, lower capital expenditures, and decreased restricted cash requirements.

Capital expenditures in the table below decreased over the prior year period with cost reductions efforts and reduced machine placements.

| Three Months Ended December 31, (In millions) | 2009 | 2008 | Increase (Decrease) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Property, plant and equipment | $ 5.5 | $ 17.1 | $ (11.6) |
| Gaming operations equipment | 46.3 | 57.3 | (11.0) |
| Intellectual property | 1.5 | 1.6 | (0.1) |
| Total capital expenditures | $ 53.3 | $ 76.0 | $ (22.7) |

Financing Cash Flows

The increase in cash used for financing in the first three months of fiscal 2010 was primarily due to increased debt repayments, partially offset by decreased dividends versus the prior year period.

Credit Facilities (See Note 10 of our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements)

At December 31, 2009, $1.2 billion was available on our revolving credit facilities worldwide and we were in compliance with all debt covenants at December 31, 2009.

At December 31, 2009, $1.1 billion was available on our domestic revolving credit facility, $0.7 billion was drawn ($0.6 billion extended and $0.1 billion non-extended), and $3.6 million was reserved for letters of credit. The domestic credit facility subjects us to a number of financial covenants and our ability to comply with these covenants may be adversely impacted by an extended economic downturn. The financial covenants include a minimum ICR of 3.00 and a maximum TLR of 3.75, which reduces to 3.5 on April 1, 2010 and 3.25 on April 1, 2011. At December 31, 2009, our ICR was 8.4 and our TLR was 2.9.

At December 31, 2009, $50.4 million was available on our foreign revolving credit facilities in Japan and Australia, after $6.7 million drawn in Japan. Additionally, we will be terminating the Japan credit facilities with the closure of these operations to be completed in the early part of our third quarter of fiscal 2010 as further discussed in Note 18 or our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

On December 15, 2009, $701.2 million in aggregate principal of Debentures were validly tendered under the holders' put option and accepted by IGT for payment. This tender was funded with borrowings under our domestic credit facility. On December 21, 2009, we gave call notice to the remaining Debenture holders and completed final redemption of the remaining $5.8 million aggregate outstanding principal on February 4, 2010 using cash on hand.

Financial Condition

| (In millions) | December 31, 2009 | September 30, 2009 | Increase (Decrease) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Assets | $ 4,273.8 | $ 4,328.1 | $ (54.3) |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Liabilities | 3,138.4 | 3,264.5 | (126.1 ) |
| Stockholders' equity | 1,135.4 | 1,063.6 | 71.8 |

The decrease in total assets was primarily due to reduced deferred costs related to deferred revenues recognized during the quarter and tax payments, partially offset by increased cash and investments. Liabilities decreased primarily due to Debentures repurchased and lower accrued liabilities. Stockholders’ equity increased primarily due to current period earnings.

Arrangements with Off-Balance Sheet Risk

In the normal course of business, we are a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk, such as performance bonds, guarantees and product warranties not reflected in our balance sheet. We may provide indemnifications of varying scope and terms to customers, vendors, lessors, business partners and other parties with respect to certain matters, including but not limited to, losses arising:

- out of our breach of agreements with those parties
- from services to be provided by us
- from IP infringement claims made by third parties

Additionally, we have agreements with our directors and certain officers that require us, among other things, to indemnify them against certain liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers. We have also agreed to indemnify certain former officers and directors of acquired companies. We maintain director and officer insurance, which may cover our liabilities arising from these indemnification obligations in certain circumstances.

It is not possible to determine the maximum potential obligations under these indemnification undertakings due to the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement. Such indemnification undertakings may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. Historically, we have not incurred material costs related to indemnification obligations.

We do not expect any material losses to result from these arrangements, and we are not dependent on off-balance sheet financing arrangements to fund our operations. See also Note 11 of our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Except for the changes described below, our assessment of sensitivity to market risk has not changed significantly since those presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K--Item 7A for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009.

Debentures

With $701.2 million in aggregate principal of Debentures tendered on December 15, 2009 and the final redemption completed February 4, 2010, we no longer have associated market risk. See Note 10 of our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding the Debentures.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our periodic reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. We recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving desired control objectives. Judgment is required

when designing and evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of potential controls and procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, with the supervision and participation of management, including our CEO and CFO, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that, as of the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

As a part of our normal operations, we update our internal controls as necessary to accommodate any modifications to our business processes or accounting procedures. No change occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For a description of our legal proceedings, see Note 11 of our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated by reference in response to this item.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in our assessment of risk factors affecting our business since those presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 1A, for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009. For convenience, our updated risk factors are included below.

Our business is vulnerable to changing economic conditions and to other factors that adversely affect the casino industry, which have negatively impacted and could continue to negatively impact the play levels of our participation games, our product sales, and our ability to collect outstanding receivables from our customers.

Demand for our products and services depends largely upon favorable conditions in the casino industry, which is highly sensitive to casino patrons' disposable incomes and gaming activities. Discretionary spending on entertainment activities could decline for reasons beyond our control, such as continued negative economic conditions, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism, or health issues (such as concerns about the H1N1 Virus). Any prolonged or significant decrease in consumer spending on entertainment activities could result in reduced play levels on our participation games, causing our cash flows and revenues from a large share of our recurring revenue products to decline. Unfavorable economic conditions have also resulted in a tightening in the credit markets, decreased liquidity in many financial markets, and significant volatility in the credit and equity markets.

A decline in the relative health of the gaming industry and the difficulty or inability of our customers to obtain adequate levels of capital to finance their ongoing operations reduces their resources available to purchase our products and services, which adversely affects our revenues. If we experience a significant unexpected decrease in demand for our products, we could also be required to increase our inventory obsolescence charges.

Furthermore, the extended economic downturn has impacted and could continue to impact the ability of our customers to make timely payments to us. We have, and may continue, to incur additional provisions for bad debt related to credit concerns on certain receivables.

A decline in and/or sustained low interest rates causes an increase in our jackpot expense which could limit or reduce our future profits.

Changes in prime and/or treasury and agency interest rates during a given period cause fluctuations in jackpot expense largely due to the revaluation of future winner liabilities. When rates increase, jackpot liabilities are reduced as it costs less to fund the liability. However, when interest rates decline or remain low the value of the liability (and related jackpot expense) increases because the cost to fund the liability increases. Our results may continue to be negatively

impacted by continuing low interest rates or further declines in interest rates, resulting in increased jackpot expense and a reduction of our investment income, which could limit or reduce our future profits.

Our outstanding Notes subject us to additional risks.

Our Notes issued in May 2009 contain a net settlement feature, which entitles holders to receive cash up to $1,000 per Note and shares for any excess conversion value as determined by the respective governing indentures. Consequently, if a significant number of Notes are converted or redeemed, we would be required to make significant cash payments to the holders who convert or redeem the Notes.

In connection with the offering of the Notes, we entered into additional separate transactions for note hedges and warrant transactions. In connection with these transactions, the hedge counterparties and/or their respective affiliates may enter into various derivative transactions with respect to our common stock and may enter into or unwind various derivative transactions and/or purchase or sell our common stock in secondary market transactions prior to maturity of the Notes. These activities could have the effect of increasing or preventing a decline in, or having a negative effect on, the value of our common stock and could have the effect of increasing or preventing a decline in the value of our common stock during any conversion reference period related to a conversion of the Notes. The warrant transactions could separately have a dilutive effect from the issuance of our common stock pursuant to the warrants.

Our outstanding domestic credit facility subjects us to financial covenants which may limit our flexibility.

Our Domestic Credit Facility subjects us to a number of financial covenants, including a minimum ratio of EBITDA to interest expense minus interest on jackpot liabilities and a maximum ratio of debt to EBITDA. Our failure or inability to comply with these covenants will cause an event of default that, if not cured, could cause the entire outstanding borrowings under our Domestic Credit Facility, Bonds and Notes to become immediately due and payable. In addition, our interest rate under the Domestic Credit Facility can vary based on our public credit rating or our debt to capitalization ratio. Each of these measures may be adversely impacted by unfavorable economic conditions. The Domestic Credit Facility also includes restrictions that may limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry.

Slow growth in the establishment of new gaming jurisdictions or the number of new casinos, declines in the rate of replacement of existing gaming machines and ownership changes and consolidation in the casino industry could limit or reduce our future profits.

Demand for our products is driven substantially by the establishment of new gaming jurisdictions, the addition of new casinos or expansion of existing casinos within existing gaming jurisdictions and the replacement of existing gaming machines. The establishment or expansion of gaming in any jurisdiction typically requires a public referendum or other legislative action. As a result, gaming continues to be the subject of public debate, and there are numerous active organizations that oppose gaming. Opposition to gaming could result in restrictions on or even prohibitions of gaming operations or the expansion of operations in any jurisdiction.

In addition, the construction of new casinos or expansion of existing casinos fluctuates with demand, general economic conditions and the availability of financing. The rate of gaming growth in North America has diminished and machine replacements are at historically low levels. Slow growth in the establishment of new gaming jurisdictions or delays in the opening of new or expanded casinos and continued declines in, or low levels of demand for, machine replacements could reduce the demand for our products and our future profits. Changes in ownership or business combinations in the casino industry could also lead to decreased spending on our products, downward pricing pressures or a slowing in the rate of gaming machine replacements, or require our current customers to switch to our competitors' products, any of which could negatively impact our results of operations.

Demand for our products and the level of play of our products could be adversely affected by changes in player and operator preferences.

As a supplier of gaming machines, we must offer themes and products that appeal to gaming operators and players. If we are unable to anticipate or react timely to any significant changes in player preferences, such as a negative change in the trend of acceptance of our newest systems innovations or jackpot fatigue (declining play levels on smaller jackpots), the demand for our gaming products and the level of play of our gaming products could decline. Further, our products could suffer a loss of floor space to table games and operators may reduce revenue sharing arrangements, each of which would harm our sales and financial results. In addition, general changes in consumer behavior, such as reduced travel activity or redirection of entertainment dollars to other venues, could result in reduced demand and reduced play levels for our gaming products.

Our ability to operate in our existing markets or expand into new jurisdictions could be adversely affected by changing regulations, new interpretations of existing laws or problems with obtaining or maintaining needed licenses or approvals.

We operate only in jurisdictions where gaming is legal. The gaming industry is subject to extensive governmental regulation by US federal, state and local governments, as well as tribal officials or organizations and foreign governments. While the regulatory requirements vary by jurisdiction, most require:

ª licenses and/or permits

ª findings of suitability

ª documentation of qualifications, including evidence of financial stability

ª other required approvals for companies who manufacture or distribute gaming equipment and services

ª individual suitability of officers, directors, major stockholders and key employees

Any delays in obtaining or difficulty in maintaining regulatory approvals needed for expansion within existing markets or into new jurisdictions can negatively affect our opportunities for growth. Further, changes in existing gaming regulations or new interpretations of existing gaming laws may hinder or prevent us from continuing to operate in those jurisdictions where we currently do business, which would harm our operating results. In particular, the enactment of unfavorable legislation or government efforts affecting or directed at manufacturers or gaming operators, such as referendums to increase gaming taxes or requirements to use local distributors, would likely have a negative impact on our operations.

For example, in a case arising from the seizure of certain non-IGT charitable “bingo” machines by the Alabama Governor’s Task Force on Illegal Gambling, the State Supreme Court recently established a six-part definition of “bingo” with which Alabama charitable gaming machines must comply. Modifications were made to our Alabama games that we believe comply with the court’s standards. However, we can give no assurance that our modifications will be determined to comply with the new standards or that we will not encounter further legal, regulatory, financial, or competitive issues, which could have an adverse impact on our Alabama operations. Recently, two charitable bingo halls, VictoryLand and Country Crossing, voluntarily ceased operations. IGT has supplied product and development financing to these properties. Our charitable bingo operations and investments in Alabama represented approximately 2% of quarterly consolidated revenues and total assets, primarily development financing loans, as of and for December 31, 2009.

Our success in the competitive gaming industry depends in large part on our ability to develop and manage frequent introductions of innovative products.

The gaming industry is intensely competitive, and many of our competitors have substantial resources and specialize in the development and marketing of their products. Increased competition has negatively impacted, and may continue to negatively impact, our results. Because the gaming industry is characterized by dynamic customer demand and rapid technological advances, we must continually introduce and successfully market new themes and technologies in order to remain competitive and effectively stimulate customer demand. We invest heavily in product development in various disciplines from hardware, software, and firmware engineering to game design, video, multimedia, graphics, and sound.  There is no assurance that our investments in research and development will lead to successful new technologies or timely new products.  Our customers will accept a new product only if it is likely to increase operator profits more than competitors’ products. There is no certainty that our new products will attain this market acceptance or that our competitors will not more effectively anticipate or respond to changing customer preferences. In addition, any delays by us in introducing new products on schedule could negatively impact our operating results by providing

an opportunity for our competitors to introduce new products and gain market share ahead of us. For example, our business and results could be adversely affected if we experience delays or problems in our continued deployment of sbX™ gaming management systems, or if we do not gain market acceptance for these systems.

New products require regulatory approval and may be subject to complex and dynamic revenue recognition standards, which could materially affect our financial results.

As we introduce new products and transactions become increasingly complex, additional analysis and judgment is required to account for and recognize revenues in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Transactions may include multiple element arrangements and/or software components and applicable accounting principles or regulatory product approval delays could change the timing of revenue recognition and could adversely affect our financial results for any given period. Fluctuations may occur in our deferred revenues and reflect our continued shift toward more multiple element contracts that include systems and software.

Investments and development financing loans could adversely impact liquidity or cause us to incur loan losses or record a charge to earnings if our investments become impaired.

We invest in and/or provide financing for expansion or construction of gaming locations and other business purposes, including locations abroad. Such investment and financing activities subject us to increased credit risk in certain regions, which could be exacerbated by current unfavorable economic conditions or other political or economic instability in those regions. We monitor our investments and financing activities to assess impairment on a quarterly basis.

We have in the past and may in the future incur losses on these types of investments and loans. Our results of operations, liquidity or financial position may be negatively impacted if we are unable to collect on loans or derive benefit from our investments.

Our gaming machines and online operations may experience losses due to technical problems or fraudulent activities.

We incorporate security features into the design of our gaming machines and other systems, including those responsible for our online operations, which are designed to prevent us and our patrons from being defrauded. We also monitor our software and hardware to avoid, detect and correct any technical errors. However, there can be no guarantee that our security features or technical efforts will continue to be effective in the future. If our security systems fail to prevent fraud or if we experience any significant technical difficulties, our operating results could be adversely affected. Additionally, if third parties breach our security systems and defraud our patrons, or if our hardware or software experiences any technical anomalies, the public may lose confidence in our gaming machines and operations or we could become subject to legal claims by our customers or to investigation by gaming authorities.

We may be unable to protect our IP.

A significant portion of our revenues is generated from products using certain IP rights and our operating results would be negatively impacted if we are unsuccessful in protecting these rights from infringement. In addition, some of our most popular games and features are based on trademarks, patents and other IP licensed from third parties. The continued success of these games may depend upon our ability to retain or expand these licenses with reasonable terms. We also depend on trade secret law to protect certain proprietary knowledge and have entered into confidentiality agreements with those of our employees who have access to this information. However, there can be no guarantees that our employees will not breach these agreements, and if these agreements are breached it is unlikely that the remedies available to us will be sufficient to compensate us for the damages suffered.

We may be subject to claims of IP infringement or invalidity and adverse outcomes of litigation could unfavorably affect our operating results.

Periodically, we receive notification from others claiming that we are infringing upon their patent, trademark or other IP rights. Regardless of their merit, such claims may cause us to incur significant costs. Responding to these claims could also require us to stop selling or to redesign our products, to pay significant amounts in damages or to enter into agreements to pay significant licensing fees or royalties. Additionally, if any of these claims prove successful, it could limit our ability to bring new products to market in the future. Our assessment of current IP litigation could change in light of the discovery of facts not presently known to us or determinations by judges, juries or others that do not accord with our evaluation of the possible liability or outcome of such litigation.

Business combinations and investments in intellectual properties or affiliates present risk, and we may not be able to realize the financial and strategic goals that were contemplated at the time of the transaction, which could materially affect our financial results.

We have invested in strategic business combinations and acquisitions of important technologies and IP that we believe will expand our geographic reach, product lines, and/or customer base. We may encounter difficulties in the assimilation of acquired operations, technologies and/or products, or an acquisition may prove to be less valuable than the price we paid. Any of these events or circumstances may require us to record substantial impairment charges on goodwill and other intangible assets, resulting in a negative impact on our operating results.

Moreover, as we continue the process of evaluating our business in conjunction with an assessment of the company's long-term strategic goals, we will also further evaluate past and potential investments to determine if and how they will fit into our organizational structure going forward. If an event or change occurs in affiliate relationships or agreements associated with business combinations, we may be required to reassess cash flows, recoverability, useful lives, and fair value measurements, which may result in material impairment charges.

Failure to attract, retain and motivate key employees may adversely affect our ability to compete.

Our success depends largely on recruiting and retaining talented employees. The market for qualified executives and highly skilled, technical workers is intensely competitive. The loss of key employees or an inability to hire a sufficient number of technical staff could limit our ability to develop successful products and cause delays in getting new products to market.

Current environmental laws and regulations, or those enacted in the future, could result in additional liabilities and costs.

The manufacturing of our products may require the use of materials that are subject to a variety of environmental, health and safety laws and regulations. Compliance with these laws could increase our costs and impact the availability of components required to manufacture our products. Violation of these laws may subject us to significant fines, penalties or disposal costs, which could negatively impact our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

The risks related to operations in foreign countries and outside of traditional US jurisdictions could negatively affect our results.

We operate in many countries outside of the US and in tribal jurisdictions with sovereign immunity which subjects us to certain inherent risks including:

- social, political or economic instability
- additional costs of compliance
- tariffs and other trade barriers
- fluctuations in foreign exchange rates outside the US
- adverse changes in the creditworthiness of parties with whom we have significant receivables or forward currency exchange contracts
- expropriation, nationalization and restrictions on repatriation of funds or assets
- difficulty protecting our intellectual property.

Such developments could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

Item 5. Other Information

Form 8-K, Item 2.05 Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities

On February 8, 2010, IGT announced to employees its decision to close international operations in Japan due to ongoing difficult market conditions and changes in the Company's future core business strategy. The closure is part of the Company's ongoing focus on operating efficiencies in all areas of its business. The closure is targeted for completion by the early part of IGT's third quarter of fiscal 2010.

First quarter operations in Japan reflected a net loss of $2.5 million in fiscal 2010 and $1.2 million in fiscal 2009. At December 31, 2009, the carrying amount of related assets totaled $17.2 million and liabilities totaled $16.5 million. Fiscal year 2009 operations in Japan reflected a net loss of $21.2 million.

Total charges related to the closure are still developing and will depend on the culmination of certain asset sales and property lease cancellations. We currently estimate cash and non-cash charges, including severance, of up to $20.0 million in the aggregate, will be recorded in the second and third quarter of fiscal 2010.

Form 8-K, Item 5.02 (e) Departure of Directors or Certain Officers; Election of Directors; Appointment of Certain Officers; Compensatory Arrangements of Certain Officers

In connection with the departure of David D. Johnson as Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary of International Game Technology, effective as of January 4, 2010, Mr. Johnson received a lump sum payment in cash constituting one year of salary (determined based on his highest annual salary during the previous two years) and the pro rata portion of his target bonus opportunity for fiscal year 2010. Mr. Johnson also received one year of accelerated vesting of his outstanding equity incentive awards and continuing medical benefits under COBRA for one year.

Item 6. Exhibits

10.1* Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated December 1, 2009, between International Game Technology and Thomas J. Matthews (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed December 7, 2009).

10.2* Executive Transition Agreement, dated to be effective October 23, 2009, between International Game Technology and Patrick W. Cavanaugh (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed December 23, 2009).

10.3* Executive Transition Agreement, dated to be effective October 23, 2009, between International Game Technology and David D. Johnson (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed December 23, 2009).

10.4* Summary of Named Executive Officer and Director Compensation Arrangements at January 31, 2010

10.5* Executive Transition Agreement, dated to be effective October 23, 2009, between International Game Technology and Anthony Ciorciari.

10.6* Employment Agreement, dated November 30, 1993, between International Game Technology and Paulus Karskens.

10.7 Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of June 8, 2009, with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent, The Royal Bank of Scotland PLC, the Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd./Union Bank of California, N.A., and Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd., as Co-Documentation Agents, and Banc of America Securities LLC, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and RBS Securities, Inc., as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Managers, and a syndicate of other lenders.

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a–14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a–14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a–14(b) of the Exchange Act and section 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a–14(b) of the Exchange Act and section 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101.INS** XBRL Instance

101.SCH** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema

101.CAL** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation

101.LAB** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels

101.PRE** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation

** XBRL information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1034, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

44

---

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 11, 2010

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY

/s/Patrick W.
Cavanaugh
Patrick W.
Cavanaugh
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer
(Principal Financial Officer)