ADVANCED ENERGY INDUSTRIES INC Form 10-Q November 03, 2006

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

#### **FORM 10-0**

(Mark One) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES þ **EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.** For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES o **EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.** For the transition period from Commission file number: 000-26966 ADVANCED ENERGY INDUSTRIES, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) **Delaware** 84-0846841 (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) or organization) 1625 Sharp Point Drive, Fort Collins, CO 80525 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code) Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (970) 221-4670 Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o. Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one): Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer b Non-accelerated filer o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b. As of October 30, 2006, there were 44,809,783 shares of the registrant s Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share, outstanding.

#### **Table of Contents**

# ADVANCED ENERGY INDUSTRIES, INC. FORM 10-Q TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PARI I FINAN</u>	<u>CIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
ITEM 1.	UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	3
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005	6
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7
ITEM 2.	MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	23
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	30
ITEM 4.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	30
PART II OTHE	R INFORMATION	30
ITEM 1.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	30
ITEM 1A.	RISK FACTORS	30
ITEM 2.	UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS	32
ITEM 3.	DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES	32
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	33
<u>ITEM 5.</u>	OTHER INFORMATION	33
<u>ITEM 6.</u>	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	34
Certification of CFO Certification of CEC	Department to Rule 13a-14(a) Department to Rule 13a-14(a) Department to Section 906 Department to Section 906 Department to Section 906	35

#### PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# ITEM 1. UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS ADVANCED ENERGY INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

(In thousands)

A GGDTTG	September 30, 2006		,	
ASSETS				
CURRENT ASSETS: Cash and cash equivalents	\$	52,789	\$	52,874
Marketable securities	Ψ	63,939	Ψ	6,811
Accounts receivable, net		74,864		68,992
Inventories		58,079		56,199
Other current assets		5,463		6,773
Total current assets		255,134		191,649
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net		33,602		39,294
OTHER ASSETS:				
Deposits and other		1,829		3,808
Goodwill		61,451		61,316
Other intangible assets, net		7,269		8,527
Customer service equipment, net		1,476		2,407
Deferred income tax assets, net		6,109		3,116
Total assets	\$	366,870	\$	310,117
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
CURRENT LIABILITIES:				
Trade accounts payable	\$	19,669	\$	22,028
Accrued payroll and employee benefits		14,687		8,313
Taxes payable		7,050		5,538
Other accrued expenses		9,004		9,155
Customer deposits and deferred revenue		532		971
Senior borrowings and capital leases, current portion		616		2,011
Total current liabilities		51,558		48,016
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:				
Senior borrowings and capital leases, net of current portion		226		2,179
Other long-term liabilities		1,690		2,492

Edgar Filing: ADVANCED	<b>ENERGY</b>	INDUSTRIES INC	- Form 10-Q
			1 01111 10 🔾

Total liabilities	53,474	52,687
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	313,396	257,430
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 366,870	\$ 310,117

The accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these condensed consolidated statements.

3

#### ADVANCED ENERGY INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) (In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended Septembe 30,			ptember
		2006		2005
SALES COST OF SALES	\$	107,688 60,674	\$	78,757 49,835
Gross profit		47,014		28,922
OPERATING EXPENSES: Research and development Selling, general and administrative Restructuring charges Litigation settlement		11,345 17,309 31		9,610 13,925 210 3,000
Total operating expenses		28,685		26,745
INCOME FROM OPERATIONS		18,329		2,177
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE): Interest income Interest expense Debt extinguishment expense Other income, net		1,159 (117) 2		1,130 (2,599) (3,180) (147)
Total other income (expense), net		1,044		(4,796)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES		19,373 (2,381)		(2,619) (1,584)
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS		16,992		(4,203)
INCOME FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS				312
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$	16,992	\$	(3,891)
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER BASIC SHARE: Income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations	\$	0.38	\$	(0.11) 0.01
BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$	0.38	\$	(0.10)

NET INCOME (LOSS) PER DILUTED SHARE: Income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations	\$	0.38	\$ (0.11) 0.01
DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$	0.38	\$ (0.10)
BASIC WEIGHTED-AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING DILUTED WEIGHTED-AVERAGE COMMON SHARES		44,762	38,366
OUTSTANDING		45,166	38,366
The accompanying notes to condensed consolidated fi			
are an integral part of these condensed consolidat	ed statem	ents.	
4			

**Table of Contents** 

#### ADVANCED ENERGY INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) (In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Nine Months Ended Septem			eptember
		2006 2006	,	2005
SALES COST OF SALES	\$	306,209 175,885	\$	245,095 158,205
Gross profit		130,324		86,890
OPERATING EXPENSES: Research and development Selling, general and administrative Restructuring charges Litigation settlement		32,608 46,885 91		30,085 41,529 2,540 3,000
Total operating expenses		79,584		77,154
INCOME FROM OPERATIONS		50,740		9,736
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE): Interest income Interest expense Debt extinguishment expense Other income, net  Total other income (expense), net  Income from continuing operations before income taxes		2,207 (316) 1,726 3,617 54,357		2,526 (8,080) (3,180) 1,132 (7,602) 2,134
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES		(6,580)		(3,543)
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS		47,777		(1,409)
Gain on sale of discontinued assets Results of discontinued operations		138		2,645 1,556
INCOME FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS		138		4,201
NET INCOME	\$	47,915	\$	2,792
NET INCOME PER BASIC SHARE: Income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations	\$	1.07	\$	(0.04) 0.12

8

BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$	1.07	\$	0.08
NET INCOME PER DILUTED SHARE: Income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations	\$	1.06	\$	(0.04) 0.12
DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$	1.06	\$	0.08
BASIC WEIGHTED-AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING DILUTED WEIGHTED-AVERAGE COMMON SHARES		44,679		34,639
OUTSTANDING		45,168		34,932
The accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these condensed consolidated statements.  5				

#### ADVANCED ENERGY INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) (In thousands)

	Nine Months Ended Septemb 30,			
		2006	•,	2005
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Net income	\$	47,915	\$	2,792
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities				
Depreciation and amortization		11,960		12,957
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs		<i>y</i>		809
Stock-based compensation		2,224		229
Provision for deferred income taxes		95		337
Loss on disposal of property and equipment		154		658
Gain on sale of discontinued assets		(138)		(2,645)
Loss on repayment of convertible debt		( /		3,180
Gain on sale of marketable securities		(1,670)		(1,099)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		( ) ,		( ) ,
Accounts receivable, net		(5,528)		(3,437)
Inventories		(1,443)		15,542
Other current assets		1,113		3,372
Trade accounts payable		(2,552)		8,787
Other current liabilities and accrued expenses		5,568		(2,767)
Income taxes payable/receivable, net		1,488		1,304
Non-current assets		(214)		(1,777)
Non-current liabilities		(1,214)		(234)
Net cash provided by operating activities		57,758		38,008
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Purchase of marketable securities		(57,300)		
Proceeds from sale of equity securities		1,992		67,905
Proceeds from sale of assets		539		3,685
Purchase of property and equipment		(3,000)		(8,032)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities		(57,769)		63,558
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Payments on notes payable and capital lease obligations		(3,511)		(2,752)
Proceeds from common stock transactions		2,761		106,397
Repayment of convertible subordinated notes		,		(189,816)
Net cash used in financing activities		(750)		(86,171)

EFFECT OF CURRENCY TRANSLATION ON CASH		676	(1,975)
(DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period		(85) 52,874	13,420 38,404
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$	52,789	\$ 51,824
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid for interest	\$	316	\$ 9,732
Cash paid for income taxes, net	\$	4,907	\$ 2,111
The accompanying notes to condensed consolidated final	ncial s	statements	
are an integral part of these condensed consolidated	staten	nents.	
6			

## ADVANCED ENERGY INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

#### (1) BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets, statements of operations and cash flows contain all adjustments, consisting of normal, recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position of Advanced Energy Industries, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its wholly owned subsidiaries (the Company ) at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, and the results of their operations for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements presented herein have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and do not include all the information and note disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 28, 2006.

ESTIMATES AND ASSUMPTIONS The preparation of the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires the Company's management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates are used when establishing allowances for doubtful accounts, determining useful lives for depreciation and amortization, assessing the need for impairment charges, establishing warranty reserves, establishing the fair value and forfeiture rate of stock-based compensation, accounting for income taxes, and assessing excess and obsolete inventory and various others items. The Company evaluates these estimates and judgments on an ongoing basis and bases its estimates on historical experience, current conditions and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. The results of these estimates form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities as well as identifying and assessing the accounting treatment with respect to commitments and contingencies. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. FIN No. 48 prescribes a comprehensive model for how a company should recognize, measure, present, and disclose in its financial statements uncertain tax positions that it has taken or expects to take on a tax return. The provisions of FIN No. 48 are effective as of the beginning of the Company s fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007, with the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting FIN No. 48 and does not believe that the impact to the Company s financial statements will be material.

7

#### **Table of Contents**

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 (SAB 108). Due to diversity in practice among registrants, SAB 108 expresses SEC staff views regarding the process by which misstatements in financial statements are evaluated for purposes of determining whether financial statement restatement is necessary. SAB 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006, and early application is encouraged. The Company does not believe SAB 108 will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement No. 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No.* 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) (FAS 158). Among other items, FAS 158 requires recognition of the overfunded or underfunded status of an entity s defined benefit postretirement plan as an asset or liability in the financial statements, requires the measurement of defined benefit postretirement plan assets and obligations as of the end of the employer s fiscal year, and requires recognition of the funded status of defined benefit postretirement plans in other comprehensive income. FAS 158 is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006, and early application is encouraged. The adoption of FAS 158 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

REVENUE RECOGNITION The Company s standard shipping term is freight on board (FOB) shipping point, for which revenue is recognized upon shipment of its products, at which time title passes to the customer, the price is fixed and collectibility is reasonably assured. For certain customers, the Company has FOB destination terms, for which revenue is recognized upon receipt of the products by the customer, at which time title passes to the customer, the price is fixed and collectibility is reasonably assured. Revenues from contracts that contain certain customer acceptance provisions are deferred until customer acceptance occurs. Generally, the Company does not have obligations to its customers after its products are shipped under FOB shipping point terms, after its products are received by customers under FOB destination terms, and after the products are accepted by customers under contractual acceptance provisions, other than pursuant to warranty obligations. In limited instances, the Company provides installation of its products. In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 00-21 Accounting for Revenue Arrangements With Multiple Deliverables , the Company allocates revenue based on the fair value of the delivered item, generally the product, and the undelivered item, installation, based on their respective fair values. Revenue related to the undelivered item is deferred until the services have been completed.

In certain instances, the Company requires its customers to pay for a portion or all of their purchases prior to the Company building or shipping these products. Cash payments received prior to shipment are recorded as customer deposits and deferred revenue in the condensed consolidated balance sheets, and then recognized as revenue as appropriate based upon the transfer of title of the products. The Company does not offer price protections to its customers or allow returns, unless covered by its normal policy for repair of defective products.

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) to account for its stock plans and employee stock purchase plan, which requires the recognition of the fair value of stock-based compensation in the statement of operations. The fair value of stock options and purchase rights pursuant the employee stock purchase plan is estimated using the Black-Scholes valuation model. This model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, including expected life of the award and expected stock price volatility. The fair value of restricted stock units is determined based upon the Company s closing stock price on the grant date. The fair value of stock-based awards is amortized over the requisite service period, typically the vesting period, of the award on a straight-line basis. See Note 2, Stock-Based Compensation, for discussion of the impact of adoption and additional disclosures.

8

#### **Table of Contents**

WARRANTY RESERVE POLICY The Company generally warrants its products for periods typically ranging from 12 to 24 months after shipment. The Company estimates the anticipated costs of repairing products under warranty based on the historical cost of the repairs and expected failure rates. The assumptions used to estimate warranty accruals are reevaluated periodically in light of actual experience and, when appropriate, the accruals are adjusted. The Company s determination of the appropriate level of warranty accrual is subjective and based on estimates. Estimated warranty costs are recorded at the time of sale of the related product, and are recorded within cost of sales in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The Company recorded warranty charges of \$3.0 million in the three months ended September 30, 2006 and \$2.4 million in three months ended September 30, 2005. The Company recorded warranty charges of \$9.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and \$8.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Included within the warranty charges is \$396,000 and \$1.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and \$357,000 and \$1.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, for amortization of customer service equipment. This equipment is manufactured product that is utilized as replacement and loaner equipment to existing customers and is amortized over a useful life of one year.

The following table summarizes the activity in the Company s warranty reserve during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005:

	Three Mor Septem	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
(In thousands)	2006	2005	2006	2005
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 6,443	\$ 6,881	\$ 6,313	\$ 6,791
Provisions	2,611	2,032	6,858	6,930
Usages	(2,490)	(2,460)	(6,607)	(7,268)
Balance at end of period	\$ 6,564	\$ 6,453	\$ 6,564	\$ 6,453

EXCESS AND OBSOLETE INVENTORY Inventory is written down or written off when it is deemed excess or becomes obsolete, which generally occurs due to engineering changes to a product or discontinuance of a product line. Judgment by management is necessary in estimating the net realizable value of inventory based primarily upon forecasts of product demand. Charges for excess and obsolete inventory are recorded within cost of sales or research and development in the condensed consolidated statement of operations.

INCOME TAXES The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. SFAS No. 109 requires deferred tax assets and liabilities to be recognized for temporary differences between the tax basis and financial reporting basis of assets and liabilities, computed at the expected tax rates for the periods in which the assets or liabilities will be realized, as well as for the expected tax benefit of net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Since 2003, the Company has recorded valuation allowances against certain of its United States and foreign net deferred tax assets in jurisdictions where it has incurred significant losses. Given such loss experience, management could not conclude that it was more likely than not that these net deferred tax assets will be realized. While the Company s operating results have improved in recent periods and may continue to improve in future periods,

9

#### **Table of Contents**

the Company s management, in accordance with SFAS No. 109, in evaluating the recoverability of these net deferred tax assets, is required to place greater weight on historical results as compared to projections regarding future taxable income. If the Company generates future taxable income, or should it be able to conclude that sufficient taxable income is reasonably assured based on profitable operations in the tax jurisdictions against which these tax attributes may be applied, some portion or all of the valuation allowance will be reversed and a corresponding reduction in income tax expense will be reported in such period.

The Company assesses the recoverability of its net deferred tax assets on a quarterly basis. If it is determined that it is more likely than not that the Company will realize a portion or all of its net deferred tax assets, some portion or all of the valuation allowance will be reversed with a corresponding reduction in income tax expense in such period. From 2003 through the present, the Company has only reversed portions of such valuation allowances for which it has realized the underlying asset.

When recording acquisitions, the Company has recorded valuation allowances due to the uncertainty related to the realization of certain deferred tax assets existing at the acquisition dates. The amount of deferred tax assets considered realizable is subject to adjustment in future periods if estimates of future taxable income are changed. Reversals of valuation allowances recorded in purchase accounting will be reflected as a reduction of goodwill in the period of reversal.

A portion of the valuation allowance relates to the benefit from stock-based compensation. Any reversal of valuation allowance from this item will be reflected as a component of stockholders equity.

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES From time to time, the Company is involved in disputes and legal actions arising in the normal course of its business. The Company accrues loss contingencies in connection with its commitments and contingencies, including litigation, when it is probable that a loss has occurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated.

GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS Goodwill represents the excess of the cost over the fair market value of net tangible and identifiable intangible assets of acquired businesses.

Goodwill and certain other intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized. Instead, goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets are subject to periodic (at least annual) tests for impairment. For the periods presented, the Company did not have any indefinite-lived intangible assets, other than goodwill. Impairment testing is performed in two steps: (i) goodwill is assessed for potential impairment by comparing the fair value of the Company s reporting unit with the carrying value, and (ii) if potential impairment is indicated because the reporting unit s fair value is less than its carrying amount, the amount of impairment loss is measured by comparing the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill.

Finite-lived intangible assets continue to be amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives and are reviewed for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable.

*RECLASSIFICATIONS* Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

10

#### **Table of Contents**

#### (2) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

*Implementation of SFAS No. 123(R)* 

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for its stock plans under the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related interpretations. APB Opinion No. 25 required the use of the intrinsic value method, which measured compensation cost as the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of the stock at the measurement date over the amount an employee must pay to acquire the stock. As the stock options granted under these plans typically had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant, no compensation cost related to stock options was reflected in the Company s results of operations. The Company began to grant restricted stock units (RSUs) in the first quarter of 2005, for which the related compensation expense is recorded over the requisite service period.

In December 2004, the FASB reissued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation as SFAS No. 123(R), Share Based Compensation. This statement replaces SFAS No. 123, amends SFAS No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows, and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. SFAS No. 123(R) requires companies to apply a fair-value based measurement method in accounting for share-based payment transactions with employees and to record compensation expense for all share-based awards granted, and to awards modified, repurchased or cancelled after the required effective date. Compensation expense for outstanding awards for which the requisite service had not been rendered as of the effective date will be recognized over the remaining service period using the compensation cost calculated for pro forma disclosure purposes under SFAS No. 123, adjusted for expected forfeitures. Additionally, SFAS No. 123(R) requires entities to record compensation expense for employee stock purchase plans that may not have been considered compensatory under the previous rules. It also requires the benefits associated with tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow rather than as an operating cash flow as previously required.

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R) as of January 1, 2006 (the adoption date) using the modified prospective transition method. Under the modified prospective transition method, stock-based compensation expense recognized in the Company s statement of operations in the three and nine-months ended September 30, 2006 includes: (a) stock options and RSUs granted prior to, but not fully vested as of the adoption date, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, (b) stock options and RSUs granted subsequent to the adoption date, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), (c) purchase rights granted under the employee stock purchase plan (the ESPP) with the offering period beginning prior to, but not yet vested as of the adoption date, based on the fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS 123, and (d) purchase rights granted under the ESPP subsequent to the adoption date, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R). The estimated fair value of the Company s stock-based awards, less expected forfeitures, is amortized on a straight-line basis over the awards requisite service period, typically the vesting period. Under the modified prospective transition method, results for prior periods are not restated.

The Company recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$807,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2006 and \$2.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to \$134,000 and \$273,000 in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense recognized prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R). Included in these amounts are expenses related to RSUs of \$234,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2006 and \$581,000 in the nine months ended

11

September 30, 2006, and \$90,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2005 and \$229,000 in the nine months ended September 30, 2005, which were included in the Company s condensed consolidated statements of operations under the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25. The expense related to RSUs is therefore excluded from the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R). As a result of adopting SFAS No. 123(R), the Company s income before income taxes and net income for the three months ended September 30, 2006 were reduced by \$573,000 and by \$1.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. No income tax benefit has been recognized in the condensed consolidated statement of operations related to stock-based compensation expense, due to the full valuation allowance against deferred tax assets. The implementation of SFAS No. 123(R) reduced basic and diluted earnings per share by \$0.01 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and \$0.04 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The implementation of SFAS No. 123(R) did not have a significant impact on cash flows during the three and nine-months ended September 30, 2006.

The modified prospective transition method of SFAS No. 123(R) requires the presentation of pro forma information, for periods presented prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), regarding net income and earnings per share as if the Company had accounted for its stock plans under the fair value method. Had compensation expense for the Company s stock plans been determined consistent with the fair value-based method prescribed by the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, the Company s net income would have decreased to the following adjusted amounts:

(in thousands, except per share data)	1	ee Months Ended ember 30, 2005	Nine months Ended September 30, 2005		
Net (loss) income:		2002	2005		
As reported	\$	(3,891)	\$	2,792	
Adjustment for stock-based compensation determined under fair					
value-based method for all awards (a), (b)		(2,022)		(6,012)	
Adjustment for compensation expense recognized in net income (a)		134		273	
As adjusted	\$	(5,779)	\$	(2,947)	
Basic earnings per share:					
As reported	\$	(0.10)	\$	0.08	
As adjusted	\$	(0.15)	\$	(0.09)	
Diluted earnings per share:					
As reported	\$	(0.10)	\$	0.08	
As adjusted	\$	(0.15)	\$	(0.09)	

(a) Compensation expense in 2005 is presented prior to income tax effects due to the Company fully reserving against the related deferred tax assets.

(b)

Cumulative

compensation

cost recognized

with respect to

options that are

forfeited prior to

vesting is

reflected as a

reduction of

compensation

expense in the

period of

forfeiture.

Compensation

expense related

to awards

granted under

the Company s

employee stock

purchase plan is

estimated until

the period in

which

settlement

occurs, as the

number of

shares of

common stock

awarded and the

purchase price

are not known

until settlement.

For pro forma purposes, the fair value of each option grant and purchase right granted under the ESPP were estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2005	Nine months Ended September 30, 2005
OPTIONS:		
Risk-free interest rates	4.2%	3.7%
Expected dividend yield rates	0.0%	0.0%
Expected lives	4.9 years	3.7 years
Expected volatility	77.8%	74.0%
-	12	

#### **Table of Contents**

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2005	Nine months Ended September 30, 2005
ESPP:		
Risk-free interest rates	3.1%	2.7%
Expected dividend yield rates	0.0%	0.0%
Expected lives	0.5 years	0.5 years
Expected volatility	59.2%	61.0%
Stock Plans and ESPP		

As of September 30, 2006, the Company had three active stock plans; the 2003 Stock Option Plan, the 2003 Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan and the ESPP, which are described below.

Stock Options and RSUs

The 2003 Stock Option Plan (the 2003 Plan ), a shareholder-approved plan, is a broad-based plan for employees and consultants of the Company. The 2003 Plan provides for the issuance of up to 3,250,000 shares of common stock. The exercise price of incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options may not be less than the market value of the Company s common stock on the date of grant. The administrator of the plan has the discretion to determine the vesting period of options granted under the 2003 Plan; however option and RSU grants will generally vest over four years, contingent upon the recipient continuing to be an employee, director or consultant of the Company. Options granted under the 2003 plan generally are exercisable for ten years from the date of grant. As of September 30, 2006, approximately 1.1 million shares of common stock were available for grant under the 2003 Plan.

The 2003 Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan (the 2003 Directors Plan ), a shareholder-approved plan, provides for the issuance of up to 250,000 shares of common stock. The exercise price of options granted under the 2003 Directors Plan may not be less than the market value of the Company s common stock on the date of grant. On May 24, 2006, the stockholders of the Company approved an amendment of the 2003 Directors Plan, which enables different forms of equity awards to be granted under the 2003 Directors Plan. Pursuant to the Company s current non-employee director compensation structure, non-employee directors are granted 5,000 RSUs on the date first elected or appointed as a member of the Company s board, and 2,000 RSUs on any date re-elected as a member of the board. Such RSU grants vest over four years, contingent upon the recipient continuing to be a director of the Company. On July 24, 2006, the Company s independent compensation consultant presented to the Board of Directors the consultant s report on, among other things, non-employee director compensation. Based on the data contained in such report, it was determined to revise the equity component of the non-employee director compensation structure to increase the number of restricted stock units to be granted to non-employee directors upon initial election or appointment to the board and upon re-election. It was also determined to make a one-time grant of restricted stock units to each of the incumbent non-employee directors who is re-elected at the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will likely be held in May 2007. The Company intends to implement these revisions to the non-employee director compensation structure by amending the 2003 Directors Plan, subject to shareholder approval at the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. As of September 30, 2006, 105,000 shares of common stock were available for grant under the 2003 Directors Plan.

13

#### **Table of Contents**

A summary of the status of the Company s stock options as of September 30, 2006 and changes during the nine months then ended are presented below:

		Weighted-aver Weighted- Remaining Contractua average Term			
(In thousands, except exercise prices and contractual terms)	Shares	Exercise Price	(years)	Value	
Stock options:					
Employees					
Options outstanding at December 31, 2005	3,183	\$ 19.22			
Options granted	447	16.18			
Options exercised	(242)	9.79			
Options terminated	(372)	16.53			
Options outstanding at September 30, 2006	3,016	18.93	6.8	\$ 8,314	
Options exercisable at September 30, 2006 Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the	2,158	21.41	6.0	\$ 4,567	
period		\$ 10.12			
Non-employee directors					
Options outstanding at December 31, 2005 Options granted	183	\$ 14.58			
Options exercised Options terminated	(25)	10.34			
Options outstanding at September 30, 2006	158	15.34	6.7	\$ 693	
Options exercisable at September 30, 2006 Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the period	143	15.73	6.5	\$ 613	

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$236,000 and \$1.5 million, respectively, and was \$85,000 and \$171,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, determined as of the exercise date. As of September 30, 2006, there was \$5.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options granted and outstanding, which is expected to be recognized through fiscal year 2010, with a weighted average remaining period of 2.0 years. Cash received from stock option exercises was \$393,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2006 and was \$2.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

For SFAS No. 123(R) purposes, the fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006	Nine months Ended September 30, 2006
Risk-free interest rates	4.7%	4.7%
Expected dividend yield rates	0.0%	0.0%
Expected lives	5.5 years	5.5 years

Expected volatility 65.3% 65.5%

The computation of the expected volatility assumption for new grants is based on a combination of historical and implied volatilities. When establishing the expected life assumption, the Company reviews historical exercise behavior of option grants with similar vesting periods and post-vesting termination behavior.

14

#### **Table of Contents**

A summary of the status of the Company s non-vested RSUs as of September 30, 2006, and changes during the nine months then ended are presented below:

		Weighted-average Grant-date Fair
(In thousands, except fair values)	Shares	Value
Non-vested RSUs outstanding at December 31, 2005	235	\$ 7.72
RSUs granted	189	15.43
RSUs vested	(23)	7.69
RSUs forfeited	(18)	10.39
Non-vested RSUs outstanding at September 30, 2006	383	11.41

The fair value of the Company s RSUs is determined based upon the closing fair market value of the Company s common stock on the grant date. As of September 30, 2006, there was \$3.0 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested RSUs granted, which cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.9 years. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, the total fair value of RSUs which vested was \$63,000 and \$357,000, respectively, based upon the closing fair market value of the Company s common stock on the date the underlying common stock was released to the recipient.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the ESPP)

The ESPP, a shareholder-approved plan, provides for the issuance of up to 500,000 shares of common stock. Employees are eligible to participate in the ESPP if employed by the Company for at least 20 hours per week during at least five months per calendar year. Participating employees may have the lesser of 5% their earnings or \$1,250 per six-month period withheld. The purchase price of common stock purchased under the ESPP is equal to 85% of the lower of the fair market value on the commencement date of each offering period or the relevant purchase date. Each plan period is six months. At September 30, 2006, approximately 130,000 shares remained available for future issuance under the ESPP.

Purchase rights granted under the ESPP are valued using the Black-Scholes model. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the Company used historical volatility in deriving its expected volatility assumption; however, the Company has determined that implied volatility provides a more accurate reflection of market conditions and is a better indicator of expected volatility than historical volatility for the six month plan period. As of September 30, 2006, there was \$20,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to the ESPP that is expected to be recognized over a remaining period of two months.

For pro forma purposes, the fair value of each purchase right granted under the ESPP was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006	Nine months Ended September 30, 2006
ESPP:	• /	,
Risk-free interest rates	5.1%	4.6%
Expected dividend yield rates	0.0%	0.0%
Expected lives	0.5 years	0.5 years
Expected volatility	47.0%	47.0%
(3) INCOME TAXES		

As of September 30, 2006, the Company had a gross federal net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$66.3 million, of which approximately \$13.9 million is subject to further limitations under the United States consolidated tax rules, an alternative minimum tax credit

#### **Table of Contents**

carryforward of approximately \$2.4 million, and research and development credit carryforwards of approximately \$5.6 million, each of which may be available to offset future federal income tax liabilities. The federal net operating loss and research and development credit carryforwards expire at various dates through December 31, 2025, and the alternative minimum tax credit carryforward has no expiration date. The Company is unable to provide a tax benefit from its net operating loss carryforward because it has not demonstrated sustained profitability in the United States. Approximately \$3.0 million of the valuation allowance relates to the benefit from stock-based compensation expense; any reversal of such valuation allowances will be reflected as a component of stockholders—equity. Approximately \$2.9 million of the valuation allowance relates to deferred tax assets obtained through acquisitions; any reversal of such valuation allowance allowances will be reflected as a reduction of goodwill. In addition, as of September 30, 2006, the Company had a gross foreign net operating loss carryforward of \$867,000, which may be available to offset future foreign income tax liabilities and expires at various dates through December 31, 2011.

The income tax provision on income from continuing operations before income taxes was \$2.4 million for the third quarter of 2006 and represents an effective tax rate of 12%, and the income tax provision on income from continuing operations before income taxes was \$1.6 million for the third quarter of 2005 and represents an effective rate of 60%. The income tax provision on income from continuing operations before income taxes was \$6.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and represents an effective tax rate of 12%, and the income tax provision on income from continuing operations before income taxes was \$3.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and represents an effective rate of 166%. The change in the effective tax rate from the 2005 periods to the 2006 periods is due to the change in the mix of taxable income earned by jurisdiction. In the 2005 periods, losses were realized in the United States which receives no corresponding tax benefit due to valuation allowances, compared to income being generated in the United States in the 2006 periods, which receive no corresponding tax provision due to the fully valued net operating loss carryforwards that are being utilized.

#### (4) DISPOSITIONS AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On June 24, 2005, the Company sold the assets of its EMCO product line to an unrelated third party for net cash proceeds of \$3.7 million, with an additional \$500,000 held in escrow, as this product line was not critical to the Company s core operations. The Company recognized a gain on the sale of \$2.6 million, which is recorded in discontinued operations in the statement of operations. Because the EMCO product line had not represented a significant portion of the Company s operations, with revenues representing from 1.4% to 3.5% of quarterly consolidated sales from 2003 through its sale on June 24, 2005, and represented an insignificant portion of the Company s operating results for all periods presented, the results of operations have not been reclassified to income from discontinued operations.

16

#### **Table of Contents**

On November 23, 2005, the Company entered into an agreement to sell the assets of its IKOR product line to an unrelated third party, as this product line was not considered to be critical to the Company s core operations. The Company received \$8.9 million in cash, with an additional \$1.0 million held in escrow to satisfy any potential indemnity claims for one year. The Company recognized a gain on the sale of \$5.0 million, which was recorded in discontinued operations in the statement of operations. The results of operations directly attributed to the IKOR product line have been reclassified as discontinued operations as follows:

	Three Months	Nine months
(In thousands)	Ended	Ended
	September 30,	September 30,
	2005	2005
Sales	\$ 3,219	\$ 10,406
Cost of sales	1,801	5,833
Operating expenses	1,106	3,017
(E) 2 5 1 5 1 5 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		

#### (5) MARKETABLE SECURITIES

Marketable securities consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005		
	(In th	ousan	usands)	
Commercial paper	\$ 27,144	\$	4,639	
Municipal bonds and notes	36,246		1,901	
Institutional money markets	549		271	
Total marketable securities	\$ 63,939	\$	6,811	

These marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale and are stated at period end market value. The commercial paper consists of high credit quality, short-term preferreds with maturities or reset dates of approximately 120 days. At September 30, 2006, \$467,000 was held as collateral for a current note payable.

17

#### (6) ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005		
	(In th	(In thousands		
Trade accounts receivable, gross	\$73,556	\$	65,545	
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(648)		(645)	
Trade accounts receivable, net	72,908		64,900	
Other	1,956		4,092	
Total accounts receivable, net	\$ 74,864	\$	68,992	

#### (7) INVENTORIES

Inventories consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2006	Do	December 31, 2005	
	(In th	ousands)		
Parts and raw materials	\$41,102	\$	42,090	
Work in process	6,318		3,982	
Finished goods	10,659		10,127	
Total inventories	\$ 58,079	\$	56,199	

Inventories include costs of materials, direct labor and manufacturing overhead. Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market, computed on a first-in, first-out basis and are presented net of reserves for excess and obsolete inventory.

Cumulative

#### (8) GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill and amortizable intangible assets consisted of the following as of September 30, 2006:

	Gross Carrying Amount	Effect of Chang in Exchan Rates	ges A ge A	Accumulated	Net Carrying Amount	(Years)
		(In thous	sanas, ex	cept weighted	l-average useful	iiie)
Amortizable intangible assets:						
Technology-based	\$ 6,600	\$ 1	,441	\$ (6,812)	\$ 1,22	9 6
Trademarks and other	8,500	1	,646	(4,106)	6,04	0 17
Total amortizable intangible						
assets	15,100	3	,087	(10,918)	7,26	9 12

 Goodwill
 52,745
 8,706
 61,451

 Total goodwill and amortizable intangible assets
 \$ 67,845
 \$ 11,793
 \$ (10,918)
 \$ 68,720

18

#### **Table of Contents**

Goodwill and amortizable intangible assets consisted of the following as of December 31, 2005:

	Gross Carrying Amount	of H	umulative Effect Changes in Exchange Rates thousands,	Amo	umulated ortization ot weighted	1	W t Carrying Amount age useful life)	eighted-Average Useful Life (Years)
Amortizable intangible assets: Technology-based Trademarks and other	\$ 7,000 8,500	\$	1,354 1,613	\$	(6,322) (3,618)	\$	2,032 6,495	5 17
Total amortizable intangible assets	15,500		2,967		(9,940)		8,527	12
Goodwill	53,589		7,727				61,316	
Total goodwill and amortizable intangible assets	\$ 69,089	\$	10,694	\$	(9,940)	\$	69,843	

When recording acquisitions, the Company has recorded income tax valuation allowances due to the uncertainty related to the realization of certain deferred tax assets existing at the acquisition dates. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, due to the utilization of these net operating losses, approximately \$844,000 of the valuation allowances established in purchase accounting were reversed, with a corresponding reduction in goodwill.

Amortization expense related to intangible assets for the third quarter of 2006 was \$453,000 and for the third quarter of 2005 was \$504,000. Amortization expense related to intangible assets for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$1.4 million and for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 was \$1.6 million. Estimated amortization expense related to the Company s acquired intangibles fluctuates with changes in foreign currency exchange rates between the United States dollar and the Japanese yen and the euro. Estimated amortization expense related to amortizable intangibles for each of the five years 2006 through 2010 is as follows:

	Estimated Amortization Expense
	(In
	thousands)
2006	\$ 1,665
2007	960
2008	750
2009	477
2010	386
(9) STOCKHOLDERS FOULTY	

#### (9) STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Stockholders equity consisted of the following:

	September	December
	30,	31,
(In thousands, except par value)	2006	2005

Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 70,000 shares authorized, 44,802 and			
44,500 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	\$	45	\$ 45
Additional paid-in capital		257,361	253,675
Retained earnings		47,937	22
Deferred compensation			(1,290)
Unrealized holding gains on available-for-sale securities, net of tax		344	1,328
Cumulative translation adjustments		7,709	3,650
Total stockholders equity	\$	313,396	\$ 257,430
19			

#### **Table of Contents**

In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), adopted on January 1, 2006, the balance of \$1.3 million in deferred compensation as of December 31, 2005 was written-off against additional paid-in capital.

#### (10) COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income for the Company consists of net income, foreign currency translation adjustments and net unrealized holding gains on available-for-sale marketable securities as presented below (In thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 2005		Nine months Ende September 30,	
			2006	2005
Net income, as reported Adjustment to arrive at comprehensive income, net of	\$ 16,992	\$ (3,891)	\$ 47,915	\$ 2,792
taxes:				
Unrealized holding (loss) gain on available-for-sale marketable securities	(34)	226	(20)	185
Reclassification adjustment for amounts included in net income related to sales of securities			(964)	(490)
Cumulative translation adjustments	(357)	(1,162)	4,059	(6,732)
Comprehensive income	\$ 16,601	\$ (4,827)	\$ 50,990	\$ (4,245)

#### (11) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

From time to time, the Company is involved in disputes and legal actions arising in the normal course of its business. Historically, the Company s most significant legal actions have involved the application of patent law to complex technologies and intellectual property. The determination of whether such technologies infringe upon the Company s or others patents is highly subjective. This high level of subjectivity introduces substantial additional risk with regard to the outcome of the Company s disputes and legal actions related to intellectual property. An unfavorable decision, particularly in patent litigation, could require material changes in production processes and products or result in the Company s inability to ship products or components found to have violated third-party patent rights. The Company accrues loss contingencies in connection with its litigation when it is probable that a loss will occur and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated.

On June 8, 2005, the Korean Customs Service ( KCS ) issued a Pre-Taxation Notification concerning back duties and value added taxes allegedly owed on goods imported by the Company s Korean subsidiary, Advanced Energy Industries Korea, Inc., during the five year period ended June 8, 2005. On June 27, 2005, the Company protested the notifications on the grounds that the assessment was unwarranted and based on a misapplication of international tariff rules. On September 9, 2005, the KCS rejected the protest brought by the Company. Beginning on September 19, 2005, the KCS issued a series of taxation notices for duties and penalties owed of approximately \$2.2 million of which \$1.1 million is value added tax, or VAT, which the Company expects to recover in the normal course of business. In order to appeal the assessment to the Korean National Tax Tribunal, an independent review board of the Korean Ministry of Finance and Economy, the Company paid the taxation notices. The Company filed its appeals of the assessments in December 2005, and the KCS filed a response in opposition to its appeal. An initial hearing for adjudication of the matter before the Tax Tribunal has been scheduled for November 8, 2006. Although the Company has paid the taxation notices in order to appeal the assessment, the

20

#### **Table of Contents**

Company believes that the majority of the assessment will be recovered. As a result, the amount paid has been recorded within other current assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

The Company is in the process of vacating a leased commercial property it has occupied in Voorhees, New Jersey since its acquisition of RF Power Products, Inc. in 1998. The Company is awaiting the results of an environmental remediation study with respect to a portion of the property leased to a subtenant, Interfab Machining, LLC, which has not yet vacated its portion of the building. At this time, the Company has no reason to believe there will be any material expense associated with restoration or remediation of the property prior to expiration of the Company s lease term on December 16, 2006.

#### (12) EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share ( EPS ) is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted EPS is similar to the computation of basic EPS except that the numerator is increased to exclude certain charges which would not have been incurred, and the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding (using the if-converted and treasury stock methods), if securities containing potentially dilutive common shares (convertible notes payable, stock options and restricted stock units) had been converted to such common shares, and if such assumed conversion is dilutive. As of September 30, 2006, stock options and restricted stock units totaling approximately 3.6 million were outstanding, and as of September 30, 2005, 4.0 million were outstanding. Not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share are 2.2 million and 3.0 million stock options and restricted stock units for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and 2.1 million and 3.0 million for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, due to the anti-dilutive effect of these shares.

The following is a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators used in the calculation of basic and diluted EPS for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005:

(In thousands, except per share data)		nths Ended aber 30,	Nine months Ended September 30,		
	2006	2005	2006	2005	
Earnings per common share					
Net income	\$ 16,992	\$ (3,891)	\$ 47,915	\$ 2,792	
Weighted average common shares outstanding	44,762	38,366	44,679	34,639	
Earnings per common share	\$ 0.38	\$ (0.10)	\$ 1.07	\$ 0.08	
Earnings per common share assuming dilution					
Net income	\$ 16,992	\$ (3,891)	\$47,915	\$ 2,792	
Weighted average common shares outstanding Effect of dilutive securities:	44,762	38,366	44,679	34,639	
Stock options and restricted stock units	404		489	293	
Adjusted weighted average common shares outstanding	45,166	38,366	45,168	34,932	
Earnings per common share assuming dilution	\$ 0.38	\$ (0.10)	\$ 1.06	\$ 0.08	
21	[				

#### (13) FOREIGN OPERATIONS AND MAJOR CUSTOMERS

The Company has operations in the United States, Asia Pacific and Europe. The following is a summary of the Company s operations by region:

(In thousands)	Three Mon Septem		Nine months Ended September 30,		
	2006	2005	2006	2005	
Sales (1):					
Originated and sold in the United States	\$ 17,085	\$ 38,509	\$ 133,550	\$ 125,058	
Originated in United States and sold outside the United					
States	4,747	4,961	14,956	14,059	
Originated in Asia Pacific and sold outside the United					
States	36,802	28,824	95,683	85,923	
Originated in Asia Pacific and sold in the United					
States	41,944		41,971		
Originated in Europe and sold outside the United					
States	5,886	6,463	18,825	20,055	
Originated in Europe and sold in Europe	1,224		1,224		
	\$ 107,688	\$ 78,757	\$ 306,209	\$ 245,095	
Income (loss) from operations:					
United States	\$ 7,110	\$ (1,808)	\$ 33,320	\$ (4,780)	
Asia Pacific	9,329	3,402	13,898	13,783	
Europe	1,890	583	3,522	733	
	\$ 18,329	\$ 2,177	\$ 50,740	\$ 9,736	

(1) These sales amounts do not contemplate where our customers may subsequently transfer our products.

	September 30, 2006			December 31, 2005		
Identifiable assets:						
United States	\$	15,068	\$	19,813		
Asia Pacific		19,030		21,144		
Europe		1,648		1,667		
	\$	35,746	\$	42,624		

Intercompany sales among the Company s geographic areas are recorded on the basis of intercompany prices established by the Company.

Applied Materials, Inc. is the Company s largest customer and accounted for 30% of the Company s sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006. No other customer accounted for 10% or more of the Company s sales during these periods.

22

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### **Special Note on Forward-Looking Statements**

The following discussion contains, in addition to historical information, forward-looking statements, within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Statements that are other than historical information are forward-looking statements. For example, statements relating to our beliefs, expectations and plans are forward-looking statements, as are statements that certain actions, conditions or circumstances will continue. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control. These risks and uncertainties are described below and in other filings we make with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, filed on March 28, 2006. As a result, our actual results may differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. We assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements or the reasons why our actual results might differ.

#### **OVERVIEW**

We design, manufacture and support complex power conversion and control systems and gas flow control devices used in plasma-based thin-film processing equipment which is essential to the manufacture of products, including the following:

Semiconductor devices for electronics applications;

Flat panel displays for hand-held devices and computer and television screens;

Compact discs, DVDs, magnetic hard drives and other digital storage media;

Photovoltaics or solar panels;

Optical coatings for architectural glass and eyeglasses;

Industrial laser and medical applications; and

Other markets where thin film deposition is a critical part of the manufacturing process.

We also sell spare parts, repair services and field upgrades worldwide through our customer service and technical support organization.

We provide solutions to a diverse range of markets and geographic regions. However, we are focused on the semiconductor capital equipment industry, which accounted for 69% of our sales in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and 61% and 64% in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively. We expect future sales to the semiconductor capital equipment industry to continue to represent a significant portion of our total sales, depending upon the strength or weakness of industry cycles.

Our results of operations for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 show marked improvement over the comparable periods in 2005. We attribute our improved results to the increased demand in the semiconductor industry as well as the completed transition of our high-volume manufacturing to our Shenzhen, China facility and the continued transition to lower-cost Asian suppliers.

#### **Table of Contents**

Sales for the third quarter of 2006 were \$107.7 million, a 36.7% increase over third quarter 2005 sales of \$78.8 million. In the third quarter of 2006, we generated net income from continuing operations of \$17.0 million, or 15.8% of sales, compared to the third quarter of 2005, when we generated net loss from continuing operations of \$4.2 million. Gross margin increased to 43.7% in the third quarter of 2006 from 36.7% in the third quarter of 2005. In the third quarter of 2006, we generated \$0.38 per diluted share compared to a loss of \$0.10 in the third quarter of 2005.

For the nine month period ended September 30, 2006 sales were \$306.2 million, 24.9% higher than sales of \$245.1 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005. In the nine month period ended September 30, 2006, we generated net income from continuing operations of \$47.8 million, or 15.6% of sales; compared to net loss from continuing operations of \$1.4 million. Gross margin increased to 42.6% in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from 35.5% in the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Net income from discontinued operations was \$138,000 in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and \$4.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2005. We generated \$1.06 per diluted share in the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to \$0.08 in the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

#### **Results of Operations**

**SALES** 

The following tables summarize our unaudited net sales and percentages of net sales by customer type for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine months Ended September 30,		
	2006	2005	2006	2005	
	(In thou	ısands)	(In tho	usands)	
Semiconductor capital equipment	\$ 73,947	\$48,403	\$ 212,854	\$ 156,015	
Flat panel display	8,771	10,832	29,197	30,888	
Data storage	5,709	4,748	15,344	13,900	
Advanced product applications	19,261	14,774	48,814	44,292	
	\$ 107,688	\$ 78,757	\$ 306,209	\$ 245,095	

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine months Ended September 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Semiconductor capital equipment	69%	61%	69%	64%
Flat panel display	8	14	10	12
Data storage	5	6	5	6
Advanced product applications	18	19	16	18
	100%	100%	100%	100%

The following tables summarize our unaudited net sales and percentages of net sales by geographic region for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005:

Three Mon	ths Ended	Nine months Ended			
Septem	ber 30,	September 30,			
2006	2005	2006	2005		
(In thousands)		(In thousands)			

Edgar Filing: ADVANCED ENERGY INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Sales (1):					
United States	\$ 60,253	\$ 38,509	\$ 176,745	\$ 125,058	
Asia Pacific	34,767	31,155	100,096	92,667	
Europe	12,584	8,808	29,101	26,424	
Rest of world	84	285	267	946	
	\$ 107,688	\$ 78,757	\$ 306,209	\$ 245,095	

(1) These sales amounts do not contemplate where our customers may subsequently transfer our products.

24

#### **Table of Contents**

		Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine months Ended September 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005	
United States	56%	49%	58%	51%	
Asia Pacific	32	40	33	38	
Europe Rest of world	12	11	9	11	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	

Sales were \$107.7 million in the third quarter of 2006, an increase of 37% over sales of \$78.8 million in the third quarter of 2005, due primarily to increased demand in the semiconductor capital equipment market. Sales were \$306.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006, an increase of 25% over sales of \$245.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2005, due primarily to the increased demand in the semiconductor capital equipment market.

The semiconductor capital equipment market is highly cyclical and is impacted by changes in the macroeconomic environment, changes in semiconductor supply and demand and rapid technological advances in both semiconductor devices and wafer fabrication processes. Our sales to the semiconductor capital equipment industry increased approximately 53% compared to the third quarter of 2005 and by 36% compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005, primarily driven by the increased demand that our semiconductor and semiconductor capital equipment customers have experienced since the third half of the fourth quarter of 2005. Sales to our largest semiconductor capital equipment customers represented the majority of the increased sales volume achieved during the 2006 periods compared to the 2005 periods. Applied Materials, Inc., our largest customer accounted for 30% of our sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively.

Our sales in the data storage and advanced product application markets remained constant for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

#### **GROSS PROFIT**

Our gross profit was \$47.0 million, or 43.7% of sales, in the third quarter of 2006 compared to \$28.9 million, or 36.7% of sales, in the third quarter of 2005. Our gross profit was \$130.3 million, or 42.6% of sales, in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$86.9 million, or 35.5% of sales, in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2005. The improvement in our gross margin is primarily attributed to increased volume of production and advanced manufacturing processes in our China manufacturing facility, as well as lower worldwide logistics costs, lower freight costs, increased utilization of local Asian suppliers, design-led cost reductions and the increase in sales.

Our gross margin in the 2005 periods was adversely affected principally by lower sales which resulted in lower absorption of our fixed costs, and our duplication of costs related to management, procurement and engineering teams, as well as facilities costs while our manufacturing was transitioned to China.

#### RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT EXPENSES

The market for our products is characterized by ongoing technological changes. We believe that continued and timely development of new highly differentiated products and enhancements

25

# **Table of Contents**

to existing products to support OEM requirements is necessary for us to maintain a competitive position in the markets we serve. Accordingly, we devote a significant portion of our personnel and financial resources to research and development projects and seek to maintain close relationships with our customers and other industry leaders in order to remain responsive to their product requirements. We believe that the continued investment in research and development and ongoing development of new products are essential to the expansion of our markets, and expect to continue to make significant investments in research and development activities. Since our inception, all of our research and development costs have been expensed as incurred.

Our research and development expenses were \$11.3 million, or 10.5% of sales, in the third quarter of 2006 and \$9.6 million, or 12.2% of sales, in the third quarter of 2005. Our research and development expenses were \$32.6 million, or 10.6% of sales, in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006 and \$30.1 million, or 12.3% of sales, in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2005. The increase in absolute dollars from 2005 to 2006 was primarily due to increased variable compensation expense and non-cash stock-based compensation expenses as a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R).

#### SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Our selling expenses support domestic and international sales and marketing activities that include personnel, trade shows, advertising, third-party sales representative commissions and other selling and marketing activities. Our general and administrative expenses support our worldwide corporate, legal, patent, tax, financial, corporate governance, administrative, information systems and human resource functions in addition to our general management.

Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses were \$17.3 million, or 16.1% of sales, for the third quarter of 2006 and \$13.9 million, or 17.7% of sales, for the third quarter of 2005. Our SG&A expenses were \$46.9 million, 15.3% of sales, in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006 and \$41.5 million, or 16.9% of sales, in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2005. The increase from 2005 to 2006 was primarily due to increased variable compensation expense, increased commissions and non-cash stock-based compensation expense as a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) and severance and related expenses related to the reorganization of our operations in Germany.

## RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

Our restructuring charges throughout 2005 were incurred primarily in conjunction with the transition of our high-volume manufacturing to Shenzhen, China, which was substantially complete as of September 30, 2005. We originally estimated that upon completion of the transfer of high-volume manufacturing to China, we would save approximately \$10.0 million to \$12.0 million annually in labor and related costs, compared to what the costs would have been prior to the transition at similar production volumes. The expected savings were anticipated to be approximately \$7.0 million in cost of sales, approximately \$2.0 million in SG&A and approximately \$2.0 million in research and development. Actual savings realized in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 are in line with our original estimates.

Related to this manufacturing transition, we recorded restructuring charges in the first quarter of 2005 of \$1.3 million, consisting primarily of employee severance and termination costs associated with the reduction of 212 employees at our Fort Collins facility and seven employees in our European operations. In the second quarter of 2005, we recorded \$1.1 million of restructuring charges, of which \$475,000 related to the reduction of three employees in our

26

#### **Table of Contents**

Japanese and German operations, and \$589,000 related to the impairment of facilities-related assets in the United States. In the third quarter of 2005, we recorded \$202,000 of restructuring charges related to the reduction of three employees at our Fort Collins facility and four employees in our Japanese and German operations and \$157,000 related to the impairment of facilities-related assets in the Japan. Also, in the third quarter of 2005, the Company recognized the need to retain 11 employees considered in the original reserve and reversed \$180,000 of the reserve. No employee-related restructuring charges were recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

#### LITIGATION SETTLEMENT

On October 3, 2005, we executed a settlement agreement with MKS Instruments, Inc., resolving all pending claims worldwide relating to our Xstream With Active Matching Network reactive gas generator products. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, we paid MKS \$3.0 million in cash. We also stipulated to a final judgment of infringement and an injunction prohibiting us from making, using, selling, offering to sell, or importing into the United States, or any country in which a counterpart patent exists, our Xstream products and all other toroidal plasma generator products, except as permitted under the settlement agreement. Sales of these products have accounted for less than 5% of our total sales each year since introduction of the products.

#### OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)

Other income (expense) consists primarily of interest income and expense, foreign exchange gains and losses and other miscellaneous gains, losses, income and expense items.

Interest income was approximately \$1.2 million in the third quarter of 2006, \$1.1 million in the third quarter of 2005, \$2.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006, and \$2.5 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The decrease in interest income from the nine months ended September 30, 2005 to the same period in 2006 primarily reflects the decrease in the average invested funds due to the use of cash to repay of our convertible subordinated notes in the third quarter of 2005, partially offset by higher interest rates.

Interest expense consisted principally of interest on borrowings under capital lease facilities and senior debt in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006, and interest on our convertible subordinated notes and amortization of our deferred debt issuance costs in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2005. Interest expense was \$117,000 in the third quarter of 2006, \$2.6 million in the third quarter of 2005, \$316,000 in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006, and \$8.1 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2005. The significant decreases from the 2005 periods to the 2006 periods are primarily due to the repayment of our convertible subordinated notes in the third quarter of 2005.

Debt extinguishment expense in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 of \$3.2 million resulted from the redemption of our convertible subordinated notes with a total principal balance of \$187.7 million. The expense of \$3.2 million is comprised of \$2.1 million for redemption premium and \$1.1 million for the write-off of deferred debt issuance costs.

Net other income (expense) consists principally of miscellaneous gains and losses, including gains and losses on the sale of investments and impairments of investments.

27

#### **Table of Contents**

#### PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES

The income tax provision was positively impacted by the change in mix of taxable income earned by jurisdiction from 2005 to 2006, which lowered our effective tax rate. The income tax provision for the third quarter of 2006 was \$2.4 million which represented an effective tax rate of 12%, compared to the income tax provision for the third quarter of 2005 of \$1.6 million which represented an effective tax rate of 60%. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 the income tax provision was \$6.6 million which represented an effective tax rate of 12%, compared to the income tax provision for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 of \$3.5 million which represented an effective tax rate of 166%. The tax rate increased primarily due to income in foreign jurisdictions and losses in the United States.

In the 2005 periods, losses were realized in the United States, which receive no corresponding tax benefit due to valuation allowances, compared to income being generated in the United States in the 2006 periods, which receive no corresponding tax provision due to the valued net operating loss carryforwards that are being utilized. All of the income from discontinued operations was earned in the United States and no provision for income taxes is attributed to these operations.

#### DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Income from discontinued operations in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006 was \$138,000, which is related to the release of escrow funds associated with our sale of the EMCO product line in the second quarter of 2005. Income from discontinued operations in the nine months ended September 30, 2005 was \$4.2 million, consisting of the gain on the sale of the EMCO product line of \$2.6 million and \$1.6 million attributed the results of discontinued operations. No provision for income taxes is attributed to these operations due to the valuation allowances against certain deferred tax assets in the United States, as the income from our discontinued operations was earned in the United States.

# **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

At September 30, 2006, our principal sources of liquidity consisted of cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities of \$116.7 million. Effective July 6, 2006, we amended our \$40.0 million secured revolving line of credit to a credit facility consisting of a \$25 million unsecured revolving line of credit with a maturity date of July 5, 2007. Any advances under our amended credit facility will bear interest at the prime rate (8.25% at October 25, 2006) minus 1%. No amounts are currently outstanding under this credit facility.

We have historically financed our operations and capital requirements through a combination of cash provided by operations, long-term debt, common stock, bank loans, and capital and operating lease obligations. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities increased \$57.0 million, or 95%, to \$116.7 million from \$59.7 million at December 31, 2005, primarily due to cash generated from our operations. Our working capital increased \$60.0 million, or 42%, to \$203.6 million at September 30, 2006 from \$143.6 million at December 31, 2005.

Operating activities provided cash of \$57.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and \$38.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in cash generated from operations is primarily attributed to our increased profitability in the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

28

#### **Table of Contents**

Investing activities used cash of \$57.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and provided cash of \$63.6 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Due to the significant cash generated from operations, in the first nine months of 2006, we purchased \$57.3 million of marketable securities, compared to the sale of \$67.9 million of marketable securities in the first nine months of 2005. Capital expenditures in the first nine months of 2006 were \$3.0 million, compared to capital expenditures of \$8.0 million in the first nine months of 2005. We expect our capital expenditures in 2006 to be approximately \$6.0 million to \$7.0 million.

Financing activities used cash of \$750,000 in the first nine months of 2006, due primarily to \$3.5 million in payments on our senior borrowings and capital lease obligations offset by the proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$2.8 million. Financing activities used cash of \$86.2 million in the first nine months of 2005, due to the repayment of convertible subordinated notes of \$189.8 million offset by the net proceeds from our public offering of 11.5 million shares of common stock of \$106.4 million.

We expect our cash flows from financing activities to continue to fluctuate in the future. Our payments under capital lease obligations may also increase in the future if we enter into additional capital lease obligations or change the level of our bank financing. A significant portion of these obligations are held in countries other than the United States; therefore, future foreign currency fluctuations, especially between the United States dollar and the Japanese yen, could cause significant fluctuations in our estimated payment obligations.

We believe that our working capital, together with cash anticipated to be generated by operations will be sufficient to satisfy our anticipated liquidity requirements for the next twelve months.

# **Critical Accounting Policies**

The above discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In preparing our financial statements, we must make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe that the following critical accounting policies, as discussed in this Form 10-Q and/or our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 28, 2006, affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements:

Revenue recognition

Reserve for warranty

Reserve for excess and obsolete inventory

Stock-based compensation

Commitments and contingencies

Income taxes

Valuation of intangible assets

Long-lived assets including intangible assets subject to amortization

29

#### **Table of Contents**

# ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There were no material changes in the Company s exposure to market risk from December 31, 2005.

# ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to the Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b). Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2006.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our most recent quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the internal control over financial reporting.

# PART II OTHER INFORMATION

# ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

As disclosed in greater detail in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, on June 8, 2005, the Korean Customs Service issued a Pre-Taxation Notification concerning back duties and value added taxes allegedly owed on goods imported by our Korean subsidiary, Advanced Energy Industries Korea, Inc., during the five year period ended June 8, 2005. An initial hearing for adjudication of the matter before the Korean National Tax Tribunal has been scheduled for November 8, 2006.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Item 1A, Risk Factors, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 describes some of the risks and uncertainties associated with our business. Other factors may also exist that we cannot anticipate or that we currently do not consider to be significant based on information that is currently available. These risks and uncertainties have the potential to materially affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and future results.

30

#### **Table of Contents**

We do not believe that there have been any material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in the Risk Factors section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, however, the risk factors set forth below have been updated to provide you with more current information in order to evaluate the risk and the potential impact of such factors.

## A significant portion of our sales is concentrated among a few customers.

Our ten largest customers accounted for 57% of our total sales in the third quarter 2006 and 61% in the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Applied Materials, Inc., our largest customer, accounted for 30% of our sales in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of our sales during this period. The loss of any of our significant customers or a material reduction in any of their purchase orders could significantly harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our customers continuously exert pressure on us to reduce our prices and extend payment terms. Given the nature of our customer base and the highly competitive markets in which we compete, we may be required to reduce our prices or extend payment terms to remain competitive. We may not be able to reduce our expenses in an amount sufficient to offset potential margin declines.

We might not be able to compete successfully in international markets or meet the service and support needs of our international customers.

Our sales to customers outside the United States were approximately 45% in the third quarter of 2006 and 43% in the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Our success in competing in international markets is subject to our ability to manage various risks and difficulties, including, but not limited to:

Our ability to effectively manage our employees at remote locations who are operating in different business environments from the United States;

Our ability to develop relationships with suppliers and other local businesses;

Compliance with product safety requirements and standards that are different from those of the United States;

Variations in enforcement of intellectual property and contract rights in different jurisdictions;

Trade restrictions, political instability, disruptions in financial markets and deterioration of economic conditions;

The ability to provide sufficient levels of technical support in different locations;

Collecting past due accounts receivable from foreign customers;

Changes in tariffs, taxes and foreign currency exchange rates; and

Our ability to obtain business licenses as may be needed in international locations to support expanded operations.

Our ability to implement our business strategies, maintain market share and compete successfully in international markets will be compromised if we are unable to manage these and other international risks successfully.

31

# **Table of Contents**

# ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS Not applicable.

# ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

32

# **Table of Contents**

# ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION** 

None.

33

#### **Table of Contents**

## **ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

#### **Exhibits:**

- Loan Modification Agreement dated July 25, 2006, by and between Silicon Valley Bank and Advanced Energy Industries, Inc. (1)
  Non-Employee Director Compensation Structure (2)
  Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
  Certification of the Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- Certification of the Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-26966), filed July 25, 2006.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-26966), filed July 28, 2006.

34

## **Table of Contents**

# **SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ADVANCED ENERGY INDUSTRIES, INC.

Dated: November 3, 2006

/s/ Lawrence D. Firestone Lawrence D. Firestone Executive VP & Chief Financial Officer 35

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

- 10.1 Loan Modification Agreement dated July 25, 2006, by and between Silicon Valley Bank and Advanced Energy Industries, Inc. (1) 10.2 Non-Employee Director Compensation Structure (2) 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Certification of the Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities 31.2 Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. 32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. 32.2 Certification of the Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-26966), filed July 25, 2006.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-26966), filed July 28, 2006.

36