

Services Acquisition Corp. International
Form DEFM14A
November 08, 2006

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12

SERVICES ACQUISITION CORP. INTERNATIONAL

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

Common Stock and Preferred Stock of Jamba Juice Company

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

Acquisition of all of the outstanding securities of Jamba Juice Company

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

\$265,000,000 cash is being paid for outstanding capital stock of Jamba Juice Company

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

\$265,000,000

(5) Total fee paid:
\$53,000

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SERVICES ACQUISITION CORP. INTERNATIONAL
401 East Olas Boulevard, Suite 1140
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301

PROXY STATEMENT FOR SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF
SERVICES ACQUISITION CORP. INTERNATIONAL

To the Stockholders of Services Acquisition Corp. International (“SACI”):

You are cordially invited to attend a special meeting of the stockholders of Services Acquisition Corp. International, or SACI, relating to the proposed merger between Jamba Juice Company and SACI, which will be held at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Time, on November 28, 2006, at the offices of Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C., 666 Third Avenue, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10017 (the “Special Meeting”).

At this important meeting, you will be asked to consider and vote upon the following proposals:

- the merger proposal — to approve the merger with Jamba Juice Company, a California corporation, pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of March 10, 2006 (as amended), by and among SACI, JJC Acquisition Company, SACI’s wholly-owned subsidiary, and Jamba Juice Company, and the transactions contemplated thereby, whereby SACI will acquire all of the outstanding securities of Jamba Juice Company and Jamba Juice Company will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of SACI (“Proposal 1”);
- the financing proposal — to approve the issuance of 30,879,999 shares of common stock at \$7.50 per share in a private placement financing for the purpose of raising gross proceeds of approximately \$231,600,000, and net proceeds of approximately \$224,850,000 after the payment of financing fees, substantially all of which will be used as a portion of the consideration required to merge with Jamba Juice Company, as well as for working capital and expansion capital (“Proposal 2”);

- the stock option plan proposal — to approve the adoption of the SACI 2006 Employee, Director and Consultant Stock Plan (the “Plan”) pursuant to which SACI will reserve up to 5,000,000 shares of common stock for issuance pursuant to the Plan (“Proposal 3”);
- the amendment to the certificate of incorporation proposal — to approve an amendment to SACI’s amended and restated certificate of incorporation, or certificate of incorporation, to (i) increase the number of authorized shares of common stock from 70,000,000 shares to 150,000,000 shares, which, when taking into account the number of preferred shares currently authorized, will result in an increase of the total number of authorized shares of capital stock from 71,000,000 to 151,000,000 and (ii) change SACI’s name from “Services Acquisition Corp. International” to “Jamba, Inc.” (“Proposal 4”); and
- to transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

The board of directors of SACI has fixed the close of business on October 24, 2006, as the record date (the “Record Date”) for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Special Meeting and at any adjournment thereof. A list of stockholders entitled to vote as of the Record Date at the Special Meeting will be open to the examination of any stockholder, for any purpose germane to the meeting, during ordinary business hours for a period of ten calendar days before the Special Meeting at SACI’s offices at 401 East Olas Boulevard, Suite 1140, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301, and at the time and place of the meeting during the duration of the meeting.

For purposes of Proposal 1, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares outstanding as of the Record Date of SACI’s common stock that were issued in SACI’s initial public offering that are present in person or by proxy at the meeting is required to approve the merger proposal, and, less than 20% of the shares of SACI’s common stock issued in SACI’s initial public offering vote against the merger proposal and elect a cash conversion of their shares. For purposes of Proposal 2, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of SACI’s common stock issued and outstanding as of the

Record Date that are present in person or by proxy at the meeting is required to approve the financing proposal. For purposes of Proposal 3, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of SACI’s common stock issued and outstanding as of the Record Date that are present in person or by proxy at the meeting is required to approve the stock option proposal. For purposes of Proposal 4, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of SACI’s common stock issued and outstanding as of the Record Date is required to approve the amendment to SACI’s amended and restated certificate of incorporation. Each of Proposals 1, 2 and 4 are conditioned upon the approval of the other and, in the event one of those proposals does not receive the necessary vote to approve that proposal, then SACI will not complete any of the transactions identified in any of the proposals. If Proposal 3 is not approved but Proposals 1, 2 and 4 are approved, we will still consummate the merger. In the event that none of the transactions are undertaken, it is likely that SACI will have insufficient time and resources to look for another suitable acquisition target and will most likely have to liquidate the trust.

In addition, each SACI stockholder who holds shares of common stock issued in SACI’s initial public offering or purchased following such offering in the open market has the right to vote against the merger proposal and, at the same time, demand that SACI convert such stockholder’s shares into cash equal to a pro rata portion of the proceeds in the trust account, including interest, in which a substantial portion of the net proceeds of SACI’s initial public offering is deposited, which as of September 30, 2006 is equal to \$7.61 per share. If the merger is not completed, then your shares will not be converted to cash at this time, even if you so elected. However, if the holders of 3,450,000 or more shares of common stock issued in SACI’s initial public offering, an amount equal to 20% or more of the total number

of shares issued in the initial public offering, vote against the merger and demand conversion of their shares into a pro rata portion of the trust account, then SACI will not be able to consummate the merger. SACI's initial stockholders, including all of its directors and officers and their affiliates, who purchased or received shares of common stock prior to SACI's initial public offering, presently own an aggregate of approximately 17.8% of the outstanding shares of SACI common stock, and all of these stockholders have agreed to vote the shares acquired prior to the public offering in accordance with the vote of the majority in interest of all other SACI stockholders on the merger proposal.

SACI's shares of common stock, warrants and units are listed on the American Stock Exchange under the symbols SVI, SVI.WS and SVI.U, respectively. If the merger, the financing and the amendment to the certificate of incorporation proposals are approved, the operations and assets of Jamba Juice Company will become those of SACI, and SACI's name will be changed to "Jamba, Inc." upon consummation of the merger.

After careful consideration of the terms and conditions of the proposed merger with Jamba Juice Company, the financing, the adoption of a stock option plan, and the amendment to the certificate of incorporation, the board of directors of SACI has determined that such proposals and the transactions contemplated thereby are fair to and in the best interests of SACI and its stockholders. In connection with the merger proposal, the board of directors of SACI has received an opinion from North Point Advisors, or North Point, dated after the date that the merger agreement was signed, to the effect that as of the date of its opinion, and based on conditions that existed as of that date, upon and subject to the considerations described in its opinion and based upon such other matters as North Point considered relevant, the per share merger consideration to be paid by SACI in the merger pursuant to the merger agreement is fair to SACI from a financial point of view. The board of directors of SACI unanimously recommends that you vote or give instruction to vote (i) "FOR" the proposal to acquire Jamba Juice Company pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among SACI, Merger Sub and Jamba Juice Company; (ii) "FOR" the proposal to approve the private placement financing which will result in the issuance of 30,879,999 shares of common stock at \$7.50 per share to raise gross proceeds of approximately \$231,600,000, and net proceeds of approximately \$224,850,000 after the payment of financing fees, substantially all of which will be used for the payment of the merger consideration of Jamba Juice Company, as well as for working capital and expansion capital; (iii) "FOR" the proposal to adopt the Plan; and (iv) "FOR" the proposal to

approve an amendment to the certificate of incorporation to increase the authorized shares of common stock and to change SACI's corporate name, all as described in Proposals 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively.

Enclosed is a notice of special meeting and proxy statement containing detailed information concerning the merger, financing, adoption of the Plan and amendment to the certificate of incorporation. Whether or not you plan to attend the special meeting, we urge you to read this material carefully. I look forward to seeing you at the meeting.

Sincerely,

Steven R. Berrard
Chairman of the Board,
President and Chief Executive Officer

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT. WHETHER YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE SPECIAL MEETING OR NOT, PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD AS SOON AS POSSIBLE IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED. IF YOU RETURN YOUR PROXY CARD WITHOUT AN INDICATION OF HOW YOU WISH TO VOTE, SINCE IT IS NOT AN AFFIRMATIVE VOTE IN FAVOR OF A RESPECTIVE

PROPOSAL, IT (I) WILL HAVE THE SAME EFFECT AS A VOTE AGAINST THE MERGER PROPOSAL BUT WILL NOT HAVE THE EFFECT OF CONVERTING YOUR SHARES INTO A PRO RATA PORTION OF THE TRUST ACCOUNT IN WHICH A SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF THE NET PROCEEDS OF SACI'S INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERING ARE HELD, UNLESS AN AFFIRMATIVE ELECTION VOTING AGAINST THE MERGER PROPOSAL IS MADE AND AN AFFIRMATIVE ELECTION TO CONVERT SUCH SHARES OF COMMON STOCK IS MADE ON THE PROXY CARD, (II) WILL HAVE THE SAME EFFECT AS A VOTE AGAINST THE FINANCING PROPOSAL, (III) WILL HAVE THE SAME EFFECT AS A VOTE AGAINST THE STOCK OPTION PLAN PROPOSAL, AND (IV) WILL BE TREATED AS A VOTE AGAINST THE AMENDMENT TO THE CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION PROPOSAL.

SEE THE SECTION TITLED "RISK FACTORS" BEGINNING ON PAGE 20 FOR A DISCUSSION OF VARIOUS FACTORS THAT YOU SHOULD CONSIDER IN CONNECTION WITH THE MERGER WITH JAMBA JUICE COMPANY AND THE PROPOSED FINANCING SINCE, UPON THE MERGER WITH JAMBA JUICE COMPANY, THE OPERATIONS AND ASSETS OF SACI WILL LARGELY BE THOSE OF JAMBA JUICE COMPANY.

This proxy statement incorporates important business and financial information about Services Acquisition Corp. International and Jamba Juice Company that is not included in or delivered with this document. This information is available without charge to security holders upon written or oral request. The request should be sent to: Thomas Aucamp, 401 East Olas Boulevard, Suite 1140, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301, (954) 713-1190.

To obtain timely delivery of requested materials, security holders must request the information no later than five days before the date they submit their proxies or attend the special meeting. The latest date to request the information to be received timely is November 20, 2006.

We are soliciting the proxy represented by the enclosed proxy on behalf of the board of directors, and we will pay all costs of preparing, assembling and mailing the proxy materials. In addition to mailing out proxy materials, our officers may solicit proxies by telephone or fax, without receiving any additional compensation for their services. We have requested brokers, banks and other fiduciaries to forward proxy materials to the beneficial owners of our stock. We have engaged Morrow & Co., or Morrow, to solicit proxies for this special meeting. We are paying Morrow approximately \$7,000 for solicitation services, which amount includes a \$5,000 fixed solicitation fee and a per call fee estimated in the aggregate to be equal to \$2,000.

This proxy statement is dated November 8, 2006 and is first being mailed to SACI stockholders on or about November 8, 2006.

SERVICES ACQUISITION CORP. INTERNATIONAL
401 East Olas Boulevard, Suite 1140
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON November 28, 2006

TO THE STOCKHOLDERS OF SERVICES ACQUISITION CORP. INTERNATIONAL:

Edgar Filing: Services Acquisition Corp. International - Form DEFM14A

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special meeting of stockholders, including any adjournments or postponements thereof, of Services Acquisition Corp. International, a Delaware corporation, will be held at 10:00 a.m. Eastern Time, on November 28, 2006, at the offices of Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C., 666 Third Avenue, 25th floor, New York, New York 10017, for the following purposes:

- the merger proposal — to approve the merger with Jamba Juice Company, a California corporation, pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of March 10, 2006 (as amended), by and among SACI, JJC Acquisition Company, SACI's wholly-owned subsidiary, and Jamba Juice Company, and the transactions contemplated thereby, whereby SACI will acquire all of the outstanding securities of Jamba Juice Company and Jamba Juice Company will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of SACI ("Proposal 1");
- the financing proposal — to approve the issuance of 30,879,999 shares of common stock at \$7.50 per share in a private placement financing for the purpose of raising gross proceeds of approximately \$231,600,000, and net proceeds of approximately \$224,850,000 after the payment of financing fees, substantially all of which will be used as a portion of the consideration required to acquire Jamba Juice Company, as well as for working capital and expansion capital ("Proposal 2");
- the stock option plan proposal — to approve the adoption of the 2006 Employee, Director and Consultant Stock Plan (the "Plan") pursuant to which SACI will reserve up to 5,000,000 shares of common stock for issuance pursuant to the Plan ("Proposal 3");
- the amendment to the certificate of incorporation proposal — to approve an amendment to SACI's amended and restated certificate of incorporation, or certificate of incorporation, to (i) increase the number of authorized shares of common stock from 70,000,000 shares to 150,000,000 shares, which, when taking into account the number of preferred shares currently authorized, will result in an increase of the total number of authorized shares of capital stock from 71,000,000 to 151,000,000 and (ii) change SACI's name from "Services Acquisition Corp. International" to "Jamba, Inc." ("Proposal 4"); and
- to consider and vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

The board of directors of SACI has fixed the close of business on October 24, 2006 as the date for which SACI stockholders are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the SACI special meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof. Only the holders of record of SACI common stock on that date are entitled to have their votes counted at the SACI special meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof.

SACI will not transact any other business at the special meeting, except for business properly brought before the special meeting, or any adjournment or postponement thereof, by SACI's board of directors.

Your vote is important. Please sign, date and return your proxy card as soon as possible to make sure that your shares are represented at the special meeting. If you are a stockholder of record of SACI common stock, you may also cast your vote in person at the special meeting. If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm or bank, you must instruct your broker or bank on how to vote your shares.

The board of directors of SACI unanimously recommends that you vote "FOR" Proposal 1, the merger proposal, "FOR" Proposal 2, the financing proposal, "FOR" Proposal 3, the stock option plan proposal and "FOR" Proposal 4, the amendment to the certificate of incorporation proposal.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Steven R. Berrard
Chairman of the Board,
President and Chief Executive Officer
November 8, 2006

PROXY STATEMENT FOR SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF
SERVICES ACQUISITION CORP. INTERNATIONAL

The board of directors of Services Acquisition Corp. International (“SACI”) has unanimously approved the merger with Jamba Juice Company pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger whereby SACI will acquire all of the outstanding securities held by the shareholders of Jamba Juice Company. In connection with the merger, the board of directors also approved the issuance of up to 30,879,999 shares of SACI common stock at \$7.50 per share for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$231,600,000, and net proceeds of approximately \$224,850,000 after the payment of financing fees, substantially all of which will be used to partially finance the merger with Jamba Juice Company. Since the funds from the anticipated private placement financing are necessary to merge with Jamba Juice Company, and the amendment to increase the authorized shares of common stock is necessary to provide for a sufficient number of shares in order to complete the financing and properly reserve shares underlying any options and warrants assumed in connection with the merger. If any of the merger proposal, the financing proposal or the amendment to the certificate of incorporation proposal is not approved, then none of the acquisition, the financing, or the amendment to the certificate of incorporation will be consummated. In such an event, it is likely that SACI will have insufficient time and resources to pursue an alternative acquisition target and in such an event SACI will most likely be forced to liquidate the trust.

If the merger is completed and you vote your shares for the merger proposal, you will continue to hold the SACI securities that you currently own. If the merger is completed but you have voted your shares against the merger proposal and have elected a cash conversion instead, your SACI shares will be cancelled and you will receive cash equal to a pro rata portion of the trust account, which, as of September 30, 2006, was equal to approximately \$7.61 per share. Because SACI is acquiring all of the outstanding securities of Jamba Juice Company, the shareholders (and certain optionholders and warrant holders) of Jamba Juice Company will receive cash in exchange for their shares (or applicable options or warrants) of capital stock of Jamba Juice Company.

SACI’s common stock, warrants and units are currently listed on the American Stock Exchange under the symbols SVI, SVI.WS and SVI.U, respectively. Upon consummation of the merger, the operations and assets of Jamba Juice Company will become SACI’s wholly-owned subsidiary, and SACI’s name will be changed to “Jamba, Inc.” SACI’s common stock, warrants and units will continue to be traded on the American Stock Exchange although we anticipate seeking to change our trading symbols.

We believe that, generally, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, the merger with Jamba Juice Company and the related financing will have no direct tax effect on stockholders of SACI. However, if you vote against the merger proposal and elect a cash conversion of your shares of SACI common stock into your pro-rata portion of the trust account and as a result receive cash in exchange for your SACI shares, there may be certain tax consequences, such as realizing a loss on your investment in SACI’s shares. **WE URGE YOU TO CONSULT YOUR OWN TAX ADVISORS REGARDING YOUR PARTICULAR TAX CONSEQUENCES.**

This proxy statement provides you with detailed information about the merger, related financing, the proposed stock option plan, the amendment to the certificate of incorporation, and the special meeting of stockholders. We encourage you to carefully read this entire document and the documents incorporated by reference, including the Agreement and Plan of Merger (as amended), the form of Securities Purchase Agreement (as amended), the form of Registration Rights Agreement, the Plan and the fairness opinion of North Point attached hereto as Annexes A, B, C, D and E, respectively. **YOU SHOULD ALSO CAREFULLY CONSIDER THE RISK FACTORS BEGINNING ON PAGE 20.**

The merger with Jamba Juice Company cannot be completed unless at least a majority of the shares of SACI's common stock issued in SACI's initial public offering, present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting as of October 24, 2006, approve the merger, and, less than 20% of the shares of SACI's common stock issued in SACI's initial public offering vote against the

merger proposal and elect a cash conversion of their shares. In addition the merger with Jamba Juice Company will not be completed unless the financing and the amendment to the certificate of incorporation proposals are also approved.

Your board of directors unanimously approved and declared advisable the merger, financing, adoption of the stock option plan and amendment to the certificate of incorporation and unanimously recommends that you vote or instruct your vote to be cast "FOR" Proposal 1, the merger proposal, "FOR" Proposal 2, the financing proposal, "FOR" Proposal 3, the stock option plan proposal and "FOR" Proposal 4, the amendment to the certificate of incorporation proposal.

This proxy statement may incorporate important business and financial information about Services Acquisition Corp. International and Jamba Juice Company that is not included in or delivered with this document. This information is available without charge to security holders upon written or oral request. The request should be sent to:

Thomas Aucamp
Services Acquisition Corp. International
401 East Olas Boulevard, Suite 1140
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301
(954) 713-1190

To obtain timely delivery of requested materials, security holders must request the information no later than five days before the date they submit their proxies or attend the special meeting. The latest date to request the information to be received timely is November 20, 2006.

We are soliciting the enclosed proxy card on behalf of the board of directors, and we will pay all costs of preparing, assembling and mailing the proxy materials. In addition to mailing out proxy materials, our officers may solicit proxies by telephone or fax, without receiving any additional compensation for their services. We have requested brokers, banks and other fiduciaries to forward proxy materials to the beneficial owners of our stock. We are paying Morrow approximately \$7,000 for solicitation services which amount includes a \$5,000 fixed solicitation fee and a per call fee estimated in the aggregate to be equal to \$2,000.

THIS PROXY STATEMENT IS DATED NOVEMBER 8, 2006, AND IS FIRST BEING MAILED TO SACI STOCKHOLDERS ON OR ABOUT NOVEMBER 8, 2006.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROPOSALS	1
SUMMARY	6
SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION	16
SUMMARY UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION	18
PER SHARE MARKET PRICE INFORMATION	19
RISK FACTORS	20
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	36
THE SACI SPECIAL MEETING	37
PROPOSAL 1 — THE MERGER PROPOSAL	42
THE AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER	56
MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OF JAMBA JUICE COMPANY	64
PROPOSAL 2 — THE FINANCING PROPOSAL	80
PROPOSAL 3 — THE STOCK OPTION PLAN PROPOSAL	87
PROPOSAL 4 — AMENDMENT TO CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION PROPOSAL	92
INFORMATION ABOUT JAMBA JUICE COMPANY	94
INFORMATION ABOUT SACI	110
NORTH POINT FAIRNESS OPINION	118
UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	125
DIRECTORS AND MANAGEMENT OF SACI FOLLOWING THE MERGER WITH JAMBA JUICE COMPANY	144
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	152
BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES	153
PRICE RANGE OF SECURITIES AND DIVIDENDS	155
DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES	156
STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS	159
WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION	159
INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	F-1
ANNEXES	
Annex A — Agreement and Plan of Merger	A-1
Annex A-1 — Amendment, dated August 29, 2006, among Jamba Juice Company, JJC Acquisition Company and SACI	A-1-1
Annex A-2 — Amendment, dated November 6, 2006, among Jamba Juice Company, JJC Acquisition Company and SACI	A-2-1
Annex B — Form of Securities Purchase Agreement	B-1
Annex B-1 — Form of amendment, dated November 6, 2006 to the Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of March 10, 2006	B-1-1
Annex B-2 — Form of amendment, dated November 6, 2006 to the Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of March 15, 2006	B-2-1

Annex C — Form of Registration Rights Agreement	C-1
Annex D — 2006 Employee, Director and Consultant Stock Plan	D-1
Annex E — Fairness Opinion of North Point Advisors LLC	E-1

iii

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROPOSALS

What is being voted on?

There are four proposals on which you are being asked to vote. The first proposal is to approve the merger with Jamba Juice Company pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger whereby JJC Acquisition Company, a newly-formed subsidiary of SACI, will be merged with and into Jamba Juice Company. As consideration for such merger and as further described herein, the shareholders of Jamba Juice Company will receive an aggregate of \$265,000,000 (less \$16,000,000 for certain existing indebtedness and \$3,425,000 for a portion of Jamba Juice Company's transaction related expenses) in exchange for all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Jamba Juice Company and the value of all shares of Jamba Juice Company capital stock issuable upon exercise of all "in-the-money" vested and unvested options and warrants of Jamba Juice Company which is estimated to be between \$6.00 and \$6.04 per share. We may also assume certain outstanding options and warrants. Following the merger, Jamba Juice Company will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of SACI. We refer to this proposal as the merger proposal. The second proposal is to approve, as required by the shareholder approval rules of the American Stock Exchange (AMEX) Company Guide, the issuance of 30,879,999 shares of common stock at \$7.50 per share in a private placement financing for the purposes of raising gross proceeds of approximately \$231,600,000, and net proceeds of approximately \$224,850,000 after the payment of financing fees, substantially all of which will be used as a portion of the consideration required to acquire Jamba Juice Company and to provide working capital and expansion capital for Jamba Juice Company. The third proposal is to approve the adoption of the 2006 Employee, Director and Consultant Stock Option Plan, or the Plan, pursuant to which 5,000,000 of shares of SACI common stock will be reserved for issuance in accordance with the terms of the Plan. The fourth proposal is to approve an amendment to SACI's certificate of incorporation increasing the authorized shares of SACI common stock from 70,000,000 to 150,000,000 and to change SACI's name to "Jamba, Inc." after the merger. It is important for you to note that, except for the stock option plan proposal, each of the proposals is conditioned upon the approval of the others and, in the event the merger proposal, the financing proposal or the amendment to certificate of incorporation proposal does not receive the necessary vote to approve such proposal, then SACI will not consummate any of those proposals. If the stock option plan proposal is not approved, SACI will still consummate the merger if the other three proposals are approved.

Why is SACI proposing the merger, the financing, the adoption of a stock option plan and amendment to SACI's certificate of incorporation?

SACI is a blank-check company formed specifically as a vehicle for the acquisition of or merger with a business whose net assets are at least 80% of the net assets of SACI. In the course of SACI's search for a business combination partner, SACI was introduced to Jamba Juice Company, a company the board of directors of SACI believes has growth potential. The board of directors of SACI is attracted to Jamba Juice Company because of its store economics, brand recognition, growth prospects and management team, among other factors. As a result, SACI believes that the merger with Jamba Juice Company will provide SACI stockholders with an opportunity to merge with, and participate in, a company with significant growth potential. The financing is being undertaken in order to raise a portion of the funds necessary to finance the merger with Jamba Juice Company and, since our shares of common stock are listed on

the American Stock Exchange, the rules of such exchange require shareholder approval for such issuance. The adoption of the stock option plan is being undertaken because the board of directors of SACI deems it beneficial for the combined company going forward following the merger. The amendment to the certificate of incorporation is being undertaken since as a result of the proposed financing and adoption of the stock option plan, a greater number of shares of common stock may be required to be issued than is currently authorized and upon completion of the merger, management desires the name of the business to reflect its operations.

What vote is required in order to approve the merger proposal?

The approval of the merger with Jamba Juice Company will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of SACI's common stock outstanding as of the Record Date that are present in

1

person or by proxy at the special meeting. In addition, each SACI stockholder who holds shares of common stock issued in SACI's initial public offering or purchased following such offering in the open market has the right to vote against the merger proposal and, at the same time, demand that SACI convert such stockholder's shares into cash equal to a pro rata portion of the trust account in which a substantial portion of the net proceeds of SACI's initial public offering is deposited. These shares will be converted into cash only if the merger is completed. Based on the amount of cash held in the trust account as of September 30, 2006, without taking into account any interest accrued after such date, stockholders who vote against the merger proposal and elect to convert such stockholder's shares as described above will be entitled to convert each share of common stock that it holds into approximately \$7.61 per share. However, if the holders of 3,450,000 or more shares of common stock issued in SACI's initial public offering (an amount equal to 20% or more of the total number of shares issued in the initial public offering), vote against the merger and demand conversion of their shares into a pro rata portion of the trust account, then SACI will not be able to consummate the merger. SACI's initial stockholders, including all of its directors and officers, who purchased or received shares of common stock prior to SACI's initial public offering, presently, together with their affiliates, own an aggregate of approximately 17.8% of the outstanding shares of SACI common stock. All of these persons have agreed to vote all of these shares which were acquired prior to the public offering in accordance with the vote of the majority in interest of all other SACI stockholders on the merger proposal.

What vote is required in order to approve the financing proposal?

The approval of the issuance of the shares of common stock in the private placement financing, which is required by the AMEX Company Guide, will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of SACI's common stock issued and outstanding as of the Record Date that are present in person or by proxy at the special meeting. The officers and directors at SACI intend to vote all of their shares of common stock in favor of this proposal.

What vote is required in order to approve the stock option plan proposal?

The approval of the adoption of the stock option plan will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of SACI's common stock issued and outstanding as of the Record Date that are present in person or by proxy at the special meeting. The officers and directors at SACI intend to vote all of their shares of common stock in favor of this proposal.

What vote is required in order to approve the amendment to the certificate of incorporation?

Edgar Filing: Services Acquisition Corp. International - Form DEFM14A

The approval of the amendment to the certificate of incorporation will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of SACI's common stock issued and outstanding as of the Record Date. The officers and directors at SACI intend to vote all of their shares of common stock in favor of this proposal.

If I am not going to attend the SACI special meeting of stockholders in person, should I return my proxy card instead?

Yes. After carefully reading and considering the information contained in this proxy statement, please complete and sign your proxy card. Then return the enclosed proxy card in the return envelope provided herewith as soon as possible, so that your shares may be represented at the SACI special meeting.

What will happen if I abstain from voting or fail to vote?

An abstention, since it is not an affirmative vote in favor of a respective proposal but adds to the number of shares present in person or by proxy, (i) will have the same effect as a vote against the merger proposal but will not have the effect of converting your shares into a pro rata portion of the trust account in which a substantial portion of the net proceeds of SACI's initial public offering are

2

held, unless an affirmative election voting against the merger proposal is made and an affirmative election to convert such shares of common stock is made on the proxy card, (ii) will have the same effect as a vote against the financing proposal, (iii) will have the same effect as a vote against the stock option plan proposal, and (iv) will be treated as a vote against the amendment to the certificate of incorporation proposal.

A failure to vote will have no impact upon the approval of the matters referred to in (i), (ii) and (iii) above, but, as the amendment to the certificate of incorporation requires a majority of all outstanding shares of common stock, will have the effect of a vote against such amendment. Failure to vote will not have the effect of converting your shares into a pro rata portion of the trust account.

What do I do if I want to change my vote?

If you wish to change your vote, please send a later-dated, signed proxy card to Thomas Aucamp at SACI prior to the date of the special meeting or attend the special meeting and vote in person. You also may revoke your proxy by sending a notice of revocation to Thomas Aucamp at the address of SACI's corporate headquarters, provided such revocation is received prior to the special meeting.

If my shares are held in "street name" by my broker, will my broker vote my shares for me?

No. Your broker can vote your shares only if you provide instructions on how to vote. You should instruct your broker to vote your shares in accordance with directions you provided to your broker.

Will I receive anything in the merger?

If the merger is completed and you vote your shares for the merger proposal, you will continue to hold the SACI securities that you currently own. If the merger is completed but you have voted your shares against the merger proposal and have elected a cash conversion instead, your SACI shares will be cancelled and you will receive cash

equal to a pro rata portion of the trust account, which, as of September 30, 2006, was equal to approximately \$7.61 per share. Because SACI is acquiring all of the outstanding securities of Jamba Juice Company, the shareholders (and certain optionholders and warrant holders) of Jamba Juice Company will receive cash in exchange for their shares (or applicable options or warrants) of capital stock of Jamba Juice Company.

How is SACI paying for the merger?

SACI will use the proceeds from its recently completed initial public offering, as well as the proceeds from the contemplated private placement financing that is being voted on as Proposal 2, the financing proposal, in order to finance the merger with Jamba Juice Company. As the funds from the financing proposal are necessary to complete the merger with Jamba Juice Company, in the event the merger proposal is not approved, the financing will not be completed, and those funds will not be available to us to finance any future acquisition that may be contemplated. If the merger is approved, the balance of the net proceeds from the private placement will be used for working capital and expansion capital.

Do I have conversion rights in connection with the merger?

If you hold shares of common stock issued in SACI's initial public offering, then you have the right to vote against the merger proposal and demand that SACI convert your shares of common stock into a pro rata portion of the trust account in which a substantial portion of the net proceeds of SACI's initial public offering are held. These rights to vote against the merger and demand conversion of the shares into a pro rata portion of the trust account are sometimes referred to herein as conversion rights.

If I have conversion rights, how do I exercise them?

If you wish to exercise your conversion rights, you must vote against the merger and, at the same time, demand that SACI convert your shares into cash. If, notwithstanding your vote, the merger is

3

completed, then you will be entitled to receive a pro rata share of the trust account in which a substantial portion of the net proceeds of SACI's initial public offering are held, including any interest earned thereon through the date of the special meeting. Based on the amount of cash held in the trust account as of September 30, 2006, without taking into account any interest accrued after such date, you will be entitled to convert each share of common stock that you hold into approximately \$7.61 per share. If you exercise your conversion rights, then you will be exchanging your shares of SACI common stock for cash and will no longer own these shares of common stock. You will only be entitled to receive cash for these shares if you continue to hold these shares through the closing date of the merger and then tender your stock certificate to SACI. If you convert your shares of common stock, you will still have the right to exercise the warrants received as part of the units in accordance with the terms thereof. If the merger is not completed, then your shares will not be converted to cash at this time, even if you so elected. See page 39.

What happens to the funds deposited in the trust account after completion of the merger?

Upon completion of the merger, any funds remaining in the trust account after payment of amounts, if any, to stockholders requesting and exercising their conversion rights, will be used to fund the merger.

Who will manage SACI upon completion of the merger with Jamba Juice Company?

Upon completion of the merger, SACI will be managed by the following persons: Paul E. Clayton, Donald D. Breen and Karen Kelley, who are currently and will remain Chief Executive Officer/President, Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Operations respectively of Jamba Juice Company. It is anticipated that the board of directors of the combined company will consist of up to ten board members. The board of directors will initially consist of Steven R. Berrard (Chairman), Paul E. Clayton, Thomas C. Byrne, Richard L. Federico, Craig J. Foley, Robert C. Kagle, Brian Swette and Raml\$\$\Aaon Martin-Busutil, as well as additional members that will be appointed immediately prior to or upon consummation of the merger.

What happens if the merger is not consummated?

If the merger is not consummated, the contemplated financing will not be completed, the stock option plan will not be adopted, SACI's certificate of incorporation will not be amended and SACI will continue to search for a service business to acquire. However, SACI will be liquidated if (i) it does not consummate a business combination by January 6, 2007 or, (ii) if a letter of intent, agreement in principle or definitive agreement is executed, but not consummated, by January 6, 2007, then by July 6, 2007. In the event the merger is not consummated, it is more likely than not that SACI will be forced to dissolve and liquidate. If a liquidation were to occur by approximately June 30, 2007, SACI estimates that approximately \$3.4 million in interest would accrue on the amounts that are held in trust through such date, which would yield a trust balance of approximately \$134.6 million or \$7.80 per share. This amount, less any liabilities not indemnified by certain members of SACI's board and not waived by the creditors, would be distributed to the holders of the 17,250,000 shares of common stock purchased in SACI's initial public offering. SACI currently estimates that at the end of June 2007 there would be approximately \$400,000 of Delaware franchise tax and state income tax claims which are not indemnified and not waived by the creditors. Thus, SACI estimates that the total amount available for distribution would be approximately \$134.2 million or \$7.78 per share.

Separately, SACI estimates that the dissolution process would cost approximately \$100,000 and that SACI would be indemnified for such costs by the members of the SACI board of directors other than Messrs. Handley and Branden. Such directors have acknowledged and agreed that such costs are covered by their existing indemnification agreement. This amount, coupled with the approximately \$250,000 of liabilities currently indemnified by certain officers and directors, would result in an aggregate of approximately \$350,000 for claims or liabilities against which SACI's initial officers and directors have agreed to indemnify the trust account in the event of such dissolution. In the event that the board members indemnifying SACI are unable to satisfy their indemnification obligation or in the

4

event that there are subsequent claims such as subsequent non-vendor claims for which such board members have no indemnification obligation, the amount ultimately distributed to shareholders may be reduced even further. However, SACI currently has no basis to believe there will be any such liabilities or to provide an estimate of any such liabilities. The only cost of dissolution that SACI is aware of that would not be indemnified against by the members of SACI's board of directors, other than Messrs. Handley and Branden, is the cost of any associated litigation. See page 32 (risk factors) for a further discussion with respect to amounts payable from the trust account.

When do you expect the proposals to be completed?

It is currently anticipated that the transactions and actions contemplated discussed in the proposals will be completed simultaneously as promptly as practicable following the SACI special meeting of stockholders to be held on November 28, 2006.

Who can help answer my questions?

If you have questions about any of the proposals, you may write or call Services Acquisition Corp. International at 401 East Olas Blvd., Suite 1140, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301, (954) 713-1190, Attention: Thomas Aucamp.

5

SUMMARY

This summary is being provided with respect to each of the proposals, although the merger is the primary reason for the calling of the special stockholders meeting and (other than the stock option plan proposal) the other proposals are dependent upon approval of the merger proposal. All of the proposals are described in detail elsewhere in this proxy statement and this summary discusses the material items of each of the proposals. You should carefully read this entire proxy statement and the other documents to which this proxy statement refers you. See, “Where You Can Find More Information.”

Merger Proposal — Merger with Jamba Juice Company

Jamba Juice Company

Jamba Juice Company is a retailer of premium quality blended-to-order fruit smoothies, squeezed-to-order juices, blended beverages, and healthy snacks. Founded in 1990, Jamba Juice Company has become a growing “next generation” lifestyle brand, positioned at the convergence of consumer demands for healthy living, convenience, and high-quality products. Jamba Juice Company’s commitment to selling functional, yet great tasting, products has created a loyal and growing customer base for the brand. Furthermore, Jamba Juice Company has a veteran management team focused on creating opportunities for continued growth.

The principal executive office of Services Acquisition Corp. International is located at 401 East Olas Blvd., Suite 1140, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301, (954) 713-1190. The principal executive office of Jamba Juice Company is located at 1700 17th Street, San Francisco, CA 94103, (415) 865-1100, which will be the combined company’s headquarters after the merger.

The Merger

The Agreement and Plan of Merger provides for the acquisition by SACI of all of the outstanding securities of Jamba Juice Company through the merger of JJC Acquisition Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of SACI, or Merger Sub, with and into Jamba Juice Company and the assumption of certain options and warrants. The Agreement and Plan of Merger was executed on March 10, 2006 and it was subsequently amended on each of August 2, 2006, August 29, 2006 and November 6, 2006, to, among other things, extend the termination date thereunder to December 8, 2006. Following completion of the merger, Jamba Juice Company will be our wholly-owned subsidiary, and the business and assets of Jamba Juice Company will be our only operations. At the closing, and subject to certain reductions as hereafter described, the Jamba Juice Company shareholders will be paid an aggregate of \$265,000,000 in cash for all

of the outstanding securities of Jamba Juice Company, including the value of all shares of Jamba Juice Company capital stock issuable upon exercise of all “in-the-money” vested and unvested options and warrants of Jamba Juice Company, subject to the optionholders and warrant holders, in certain instances, having the right to exchange their respective options and warrants into options and warrants of SACI, as further described herein. Assuming the merger closed on August 1, 2006 and a sale price of \$6.00 – \$6.04 per share, if option holders exchanged 20% of their vested options for SACI options and warrant holders exchange all of their warrants for SACI warrants, the value of the “in-the-money” options and warrants as of March 9, 2006 is approximately \$10,400,000 (\$5.9 million for options and \$4.5 million for warrants). The amount to be paid to the Jamba Juice Company shareholders will be reduced by (i) \$16,000,000 for Jamba Juice Company’s indebtedness, including its line of credit and (ii) \$3,425,000 for a portion of third-party fees and expenses incurred by Jamba Juice Company in connection with the merger, including, without limitation, legal, accounting, financial advisory, consulting and other fees and expenses of third parties incurred by Jamba Juice Company in connection with the negotiation and completion of the Agreement and Plan of Merger and the transactions contemplated thereby. The per share amount to be paid to the Jamba Juice Company shareholders is estimated to be between \$6.00

6

and \$6.04 per share. In addition, of the amount paid to the Jamba Juice Company shareholders, \$19,875,000 will be placed in an escrow account until July 31, 2007 as the sole and exclusive remedy to satisfy potential indemnification claims against Jamba Juice Company under the Agreement and Plan of Merger.

The merger will be financed through a combination of the approximately \$131,238,861 raised in SACI’s initial public offering and currently held in the trust fund, with the balance to be funded by the issuance in a private placement financing of 30,879,999 shares of common stock at a price per share of \$7.50, resulting in gross proceeds of approximately \$231,600,000, and net proceeds of approximately \$224,850,000 after the payment of certain fees. The balance of the net proceeds will be used for working capital and expansion capital.

At the effective time of the merger, Jamba Juice Company’s obligations with respect to each outstanding unvested option (and unexercised vested option) and unexercised warrant (if amended in a manner reasonably acceptable to SACI) will be assumed by SACI, and SACI shall thereafter be obligated to issue SACI common stock upon exercise thereof. Each such Jamba Juice Company warrant shall be exercisable on the terms, and into the number of shares of SACI common stock, as set forth in the warrant, as so amended. Each such Jamba Juice Company option so assumed by SACI shall be subject to the same terms and conditions set forth in the respective Jamba Juice Company stock option plan, pursuant to which such option was issued, as in effect immediately prior to the merger, and (i) such Jamba Juice Company option will be exercisable for that number of shares of SACI common stock equal to the product of the number of shares of Jamba Juice Company common stock that were issued pursuant to the exercise of such Jamba Juice Company option immediately prior to the merger multiplied by the Exchange Ratio (as defined below) rounded down to the nearest whole number of shares of SACI common stock, and (ii) the per share exercise price for the shares of SACI common stock issuable upon exercise of such assumed Jamba Juice Company option will be equal to the quotient determined by dividing the exercise price per share of Jamba Juice Company common stock at which such Jamba Juice Company option was exercisable immediately prior to the merger by the Exchange Ratio, and rounding the resulting exercise price up to the nearest whole cent. For purposes of the merger agreement, the “Exchange Ratio” shall be determined such that (a) the aggregate intrinsic value of the new SACI options is not greater than the aggregate intrinsic value of the Jamba Juice Company options immediately prior to the assumption and (b) the ratio of the exercise price per option to market value per share at the time of the exchange is unchanged. The parties have agreed that SACI will permit holders of vested Jamba Juice Company options to elect, on an individual

basis, to either exercise such Jamba Juice Company options and participate in the merger or have those Jamba Juice Company options (up to one million options) assumed, on the same basis as the unvested Jamba Juice Company options, by SACI, unless there are, in the sole judgment and discretion of SACI, significant tax, accounting or securities laws issues (including any requirement of registering such securities on a form other than Form S-8) with treating vested options identically to unvested options. The parties have determined that all unvested Jamba Juice Company options will become options to acquire shares of SACI common stock on economically equivalent terms. Jamba Juice Company warrant holders will be given the option to exchange their warrants in Jamba Juice Company for economically equivalent warrants in SACI. The value of all “in-the-money” options and warrants assumed by SACI will reduce the \$265,000,000 cash payment to the Jamba Juice Company shareholders. Assuming the merger closed on December 8, 2006, and a sale price of \$6.00-\$6.04 per share, if option holders exchanged 20% of their vested options for SACI options and warrant holders exchanged all of their warrants for SACI warrants, the value of the “in-the-money” options and warrants as of March 9, 2006 is \$10,000,000 (\$5.5 million for options and \$4.5 million for warrants).

SACI, Merger Sub and Jamba Juice Company plan to complete the merger as promptly as practicable after the SACI special meeting, provided that:

- SACI’s stockholders have approved the Agreement and Plan of Merger, the related private placement financing, and the amendment to SACI’s certificate of incorporation;

7

-
- holders of less than 20% of the shares of common stock issued in SACI’s initial public offering vote against the merger proposal and demand conversion of their shares into cash; and
 - the other conditions specified in the Agreement and Plan of Merger have been satisfied or waived.

If SACI stockholder approval has not been obtained at that time, or any other conditions have not been satisfied or waived, the merger will be completed promptly after the stockholder approval is obtained or the remaining conditions are satisfied or waived.

The Agreement and Plan of Merger (and the amendments dated August 29, 2006 and November 6, 2006) are included as “Annex A” to this proxy statement. We encourage you to read the Agreement and Plan if Merger in its entirety. See, “Agreement and Plan of Merger.”

Approval of Jamba Juice Company’s Shareholders

As indicated in the Agreement and Plan of Merger, the approval of the shareholders of Jamba Juice Company is required to consummate the merger. On March 14, 2006, Jamba Juice Company sent a letter to its shareholders discussing the merger and seeking the shareholders’ approval and consent for such merger. By March 20, 2006, Jamba Juice Company had received written consents approving the merger from a sufficient number of its shareholders, pursuant to its articles of incorporation and the corporate law of the State of California. On March 22, 2006, Jamba Juice Company sent a notice and information statement to all of its shareholders announcing the approval of the merger. No further approval of Jamba Juice Company’s shareholders is required.

Conversion Rights

Pursuant to SACI’s amended and restated certificate of incorporation, a holder of shares of SACI’s common stock

issued in the initial public offering may, if the stockholder votes against the merger, demand that SACI convert such shares into cash. This demand must be made on the proxy card at the same time that the stockholder votes against the merger proposal. If properly demanded, SACI will convert each share of common stock as to which such demand has been made into a pro rata portion of the trust account in which a substantial portion of the net proceeds of SACI's initial public offering are held, plus all interest earned thereon. If you exercise your conversion rights, then you will be exchanging your shares of SACI common stock for cash and will no longer own these shares. Based on the amount of cash held in the trust account as of September 30, 2006, without taking into account any interest accrued after such date, you will be entitled to convert each share of common stock that you hold into approximately \$7.61 per share. You will only be entitled to receive cash for these shares if you continue to hold these shares through the closing date of the merger and then tender your stock certificate to SACI. If the merger is not completed, then these shares will not be converted into cash. If you convert your shares of common stock, you will still have the right to exercise the warrants received as part of the units in accordance with the terms thereof. If the merger is not completed, then your shares will not be converted to cash at this time, even if you so elected

The merger will not be completed if the holders of 3,450,000 or more shares of common stock issued in SACI's initial public offering, an amount equal to 20% or more of such shares, vote against the acquisition proposal and exercise their conversion rights.

Appraisal or Dissenters Rights

No appraisal rights are available under the Delaware General Corporation Law for the stockholders of SACI in connection with the proposals.

Financing Proposal

The merger with Jamba Juice Company is being financed in part by the proceeds raised by SACI in connection with a private placement financing that is expected to result in gross proceeds to SACI

8

of approximately \$231,600,000, and net proceeds of \$224,850,000 after deducting fees of \$6,750,000 payable to Broadband Capital Management, LLC. SACI's legal fees and expenses for the private placement financing, as well as the costs and expenses for the filing of a resale registration statement are incorporated within the total fees and expenses that SACI will pay for the merger. See discussion on page 109 for detail on estimated transaction expenses. The private placement financing is being undertaken pursuant to identical Securities Purchase Agreements (as amended), dated March 10, 2006 and March 15, 2006, respectively between SACI and the investors identified therein, each of which is unaffiliated unless otherwise indicated, and such investors are receiving registration rights in connection with the shares of common stock they are receiving. Until such time as a resale registration statement is filed and declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission, the shares of common stock to be issued in the private placement financing will be restricted and not eligible for sale by the holders of such stock.

There were a total of 48 investors in the private placement. The following identifies such investors who have committed over \$5,000,000 to the private placement financing, as well as any investor in the private placement financing that is related to, affiliated with or has an ownership interest in either SACI or Jamba Juice Company:

- Tudor Investment Corporation(a)(j)

- Blue Ridge Capital(b)(j)
- Prentice Capital Management (PCM I, LLC)(c)
- Och Ziff Capital Management (d)
- Soros Fund Management (e)
- Benchmark Capital(f)
- Omega Advisors(g)
- Magnetar Capital(h)(j)
- Robert C. Kagle(f)
- Ronald Chez(k)
- Corsair(i)(j)
- Joseph Vergara(k)
- Jeffrey and Linda Olds(k)
- Craig J. Foley(k)(l)
- Amberbrook IV LLC(k)(m)
- Kevin Peters(k)
- Trevor H. Sanders(k)(n)
- Creative Juices, Inc.(k)(n)

One of the investors in the private placement financing, investing \$400,000, is Berrard Holding Limited Partnership, of which Steven R. Berrard, a current director and the Chief Executive Officer of SACI is the President. In addition, a family member of I. Steven Edelson, SACI's Vice Chairman and Vice President, has committed to investing \$50,000 in the private placement financing.

Robert C. Kagle, a director of Jamba Juice Company since 1994, is a founding general partner of Benchmark Capital, LLC. Benchmark Capital is an investor in Jamba Juice Company.

Jamba Juice Company shareholders participating in the private placement financing have committed to purchase 4,352,333 shares, which shares will represent approximately 8.4% of the issued and outstanding shares of SACI after completion of the merger. None of the Jamba Juice Company shareholders participating in the private placement financing was a stockholder of SACI prior to the signing of the Agreement and Plan of Merger.

9

Investors in the private placement financing who were stockholders of SACI prior to the signing of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, and to SACI's knowledge, without inquiry, continue to be, have committed to purchase 14,453,333 shares in the private placement financing. The 14,453,333 shares to be purchased in the private placement financing will represent approximately 27.8% of the issued and outstanding shares of SACI after completion of the merger, while the aggregate shares of SACI such stockholders will hold after the closing will represent approximately 32.8% of the issued and outstanding shares of SACI after completion of the merger.

(a) Includes the Tudor Related Entities identified in footnote 2 on page 85, which may be deemed to be controlled by Paul Tudor Jones.

(b) Includes the Blue Ridge entities identified in footnote 3 on page 85, which may be deemed to be controlled by John Griffin.

- (c) May be deemed to be controlled by Michael Zimmerman.
- (d) Includes the Och Ziff Related Entities identified in footnote 5 on page 85, which may be deemed to be controlled by Daniel Och.
- (e) Includes Soros Strategic Partners, L.P., which be may deemed to be controlled by George Soros.
- (f) Includes the Benchmark entities identified in footnote 6 on page 85, which may be deemed to be controlled by Robert Kagle.
- (g) May be deemed to be controlled by Leon G. Cooperman.
- (h) May be deemed to be controlled by Alec Litowitz.
- (i) May be deemed controlled by Jay Petschek and Steven Major.
- (j) Shareholder of SACI.
- (k) Shareholder of Jamba Juice Company, which may be deemed to be controlled by Mark E. Keenan and his sister Mary Schoch.
- (l) Board member of Jamba Juice Company
- (m) May be deemed to be controlled by Jerry Newman.
- (n) A franchisee of Jamba Juice Company.

As of November 7, 2006 there are 21,000,000 shares of SACI common stock issued and outstanding. As a result of the issuance of shares of SACI common stock in the private placement financing, there are expected to be 51,879,999 shares, of SACI common stock issued and outstanding. As a result of the dilutive effect of the issuance, for purposes of illustration, a stockholder who owned approximately 5.0% of SACI's outstanding shares of common stock on March 10, 2006, would own approximately 2.02% of the outstanding shares of SACI's common stock immediately following the closing of the private placement financing (excluding dilution which may occur as a result of the assumption of Jamba Juice Company options and warrants as described in this proxy statement).

The SACI board of directors has determined that the private placement financing is fair to the current SACI stockholders because at the time of the marketing of the private placement financing and the initial sale pursuant to the March 10, 2006 Securities Purchase Agreement, the price being paid by investors was above the then current market price (which was \$7.48 at March 10, 2006), investors are not receiving warrants (as is sometimes, typical in a private placement transaction) and the shares being acquired are not immediately liquid. In addition, without the private placement financing there would be no acquisition and at the time of the private placement financing the investors were paying a premium to market with no guarantee of market reaction. SACI's board of directors negotiated terms of the private placement financing to protect existing stockholders' investments by not having any type of condition that would allow the private placement investors to walk away from the private placement financing had the market reacted negatively. In determining the price, the board of directors of SACI also considered the fact that there would be no transaction unless the private placement financing was raised, the duration of the commitment to be made by the investors both in

10

terms of time until investment and time until registration, liquidity, the traditional discounts from current market price associated with private placements for public companies and that the private placement financing was based on arms-length negotiation with the prospective investors.

The terms and conditions of the private placement financing which, if approved along with the approval of the merger, will be completed pursuant to the provisions of Securities Purchase Agreements (as amended) and Registration Rights Agreements, a form of each of which is attached hereto as "Annex B" and "Annex C", respectively, is expected to be

completed as promptly as practicable following the special meeting. For more information, see Section ‘‘Proposal 2 — The Financing Proposal.’’

Stock Ownership

Of the 21,000,000 outstanding shares of SACI common stock, SACI’s initial stockholders, including all of its officers and directors and their affiliates, who purchased shares of common stock prior to SACI’s initial public offering and who own an aggregate of approximately 17.8% of the outstanding shares of SACI common stock, have agreed to vote such shares acquired prior to the public offering in accordance with the vote of the majority in interest of all other SACI stockholders on the acquisition proposal.

Based solely upon information contained in public filings, as of the Record Date, the following stockholders beneficially own greater than five percent of SACI’s issued and outstanding common stock, as such amounts and percentages are reflected in the public filing of such stockholder:

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner ⁽¹⁾	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percentage of Outstanding Common Stock
John A. Griffin ⁽²⁾	1,490,000	7.1%
Steven R. Berrard ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	937,535	4.5%
Thomas E. Aucamp ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	562,493	2.7%
Thomas C. Byrne ⁽⁴⁾	562,493	2.7%
I. Steven Edelson ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾	562,493	2.7%
Nathaniel Kramer ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁷⁾	562,493	2.7%
Cris V. Branden ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁸⁾	140,624	*
Richard L. Handley ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁸⁾	140,623	*
FMR Corp. ⁽⁹⁾	1,807,300	8.6%
All directors and executive officers as a group (6 individuals)	3,468,754	16.5%

*Less than 1%

⁽¹⁾Unless otherwise indicated, the business address of each of the individuals is 401 East Olas Blvd, Suite 1140, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301.

⁽²⁾Includes 923,800 shares owned by Blue Ridge Capital Holdings LLC and 566,200 shares owned by Blue Ridge Capital Offshore Holdings LLC. Mr. Griffin is the Managing Member of Blue Ridge Capital Holdings LLC and Blue Ridge Capital Offshore Holdings LLC, and in that capacity directs their operations. Blue Ridge Capital Holdings LLC is the general partner of Blue Ridge Limited Partnership and has the power to direct the affairs of Blue Ridge Limited Partnership, including decisions respecting the receipt of dividends from and the proceeds from the sale of common stock. Blue Ridge Capital Offshore Holdings LLC is the general partner of Blue Ridge Offshore Master Limited Partnership and has the power to direct the affairs of Blue Ridge Offshore Master Limited Partnership, including decisions respecting the receipt of dividends from and the proceeds from the sale of common stock. The business address for this individual is 660 Madison Avenue, 20th Floor, New York, New York 10021. The foregoing information was derived from a Schedule 13G, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 3, 2006.

⁽³⁾Mr. Berrard is our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer.

⁽⁴⁾Each of these individuals is a director and such amounts do not include warrants to purchase shares of common stock which are held by certain of the directors, as such warrants are not exercisable until the later of the completion of the merger or June 29, 2006.

⁽⁵⁾Mr. Aucamp is our Vice President and Secretary.

11

⁽⁶⁾Includes 562,493 shares owned by The Edelson Family Trust, which is a trust established by Mr. Edelson for the benefit of his spouse and descendants, of which Mr. Edelson is the trustee. Mr. Edelson is our Vice Chairman and Vice President.

⁽⁷⁾The business address for this individual is c/o Mercantile Capital Partners, 1372 Shermer Road, Northbrook, Illinois 60062.

⁽⁸⁾The business address for this individual is 450 East Olas Blvd, Suite 1500, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301.

⁽⁹⁾May be deemed to be controlled by Edward C. Johnson, III and members of his family. The business address of FMR Corp. is 82 Devonshire St., Boston, MA 02109.

SACI's Board of Directors' Recommendation

After careful consideration, SACI's board of directors has determined unanimously that the merger proposal is fair to, and in the best interests of, SACI and its stockholders. Accordingly, SACI's board has unanimously approved and declared advisable the acquisition and unanimously recommends that you vote or instruct your vote to be cast "FOR" the approval of the merger proposal.

The proposed private placement financing is required to consummate the merger with Jamba Juice Company. SACI's board of directors has determined unanimously that such financing is fair to, and in the best interests of, SACI and its stockholders. Accordingly, SACI's board of directors has unanimously approved and declared advisable the financing and unanimously recommends that you vote or instruct your vote to be cast "FOR" the approval of the financing proposal.

SACI's board of directors has determined unanimously that the adoption of a stock option plan is fair to, and in the best interests of, SACI and its stockholders. Accordingly, SACI's board of directors has unanimously approved and declared advisable the adoption of a stock option plan and unanimously recommends that you vote or instruct your vote to be cast "FOR" the approval of the stock option plan proposal.

Finally, the approval of the amendment to SACI's certificate of incorporation is a condition to the merger with Jamba Juice Company, the closing of the proposed financing and the adoption of the stock option plan. SACI's board of directors has determined unanimously that the amendment to the certificate of incorporation is fair to, and in the best interests of, SACI and its stockholders. Accordingly, SACI's board has unanimously approved and declared advisable the amendment to the certificate of incorporation and unanimously recommends that you vote or instruct your vote to be case "FOR" the approval of the amendment to the certificate of incorporation proposal.

Interests of SACI Directors and Officers in the Merger

When you consider the recommendation of SACI's board of directors that you vote in favor of the merger proposal, you should keep in mind that certain of SACI's directors and officers have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, your interests as a stockholder. It is anticipated that after the consummation of the merger, Steven R. Berrard will remain the Chairman of the Board and Thomas C. Byrne will remain a director. All other current SACI directors will resign. If the merger is not approved and SACI fails to consummate an alternative transaction within the time allotted pursuant to its certificate of incorporation, SACI is required to liquidate, and the warrants owned by SACI's executives and directors and the shares of common stock issued at a price per share of

\$0.0078 prior to SACI's initial public offering to and held by SACI's executives and directors will be worthless because SACI's executives and directors are not entitled to receive any of the net proceeds of SACI's initial public offering that may be distributed upon liquidation of SACI. Additionally, SACI's officers and directors who acquired shares of SACI common stock prior to SACI's initial public offering at a price per share of \$0.0078 will benefit if the merger is approved.

12

The table below shows the amount that the shares and warrants owned by the officers and directors of SACI would be worth upon consummation of the merger and the unrealized profit from such securities based on an assumed market price of the common stock and the warrants of SACI, of \$10.00 and \$4.00, respectively.

	Owned	Common Shares (a)			Warrants (b)			Unrealized Profit
		Amount Paid	Current Value	Unrealized Profit	Owned	Amount Paid	Current Value	
Steven R. Berrard	937,535	\$ 7,292	\$ 9,375,350	\$ 9,368,058	250,000	\$253,438	\$1,000,000	\$ 746,56
Thomas Aucamp	562,493	4,375	5,624,930	5,620,555	150,000	152,063	600,000	447,93
Thomas Byrne	562,493	4,375	5,624,930	5,620,555	150,000	152,063	600,000	447,93
I. Steven Edelson	562,493	4,375	5,624,930	5,620,555	150,000	152,063	600,000	447,93
Nathaniel Kramer	562,493	4,375	5,624,930	5,620,555	150,000	152,063	600,000	447,93
Cris V. Branden	140,624	1,094	1,406,240	1,405,146	37,500	38,016	150,000	111,98
Richard L. Handley	140,623	1,094	1,406,230	1,405,136	37,500	38,016	150,000	111,98
	3,468,754	\$26,980	\$34,687,540	\$34,660,560	925,000	\$937,722	\$3,700,000	\$2,762,28

(a)The purchase price per share for these common shares was \$0.0078 per share. Pursuant to escrow agreements signed by these shareholders, these shares may not be sold or pledged until June 29, 2008. Additionally, these shares are currently not registered, although after the release from escrow, these shareholders may demand that SACI use its best efforts to register the resale of such shares.

(b)These warrants were purchased pursuant to the terms of the Underwriting Agreement in SACI's initial public offering. The price per share paid for each warrant was \$1.01375 per warrant.

In addition, one of the investors in the currently contemplated private placement financing committing to invest \$400,000 is Berrard Holding Limited Partnership, of which Steven R. Berrard, a current director and the Chief Executive Officer of SACI, is the President. Lastly, a family member of I. Steven Edelson, SACI's Vice Chairman and Vice President, has committed to investing \$50,000 in the currently contemplated private placement financing.

Interests of Directors and Officers of Jamba Juice Company in the Merger

You should understand that some of the current directors and officers of Jamba Juice Company have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, your interests as a stockholder. In particular, Paul E. Clayton, Jamba

Juice Company's current Chief Executive Officer and President, is expected to become SACI's Chief Executive Officer and President, and Donald D. Breen, Jamba Juice Company's current Chief Financial Officer, is expected become SACI's Chief Financial Officer. Further, each of Paul E. Clayton, Donald D. Breen and Karen Kelley, who are referred to below as employees, have entered into employment agreements with SACI in connection with the merger. A summary of the employment agreements can be found under "Employment Agreements" on page 62. In addition, prior to closing of the merger, Jamba Juice Company will appoint a representative or representatives of the shareholders of Jamba Juice Company to make decisions on behalf of the Jamba Juice Company shareholders under the agreement and plan of merger and the escrow agreement. As such persons may also be shareholders of Jamba Juice Company, as well as the representatives of the shareholders of Jamba Juice Company, it is possible that potential conflicts of interest may arise with respect to their obligations as shareholders' representatives and their interests as shareholders of Jamba Juice Company.

Conditions to the Completion of the Merger

The obligations of SACI and the shareholders of Jamba Juice Company to complete the merger are subject to the satisfaction or waiver of specified conditions before completion of the merger, including the following:

13

Conditions to SACI's and Jamba Juice Company's obligations:

- receipt of stockholder approval from each of SACI and Jamba Juice Company;
- the absence of any order or injunction preventing consummation of the merger; and
- the absence of any suit or proceeding by any governmental entity or any other person challenging the merger.

Conditions to SACI's obligations:

The obligation of SACI to complete the merger is further subject to the following conditions:

- the representations and warranties made by Jamba Juice Company that are qualified as to materiality must be true and correct, and those not qualified as to materiality must be true and correct in all material respects, as of the closing date of the acquisition, except representations and warranties that address matters as of another date, which must be true and correct as of such other date, and SACI must have received a certificate from Jamba Juice Company to that effect;
- Jamba Juice Company must have performed in all material respects all obligations required to be performed by it under the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger; and
- there must not have occurred since the date of the Agreement and Plan of Merger any material adverse effect on Jamba Juice Company.

Termination, Amendment and Waiver

The Agreement and Plan of Merger may be terminated at any time prior to the completion of the merger, whether before or after receipt of stockholder approval, by mutual written consent of SACI and Jamba Juice Company.

In addition, either SACI or Jamba Juice Company may terminate the Agreement and Plan of Merger if:

- the merger is not consummated on or before August 15, 2006 (which date has been extended by the parties to December 8, 2006); or
- by either party, subject to a 30-day cure period, if the other party has breached any of its covenants or representations and warranties in any material respect.

If permitted under applicable law, either SACI or Jamba Juice Company may waive conditions for their own respective benefit and consummate the merger, even though one or more of these conditions have not been met. We cannot assure you that all of the conditions will be satisfied or waived or that the merger will occur.

Regulatory Matters

The merger and the transactions contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger are not subject to any federal or state regulatory requirement or approval, except the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 (which approval has already been obtained), and except for filings necessary to effectuate the transactions contemplated by the acquisition proposal and the amendment to the certificate of incorporation proposal with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware and the Secretary of State of the State of California, as applicable, and filings for the financing proposal with the American Stock Exchange.

Financing Proposal

In accordance with the rules of the American Stock Exchange, SACI is seeking stockholder approval in connection with the issuance of common stock in the contemplated private placement

14

financing. The private placement financing is necessary in order to raise the funds to complete the merger with Jamba Juice Company. The material terms of the private placement financing are:

- The private placement financing will raise gross proceeds of approximately \$231,600,000, and net proceeds of approximately \$224,850,000 after the payment of financing fees, to be used to partially fund the merger, as well as working capital and expansion capital;
- The private placement financing will result in the issuance of up to 30,879,999 shares of SACI common stock at \$7.50 per share;
- The investors in the private placement financing will receive registration rights which obligate SACI to register for resale the shares of SACI common stock issued to the investors;
- The investors in the private placement financing will own approximately 59.5% of SACI and the current shareholders of SACI will retain approximately 40.5% of the company ownership.

The Stock Option Plan Proposal

SACI is seeking stockholder approval for the adoption of the SACI 2006 Employee, Director and Consultant Stock Plan which will provide for the granting of options and/or other stock-based or stock-denominated awards. The material terms of such plan are:

- 5,000,000 shares of common stock reserved for issuance;
- the plan will be administered by the SACI board of directors, or a committee thereof, and any particular term of a grant or award shall be at the board's discretion; and

• the plan will become effective upon the closing of the merger with Jamba Juice Company.
The Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation Proposal

SACI is seeking stockholder approval to amend SACI's certificate of incorporation. Any amendment will not become effective unless and until the merger with Jamba Juice Company is completed. The material terms of such amendment are:

- To change the corporate name to "Jamba, Inc."
- To increase the authorized shares of common stock that are reserved for issuance from 70,000,000 to 150,000,000.

15

SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

(in thousands)

SACI is providing the following financial information to assist you in the analysis of the financial aspects of the merger. We derived the Jamba Juice Company's historical information from the audited consolidated financial statements of Jamba Juice Company as of and for each of the years ended June 27, 2006, June 28, 2005, June 29, 2004 (53 weeks), June 24, 2003, and June 25, 2002. Jamba Juice Company has four quarterly reporting periods which are 16 weeks (1st period), 12 weeks (2nd period), 12 weeks (3rd period) and 12 weeks (4th period) (except fiscal year 2004) which typically account for approximately 34%, 16%, 22% and 28% of revenue respectively. The information is only a summary and should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements and related notes contained elsewhere herein. The historical results included below and elsewhere in this document are not indicative of the future performance of Jamba Juice Company.

	Fiscal Years Ended ⁽¹⁾				
	June 27, 2006	June 28, 2005	June 29, 2004	June 24, 2003	June 25, 2002
	(As Restated) ⁽²⁾	(As Restated) ⁽²⁾	(As Restated) ⁽²⁾		
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:					
Revenue:					
Company stores	\$243,668	\$202,073	\$165,856	\$129,960	\$117,550
Franchise and other revenue	9,337	6,976	6,922	5,424	3,661
Total revenue	253,005	209,049	172,778	135,384	121,211
Operating expenses	246,338	206,214	167,594	135,237	117,933
Income (loss) from operations	6,667	2,835	5,184	147	3,278
Interest expense – net	1,088	778	488	316	1,121
Income (loss) before income tax	5,579	2,057	4,696	(169)	2,157
Income tax (benefit) expense	2,601	1,972	(12,250)	(820)	37
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,978	\$ 85	\$ 16,946	\$ 651	\$ 2,120
Selected Balance Sheet Data					
(at period end)					

Edgar Filing: Services Acquisition Corp. International - Form DEFM14A

Total assets	\$ 106,102	\$ 93,267	\$ 71,139	\$ 51,447	\$ 44,491
Long-term debt and other	\$ 11,242	\$ 22,365	\$ 11,386	\$ 11,560	\$ 10,136
Redemption value of convertible preferred stock	\$ 52,162	\$ 52,162	\$ 52,237	\$ 50,532	\$ 51,040

(1)Fiscal year 2004 includes 53 weeks. All other fiscal years are 52 week periods.

(2)Amounts reflect the restatement discussed in Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements.

Fiscal Years Ended⁽¹⁾

	June 27, 2006	June 28, 2005	June 29, 2004	June 24, 2003	June 25, 2002
Franchise store sales ⁽²⁾	\$ 120,293	\$ 107,112	\$ 96,721	\$ 82,591	\$ 74,528

16

SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

(in thousands, except for store count)

	Fiscal Years Ended ⁽¹⁾				
	June 27, 2006	June 28, 2005 (As Restated)	June 29, 2004 (As Restated)	June 24, 2003	June 25, 2002
Selected Operating Data:					
EBITDA ⁽³⁾	\$ 19,572	\$ 13,190	\$ 12,903	\$ 7,658	\$ 9,984
Store Count:					
Company stores	342	301	243	198	179
Franchise stores	217	202	188	171	167
Total stores	559	503	431	369	346
Average sales per store:					
Company stores	\$ 774	\$ 747	\$ 763	\$ 701	\$ 675
Franchise stores ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 586	\$ 541	\$ 517	\$ 493	\$ 462
System-wide ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 699	\$ 657	\$ 651	\$ 604	\$ 574
System-wide comparable sales growth ⁽⁵⁾	4.3%	0.9%	6.0%	3.3%	1.3%
Net cash provided by/(used in):					
Operating activities	\$ 28,288	\$ 21,336	\$ 16,207	\$ 14,445	\$ 13,264
Investing activities	(26,513)	(33,253)	(20,080)	(10,909)	(4,878)
Financing activities	(1,881)	10,188	(1,561)	(468)	(6,908)

(1)Fiscal year 2004 includes 53 weeks. All other fiscal years are 52 week periods.

(2)Franchise store sales are not included in our financial statements, however, franchise store sales result in royalties which are included in our franchise revenue. While franchise store sales are not included as

revenue in our financial statements, management believes they are important in understanding Jamba Juice Company's financial performance because these sales are the basis on which the company calculates and records franchise revenue and are indicative of the financial health of the franchise base.

⁽³⁾Represents net income (loss) before deductions for interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. We believe that EBITDA is useful to stockholders as a measure of comparative operating performance, as it is less susceptible to variances in actual performance resulting from depreciation and amortization and more reflective of changes in pricing decisions, cost controls and other factors that affect operating performance. We also present EBITDA because we believe it is useful to stockholders as a way to evaluate our ability to incur and service debt, make capital expenditures and meet working capital requirements. EBITDA is not intended as a measure of our operating performance, as an alternative to net income (loss) or as an alternative to any other performance measure in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles or as an alternative to cash flow provided by operating activities as a measure of liquidity. The following is a reconciliation of net income (loss) to EBITDA (in thousands):

	Fiscal Years Ended				
	June 27, 2006	June 28, 2005 (As Restated)	June 29, 2004 (As Restated)	June 24, 2003	June 25, 2002
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,978	\$ 85	\$ 16,946	\$ 651	\$2,120
Interest expense, net	1,088	778	488	316	1,121
Depreciation and amortization	12,905	10,355	7,719	7,511	6,706
Income tax (benefit) expense	2,601	1,972	(12,250)	(820)	37
EBITDA	\$19,572	\$13,190	\$ 12,903	\$7,658	\$9,984

⁽⁴⁾System-wide and franchise average sales per store includes the lower yielding Zuka Juice franchise stores acquired by Jamba Juice Company in 1999. These Zuka Juice franchises are often lower yielding as they are frequently in secondary locations and less desirable markets and many do not meet Jamba Juice Company's current real estate location criteria and requirements.

⁽⁵⁾Comparable revenue growth is defined as year-over-year sales change for stores that are open at least 13 full periods. Comparable store sales growth begins in the first period that has a full period of comparable sales versus the prior year. Average system-wide sales per store and system-wide comparable sales growth are non-GAAP financial measures that includes sales at all Jamba Juice Company-owned and franchise-owned locations. Franchise store sales and average franchise store sales are non-GAAP financial measures that includes sales at all franchise locations, as reported by franchisees. Franchise store sales are not included in our financial statements. Jamba Juice Company uses system-wide sales and franchise store sales information internally in connection with store development decisions, planning, and budgeting analyses. Jamba Juice Company believes it is useful in assessing the consumer acceptance of our brand and facilitating an understanding of financial performance as our franchisees pay royalties and contribute to advertising pools based on a percentage of their sales. To maintain year over year comparability, comparable store sales for the fiscal year ended June 29, 2004 includes 52 weeks.

(in thousands)

The following unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial information combines (i) the historical balance sheets of Jamba Juice Company as of June 27, 2006, and SACI as of June 30, 2006, giving effect to the merger of Jamba Juice Company and SACI as if it had occurred on June 30, 2006, and (ii) the historical statements of operations of Jamba Juice Company for the periods January 12, 2006 to June 27, 2006 and January 12, 2005 to January 11, 2006 (as restated), and SACI for the periods from January 1, 2006 to June 30, 2006 and from January 6, 2005 (inception) to December 31, 2005 (as restated), giving effect to the merger of Jamba Juice Company and SACI as if it had occurred in the beginning of the respective period.

They have been prepared using two different levels of approval of the merger by the SACI stockholders, as follows:

- Maximum Approval: assumes that 100% of SACI stockholders approve the merger; and
- Minimum Approval: assumes that only 80.1% of SACI stockholders approve the merger.

	Six months Ended		Year Ended	
	June 30, 2006		December 31, 2005	
	Maximum Approval	Minimum Approval	Maximum Approval	Minimum Approval
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:				
Revenue:				
Company stores	\$ 119,244	\$ 119,244	\$ 229,955	\$ 229,955
Franchise and other revenue	4,825	4,825	8,056	8,056
Total revenue	124,069	124,069	238,011	238,011
Operating expenses	120,865	120,865	234,419	234,419
Income from operations	3,204	3,204	3,592	3,592
(Loss) gain on derivative liabilities	(55,778)	(55,778)	2,125	2,125
Interest income	1,405	1,405	472	472
Other (expense) income, net	(54,373)	(54,373)	2,597	2,597
(Loss) income before income tax	(51,169)	(51,169)	6,189	6,189
Income tax (benefit) expense	1,703	1,703	2,688	2,688
Net (loss) income	\$ (52,872)	\$ (52,872)	\$ 3,501	\$ 3,501
Selected Balance Sheet Data (at period end)				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 105,549	\$ 80,308		
Total assets	422,962	397,721		
Total shareholders' equity	293,778	268,537		
Selected data:				
Weighted Average shares outstanding				
Basic	51,880	48,430	42,658	40,977
Diluted	51,880	48,430	44,406	42,725
EBITDA ⁽¹⁾	\$ (46,499)	\$ (46,499)	\$ 17,905	\$ 17,905

⁽¹⁾Represents net income (loss) before deductions for interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. We believe that EBITDA is useful to stockholders as a measure of comparative operating performance, as it is less susceptible to variances in actual performance resulting from depreciation and amortization and more reflective of changes in pricing decisions, cost controls and other factors that affect operating performance. We also present EBITDA because we believe it is useful to stockholders as a way to evaluate our ability to incur and service debt, make capital expenditures and meet working capital requirements. EBITDA is not intended as a measure of our operating performance, as an alternative to net income (loss) or as an alternative to any other performance measure in conformity with U.S.

generally accepted accounting principles or as an alternative to cash flow provided by operating activities as a measure of liquidity. The following is a reconciliation of net income (loss) to EBITDA (such EBITDA is calculated after the pro forma expense of \$1,062 and \$2,123, for the six month period ended June 30, 2006 and the year ended December 31, 2005 respectively, for options granted and assumed by SACI pursuant to the merger) (in thousands):

18

	Six months Ended		Year Ended	
	June 30, 2006		December 31, 2005	
	Maximum Approval	Minimum Approval	Maximum Approval	Minimum Approval
Net (loss) income	\$ (52,872)	\$ (52,872)	\$ 3,501	\$ 3,501
Interest income	(1,405)	(1,405)	(472)	(472)
Depreciation and amortization	6,075	6,075	12,188	12,188
Income tax expense	1,703	1,703	2,688	2,688
EBITDA	\$ (46,499)	\$ (46,499)	\$ 17,905	\$ 17,905

PER SHARE MARKET PRICE INFORMATION

The shares of SACI common stock, warrants and units are currently quoted on the American Stock Exchange under the symbols SVI, SVI.WS and SVI.U, respectively. The closing prices per share of common stock, warrant and unit of SACI on March 10, 2006, the last trading day before the announcement of the execution of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, were \$7.45, \$1.20 and \$8.55 (the closing price on March 9, 2006), respectively. Each unit of SACI consists of one share of SACI common stock and one common stock purchase warrant. SACI warrants became separable from SACI common stock on July 28, 2005. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase from SACI one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$6.00 commencing on the later of the completion of the Jamba Juice Company merger (or if the Jamba Juice Company transaction is not consummated, the first acquisition which is consummated) or June 29, 2006. The SACI warrants will expire at 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on June 28, 2009, or earlier upon redemption. Prior to July 6, 2005, there was no established public trading market for SACI's common stock.

There is no established public trading market for the securities of Jamba Juice Company.

The following table sets forth, for the calendar quarter indicated, the quarterly high and low sales prices of SACI's common stock, warrants and units as reported on the American Stock Exchange.

Quarter Ended	Common Stock		Warrants		Units	
	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low
September 30, 2006	\$ 10.77	\$ 8.65	\$ 4.40	\$ 2.84	\$ 14.60	\$ 11.70
June 30, 2006	\$ 12.87	\$ 9.30	\$ 6.64	\$ 3.35	\$ 19.25	\$ 13.0
March 31, 2006	\$ 11.84	\$ 7.06	\$ 5.50	\$ 0.67	\$ 17.16	\$ 7.70
December 31, 2005	\$ 7.16	\$ 7.03	\$ 0.96	\$ 0.73	\$ 8.15	\$ 7.80
September 30, 2005	\$ 7.20	\$ 6.99	\$ 1.05	\$ 0.89	\$ 8.09	\$ 7.84
June 30, 2005	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 8.05	\$ 7.84

19

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the following risk factors, together with all of the other information included in this proxy statement, before you decide whether to vote or instruct your vote to be cast to adopt the merger proposal. As SACI's operations will be those of Jamba Juice Company upon completion of the merger, a number of the following risk factors relate to the business and operations of Jamba Juice Company and SACI, as the successor to such business.

Risks Associated with Jamba Juice Company's Business and Industry

Changes in consumer preferences and discretionary spending may have a material adverse effect on Jamba Juice Company's revenue, results of operations and financial condition.

Jamba Juice Company's success depends, in part, upon the popularity of its products and its ability to develop new menu items that appeal to consumers. Shifts in consumer preferences away from Jamba Juice Company's products, its inability to develop new menu items that appeal to consumers, or changes in its menu that eliminate items popular with some consumers could harm its business. Also, Jamba Juice Company's success depends to a significant extent on discretionary consumer spending, which is influenced by general economic conditions and the availability of discretionary income. Accordingly, Jamba Juice Company may experience declines in revenue during economic downturns or during periods of uncertainty, similar to those which followed the terrorist attacks on the United States. Any material decline in the amount of discretionary spending could have a material adverse effect on Jamba Juice Company's sales, results of operations, business and financial condition.

Jamba Juice Company's inability to compete with the many food services businesses may result in reductions in Jamba Juice Company's revenue and profit margins.

Jamba Juice Company competes with many well-established companies, food service and otherwise, on the basis of taste, quality and price of product offered, customer service, atmosphere, location and overall guest experience. Jamba Juice Company competes with other smoothie and juice bar retailers, specialty coffee retailers, yogurt and ice cream shops, bagel shops, fast-food restaurants, delicatessens, cafés, bars, take-out food service companies, supermarkets and convenience stores. Jamba Juice Company's competitors change with each of the four dayparts (breakfast, lunch, afternoon and dinner), ranging from coffee bars and bakery cafes to casual dining chains. Aggressive pricing by Jamba Juice Company's competitors or the entrance of new competitors into its markets could reduce its revenue and profit margins. Jamba Juice Company also competes with other employers in its markets for hourly workers and may become subject to higher labor costs as a result of such competition.

Fluctuations in various food and supply costs, particularly fruit and dairy, could adversely affect Jamba Juice Company's operating results.

The prices of fruit and dairy, which are the main products in Jamba Juice Company's offerings, can be highly volatile. Fruit of the quality Jamba Juice Company seeks tends to trade on a negotiated basis, depending on supply and demand at the time of the purchase. Supplies and prices of the various products that Jamba Juice Company uses to prepare its offerings can be affected by a variety of factors, such as weather, seasonal fluctuations, demand, politics and economics in the producing countries. An increase in pricing of any fruit that Jamba Juice Company uses in its products could have a significant adverse effect on its profitability. Jamba Juice Company experienced the impact of fruit price increase in fiscal year 2005 when orange prices rose nearly 500% for nearly four months after several

hurricanes made landfall in Florida. In addition, higher diesel prices have, in some cases, resulted in the imposition of surcharges on the delivery of commodities to its distributors, which they have generally passed on to Jamba Juice Company to the extent permitted under Jamba Juice Company's arrangements with them. Additionally, higher diesel and gasoline prices may affect Jamba Juice Company's supply costs, near-term construction costs for its new stores and may affect its sales going forward. To help mitigate the risks of volatile commodity prices and to allow greater predictability in pricing, Jamba Juice Company typically enters into fixed price or to-be-fixed priced

20

purchase commitments for a portion of its fruit and dairy requirements. We cannot assure you that these activities will be successful or that they will not result in its paying substantially more for its fruit supply than would have been required absent such activities. While Jamba Juice Company does have some multi-year pricing agreements (with fixed processing costs), none of these agreements have guaranteed volume commitments.

Jamba Juice Company is primarily dependent upon one supplier for a significant amount of its food distribution.

Jamba Juice Company maintains food distribution contracts primarily with one supplier. This supplier, Southwest Traders, Inc., provided 85%, 87%, and 94% of product cost included in cost of sales for fiscal years 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively, which potentially subjects Jamba Juice Company to a concentration of business risk. If this supplier had operational problems or ceased making product available to Jamba Juice Company, Jamba Juice Company's operations could be adversely affected.

Litigation and publicity concerning food quality, health and other issues, which can result in liabilities and also cause customers to avoid Jamba Juice Company's products, which could adversely affect its results of operations, business and financial condition.

Food service businesses can be adversely affected by litigation and complaints from customers or government authorities resulting from food quality, illness, injury or other health concerns or operating issues stemming from one retail location or a limited number of retail locations. Adverse publicity about these allegations may negatively affect Jamba Juice Company, regardless of whether the allegations are true, by discouraging customers from buying its products. Jamba Juice Company could also incur significant liabilities, if a lawsuit or claim results in a decision against it, or litigation costs, regardless of the result.

Jamba Juice Company's business could be adversely affected by increased labor costs or labor shortages.

Labor is a primary component in the cost of operating Jamba Juice Company's business. Jamba Juice Company devotes significant resources to recruiting and training its managers and hourly employees. Increased labor costs, due to competition, increased minimum wage or employee benefits costs or otherwise, would adversely impact Jamba Juice Company's operating expenses. In addition, Jamba Juice Company's success depends on its ability to attract, motivate and retain qualified employees, including managers and staff, to keep pace with its growth strategy. If Jamba Juice Company is unable to do so, its results of operations may be adversely affected.

Instances of food-borne illnesses could adversely affect the price and availability of fruits and vegetables, cause the temporary closure of some stores and result in negative publicity, thereby resulting in a decline in revenue.

Food-borne illnesses (such as e. coli, hepatitis A or salmonella) and injuries caused by food tampering have in the past, and could in the future, adversely affect the price and availability of affected ingredients and cause customers to shift their preferences, particularly if Jamba Juice Company chooses to pass any higher ingredient costs along to consumers. As a result, Jamba Juice Company's revenue may decline.

Instances of food-borne illnesses, real or perceived, whether at Jamba Juice Company's stores or those of its competitors, could also result in negative publicity about it or the products it serves, which could adversely affect sales. If Jamba Juice Company reacts to negative publicity by changing its menu or other key aspects of the Jamba Juice Company experience, it may lose customers who do not accept those changes, and may not be able to attract enough new customers to produce the revenue needed to make its stores profitable. In addition, Jamba Juice Company may have different or additional competitors for its intended customers as a result of making any such changes and may not be able to compete successfully against those competitors. If Jamba Juice Company's customers become ill from food-borne illnesses, it could be forced to temporarily close some stores. A decrease in customer traffic as a result of these health concerns or negative publicity, or as a result of a change in the Jamba Juice Company menu or dining experience or a temporary closure of any of its stores, could materially harm its business.

21

The food service industry has inherent operational risks that may not be adequately covered by insurance after the closing of the merger.

The combined company can give no assurance that it will be adequately insured against all risks or that its insurers will pay a particular claim. Furthermore, in the future, the combined company may not be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates for its operations. The combined company may also be subject to calls, or premiums, in amounts based not only on its own claim records but also the claim records of all other members of the protection and indemnity associations through which Jamba Juice Company receives indemnity insurance coverage for tort liability. The combined company's insurance policies will also contain deductibles, limitations and exclusions which, although the combined company believes are standard in the food service industry, may nevertheless increase its costs.

Jamba Juice Company may face difficulties entering into new or modified arrangements with existing or new suppliers or new service providers.

As Jamba Juice Company expands its operations, it may have to seek new suppliers and service providers or enter into new arrangements with existing ones, and it may encounter difficulties or be unable to negotiate pricing or other terms as favorable as those it currently enjoys, which could harm its business and operating results. However, because Jamba Juice Company currently has not begun to negotiate new or amended contracts with suppliers and service providers, it cannot now quantify with greater certainty potential increases in its expenses.

The planned increase in the number of Jamba Juice Company stores may make its future results unpredictable.

There were 342 Jamba Juice Company stores and 217 franchised stores at June 27, 2006. We plan to increase the number of Jamba Juice Company stores in the future. This growth strategy and the substantial investment associated with the development of each new store may cause our operating results to fluctuate and be unpredictable or adversely affect our profits. Our future results depend on various factors, including successful selection of new markets and store locations, market acceptance of the Jamba Juice Company experience, consumer recognition of the quality of our

products and willingness to pay our prices (which reflect our often higher ingredient costs), the quality of our operations and general economic conditions. In addition, as with the experience of other retail food concepts who have tried to expand nationally, we may find that the Jamba Juice Company concept has limited or no appeal to customers in new markets or we may experience a decline in the popularity of the Jamba Juice Company experience. Newly opened stores may not succeed, future markets and stores may not be successful and, even if we are successful, our average store revenue may not increase at historical rates.

Jamba Juice Company's revenue growth rate depends primarily on its ability to open new stores and is subject to many unpredictable factors.

Jamba Juice Company may not be able to open new stores as quickly as planned. Jamba Juice Company has experienced delays in opening some stores and that could happen again. Delays or failures in opening new stores could materially and adversely affect Jamba Juice Company's growth strategy and expected results. As Jamba Juice Company operates more stores, its rate of expansion relative to the size of its store base will decline. In addition, one of Jamba Juice Company's biggest challenges is locating and securing an adequate supply of suitable new store sites. Competition for those sites in Jamba Juice Company's target markets is intense, and lease costs are increasing (particularly for urban locations). Jamba Juice Company's ability to open new stores also depends on other factors, including:

- negotiating leases with acceptable terms;
- hiring and training qualified operating personnel in local markets;
- managing construction and development costs of new stores at affordable levels, particularly in competitive markets;
- the availability of construction materials and labor;
- the availability of, and its ability to obtain, adequate supplies of ingredients that meet its quality standards;

22

-
- securing required governmental approvals (including construction, parking and other permits) in a timely manner; and
 - the impact of inclement weather, natural disasters and other calamities, such as hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005.

Jamba Juice Company's revenue and profit growth could be adversely affected if comparable store revenue are less than expected.

While future revenue growth will depend substantially on Jamba Juice Company's plans for new store openings, the level of comparable store revenue will also affect its revenue growth and will continue to be an important factor affecting profit growth. This is because the profit margin on comparable store revenue is generally higher than the profit margin on new store openings, as comparable store revenue enable fixed costs to be spread over a higher revenue base. Jamba Juica Company's ability to increase comparable store revenue depends in part on Jamba Juice Company's ability to launch new products, implement successfully its initiatives to increase throughput and raise prices to absorb cost increases. It is possible that Jamba Juice Company will not achieve its targeted comparable store revenue growth or that the change in comparable store revenue could be negative. If this were to happen, revenue and profit growth would be adversely affected.

Jamba Juice Company's failure to manage its growth effectively could harm its business and operating results.

Jamba Juice Company's plans call for a significant number of new stores. Jamba Juice Company's existing store management systems, financial and management controls and information systems may be inadequate to support its expansion. Managing Jamba Juice Company's growth effectively will require it to continue to enhance these systems, procedures and controls and to hire, train and retain store managers and crew. Jamba Juice Company may not respond quickly enough to the changing demands that its expansion will impose on its management, employees and existing infrastructure. Jamba Juice Company also places a lot of importance on its culture, which it believes has been an important contributor to its success. As Jamba Juice Company grows, however, it may have difficulty maintaining its culture or adapting it sufficiently to meet the needs of its operations. Jamba Juice Company's failure to manage its growth effectively could harm its business and operating results.

New stores, once opened, may not be profitable, and the increases in average store revenue and comparable store revenue that Jamba Juice Company has experienced in the past may not be indicative of future results.

Historically, Jamba Juice Company's new stores have opened with an initial ramp-up period typically lasting approximately 24 months or more, during which they generated revenue and profit below the levels at which it expects them to normalize. This is in part due to the time it takes to build a customer base in a new market, higher fixed costs relating to increased construction and occupancy costs and other start-up inefficiencies that are typical of new stores. New stores may neither be profitable nor have results comparable to Jamba Juice Company's existing stores. In addition, Jamba Juice Company's average store revenue and comparable store revenue may not continue to increase at the rates achieved over the past several years. Jamba Juice Company's ability to operate new stores profitably and increase average store revenue and comparable store revenue will depend on many factors, some of which are beyond its control, including:

- executing its vision effectively;
- initial sales performance of new stores;
- competition, either from its competitors in the smoothie industry or its own stores;
- changes in consumer preferences and discretionary spending;
- consumer understanding and acceptance of the Jamba Juice Company experience;
- road construction and other factors limiting access to new stores;
- general economic conditions, which can affect store traffic, local labor costs and prices it pays for the ingredients and other supplies it uses; and

23

-
- changes in government regulation.

If Jamba Juice Company's new stores don't perform as planned, its business and future prospects could be harmed. In addition, changes in Jamba Juice Company's average store revenue or comparable store revenue could cause its operating results to vary adversely from expectations, which could cause the price of SACI's common stock to fluctuate substantially.

Jamba Juice Company's expansion into new markets may present increased risks due to its unfamiliarity with those areas.

Some of Jamba Juice Company's new stores are planned for markets where it has little or no operating experience. Those markets may have different competitive conditions, consumer tastes and discretionary spending patterns than its existing markets. As a result, those new stores may be less successful than stores in its existing markets. Consumers in a new market may not be familiar with the Jamba Juice Company brand, and it may need to build brand awareness in

that market through greater investments in advertising and promotional activity than it originally planned. Jamba Juice Company may find it more difficult in new markets to hire, motivate and keep qualified employees who can project its vision, passion and culture. Stores opened in new markets may also have lower average store revenue than stores opened in existing markets, and may have higher construction, occupancy or operating costs than stores in existing markets. What's more, Jamba Juice Company may have difficulty in finding reliable suppliers or distributors or ones that can provide it, either initially or over time, with adequate supplies of ingredients meeting its quality standards. Revenue at stores opened in new markets may take longer to ramp up and reach expected revenue and profit levels, and may never do so, thereby affecting overall profitability.

Jamba Juice Company's quarterly operating results may fluctuate significantly and, upon consummation of the merger, could fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors due to various factors.

Jamba Juice Company's quarterly operating results may fluctuate significantly because of various factors, including:

- the impact of inclement weather, natural disasters and other calamities, such as hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005;
- unseasonably cold or wet weather conditions;
- the timing of new store openings and related revenue and expenses;
- operating costs at its newly opened stores, which are often materially greater during the first several months of operation;
- labor availability and wages of store management and crew;
- profitability of its stores, especially in new markets;
- changes in comparable store sales and customer visits, including as a result of the introduction of new menu items;
- variations in general economic conditions, including those relating to changes in gasoline prices;
- negative publicity about the ingredients it uses or the occurrence of food-borne illnesses or other problems at its stores;
- changes in consumer preferences and discretionary spending;
- increases in infrastructure costs;
- fluctuations in supply prices; and
- fluctuations in the fair value of the derivative liability associated with outstanding warrants.

Because of these factors, results for any one quarter are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any other quarter or for any year. Average store revenue or comparable store revenue in

24

any particular future period may decrease. In the future, operating results may fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors. In that event, the price of SACI common stock, after the merger, would likely decrease.

Jamba Juice Company's success depends substantially upon the continued retention of certain key personnel.

Jamba Juice Company believes that its success has depended and continues to depend to a significant extent on the efforts and abilities of its senior management team. The members of its management team currently are employed on an "at-will" basis and may resign from employment at any time. In connection with the merger. Paul E. Clayton, Donald

D. Breen and Karen Kelley have entered into employment agreements with SACI that are effective upon consummation of the merger. Our failure to retain any of these individuals could adversely affect our ability to build on the efforts they have undertaken with respect to the Jamba Juice Company business. While Jamba Juice Company typically reviews potentially hires before extending employment, we cannot assure you that the assessment of these individuals will prove to be correct. These individuals may be unfamiliar with the requirements of operating a public company as well as United States securities laws, which could cause the combined company to have to expend time and resources in helping them become familiar with such laws.

Jamba Juice Company's franchisees could take actions that harm its reputation and reduce its royalty revenue.

Franchisees are independent contractors and are not Jamba Juice Company's employees. Further, Jamba Juice Company does not exercise control over the day-to-day operations of its franchised stores. Any operational shortcomings of its franchised stores are likely to be attributed to its system-wide operations and could adversely affect its reputation and have a direct negative impact on the royalty revenue it receives from those stores.

If Jamba Juice Company's franchisees cannot develop or finance new restaurants, build them on suitable sites or open them on schedule, Jamba Juice Company's growth and success may be impeded.

Jamba Juice Company's growth depends in part upon its ability to successfully and effectively expand its franchise program and to attract qualified franchisees. If its franchisees are unable to locate suitable sites for new stores, negotiate acceptable lease or purchase terms, obtain the necessary financial or management resources, meet construction schedules or obtain the necessary permits and government approvals, its growth plans may be negatively affected. Jamba Juice Company cannot assure you that any additional stores, if opened, will be profitable.

Jamba Juice Company's revenue is subject to volatility based on weather and varies by season.

Seasonal factors also cause Jamba Juice Company's revenue to fluctuate from quarter to quarter. Jamba Juice Company's revenue is typically lower during the Winter months and the holiday season and during periods of inclement weather (because fewer people choose cold beverages) and higher during the Spring, Summer and Fall months (for the opposite reason). Jamba Juice Company's revenue will likely also vary as a result of the number of trading days, that is, the number of days in a quarter when a store is open.

Jamba Juice Company could face liability from its franchisees.

A franchisee or government agency may bring legal action against Jamba Juice Company based on the franchisee/franchisor relationships. Various state and federal laws govern Jamba Juice Company's relationship with its franchisees and potential sales of its franchised restaurants. If it fails to comply with these laws, Jamba Juice Company could be liable for damages to franchisees and fines or other penalties. Expensive litigation with its franchisees or government agencies may adversely affect both its profits and its important relations with its franchisees.

Jamba Juice Company may not be able to raise additional capital on acceptable terms.

Developing the Jamba Juice Company business will require significant capital in the future. To meet its capital needs, Jamba Juice Company expects to rely on its cash flow from operations, the

proceeds from the proposed financing and potentially, third-party financing. Third-party financing may not, however, be available on terms favorable to us, or at all. Our ability to obtain additional funding will be subject to various factors, including market conditions, Jamba Juice Company's operating performance, lender sentiment and our ability to incur additional debt in compliance with other contractual restrictions, such as financial covenants under our credit facility. These factors may make the timing, amount, terms and conditions of additional financings unattractive. Our inability to raise capital could impede our growth.

Jamba Juice Company is subject to all of the risks associated with leasing space subject to long-term non-cancelable leases and, with respect to the real property that it may own, in the future.

Jamba Juice Company competes for real estate and Jamba Juice Company's inability to secure appropriate real estate or lease terms could impact the ability to grow. Jamba Juice Company's leases generally have initial terms of between five and 15 years, and generally can be extended only in five-year increments (at increased rates) if at all. Additionally, in certain instances, there may be change in control provisions in the lease which put Jamba Juice Company in a competitive disadvantage when negotiating extensions or which require Jamba Juice Company to get landlord consent for certain transactions including, in some cases, the proposed merger. All of its leases require a fixed annual rent, although some require the payment of additional rent if store revenue exceeds a negotiated amount. Generally, Jamba Juice Company's leases are "net" leases, which require it to pay all of the cost of insurance, taxes, maintenance and utilities. Jamba Juice Company generally cannot cancel these leases. Additional sites that Jamba Juice Company may lease are likely to be subject to similar long-term non-cancelable leases. If an existing or future store is not profitable, and Jamba Juice Company decides to close it, it may nonetheless be committed to perform its obligations under the applicable lease including, among other things, paying the base rent for the balance of the lease term. In addition, as each of its leases expires, Jamba Juice Company may fail to negotiate renewals, either on commercially acceptable terms or at all, which could cause it to close stores in desirable locations. Also, because Jamba Juice Company may purchase real property for various store locations from time to time, it is subject to all of the risks generally associated with owning real estate, including changes in the investment climate for real estate, demographic trends and supply or demand for the use of the stores, which may result from competition from similar smoothie and fresh juice stores in the area as well as strict, joint and several liability for environmental contamination at or from the property, regardless of fault. Current locations of Jamba Juice Company stores and franchised locations may become unattractive as demographic patterns change.

Restaurant companies, including Jamba Juice Company, have been the target of class action lawsuits and other proceedings alleging, among other things, violations of federal and state workplace and employment laws. Proceedings of this nature, if successful, could result in our payment of substantial damages.

Going forward, Jamba Juice Company's results of operations may be adversely affected by legal or governmental proceedings brought by or on behalf of employees or customers. In recent years, a number of restaurant companies, including Jamba Juice Company, have been subject to lawsuits, including class action lawsuits, alleging violations of federal and state law regarding workplace and employment matters, discrimination and similar matters. A number of these lawsuits have resulted in the payment of substantial awards by the defendants. Similar lawsuits have been instituted against Jamba Juice Company in the past alleging violations of state and federal wage and hour laws and failure to pay for all hours worked. Although Jamba Juice Company is not currently a party to any material class action lawsuits, Jamba Juice Company could incur substantial damages and expenses resulting from lawsuits, which would increase the cost of operating the business and decrease the cash available for other such uses.

Governmental regulation may adversely affect Jamba Juice Company's ability to open new stores or otherwise adversely affect its existing and future operations and results.

Jamba Juice Company is subject to various federal, state and local regulations. Each of its stores is subject to state and local licensing and regulation by health, sanitation, food and workplace safety and other agencies. Jamba Juice Company may experience material difficulties or failures in obtaining

26

the necessary licenses or approvals for new stores, which could delay planned store openings. In addition, stringent and varied requirements of local regulators with respect to zoning, land use and environmental factors could delay or prevent development of new stores in particular locations.

Jamba Juice Company is subject to the U.S. Americans with Disabilities Act and similar state laws that give civil rights protections to individuals with disabilities in the context of employment, public accommodations and other areas. Jamba Juice Company may in the future have to modify stores, for example by adding access ramps or redesigning certain architectural fixtures, to provide service to or make reasonable accommodations for disabled persons. The expenses associated with these modifications could be material.

Jamba Juice Company's operations are also subject to the U.S. Fair Labor Standards Act, which governs such matters as minimum wages, overtime and other working conditions, along with the U.S. Americans with Disabilities Act, family leave mandates and a variety of similar laws enacted by the states that govern these and other employment law matters. In addition, federal proposals to introduce a system of mandated health insurance and flexible work time and other similar initiatives could, if implemented, adversely affect Jamba Juice Company's operations.

In recent years, there has been an increased legislative, regulatory and consumer focus on nutrition and advertising practices in the food industry. Establishments operating in the quick-service and fast-casual segments have been a particular focus. As a result, Jamba Juice Company may in the future become subject to initiatives in the area of nutrition disclosure or advertising, such as requirements to provide information about the nutritional content of its products, which could increase its expenses.

Jamba Juice Company may not be able to adequately protect its intellectual property, which could harm the value of its brand and adversely affect its business.

Jamba Juice Company's intellectual property is material to the conduct of its business. Jamba Juice Company's ability to implement its business plan successfully depends in part on its ability to build further brand recognition using its trademarks, service marks, trade dress and other proprietary intellectual property, including its name and logos and the unique ambiance of its stores both domestically and overseas. Jamba Juice Company has secured the ownership and rights to its marks in the United States and has filed or obtained registrations for restaurant services in most other significant foreign jurisdictions. If its efforts to protect its intellectual property are inadequate, or if any third party misappropriates or infringes on its intellectual property, either in print or on the internet, the value of its brands may be harmed, which could have a material adverse effect on its business and might prevent its brands from achieving or maintaining market acceptance. While Jamba Juice Company has not encountered claims from prior users of intellectual property relating to restaurant services in areas where it operates or intends to conduct operations, there can be no assurances that it will not encounter such claims. If so, this could harm Jamba Juice Company's image, brand or competitive position and cause it to incur significant penalties and costs.

Jamba Juice Company could be party to litigation that could adversely affect it by distracting management, increasing its expenses or subjecting it to material money damages and other remedies.

Jamba Juice Company's customers occasionally file complaints or lawsuits against it alleging that they are responsible for some illness or injury they suffered at or after a visit to its stores, or that it has problems with food quality or operations. Jamba Juice Company is also subject to a variety of other claims arising in the ordinary course of its business, including personal injury claims, contract claims and claims alleging violations of federal and state law regarding workplace and employment matters, discrimination and similar matters, and it could become subject to class action or other lawsuits related to these or different matters in the future. Regardless of whether any claims against Jamba Juice Company are valid, or whether it is ultimately held liable, claims may be expensive to defend and may divert time and money away from its operations and hurt its performance. A judgment significantly in excess of Jamba Juice Company's insurance coverage for any claims could materially and adversely affect its financial condition or results of operations. Any adverse publicity resulting from these allegations may also materially and adversely affect Jamba Juice Company's reputation or prospects, which in turn could adversely affect its results.

27

In addition, the food services industry has been subject to a growing number of claims based on the nutritional content of food products they sell and disclosure and advertising practices. Jamba Juice Company may also be subject to this type of proceeding in the future and, even if not, publicity about these matters (particularly directed at the quick-service and fast-casual segments of the industry) may harm Jamba Juice Company's reputation or prospects and adversely affect its results.

Jamba Juice Company may also incur costs resulting from other security risks it may face in connection with its electronic processing and transmission of confidential customer information.

Jamba Juice Company relies on commercially available software and other technologies to provide security for processing and transmission of customer credit card data. Approximately 20% of Jamba Juice Company's current revenue is attributable to credit card transactions, and that percentage is expected to climb. Jamba Juice Company's systems could be compromised in the future, which could result in the misappropriation of customer information or the disruption of systems. Either of those consequences could have a material adverse effect on Jamba Juice Company's reputation and business or subject it to additional liabilities.

Jamba Juice Company's secured credit facility, which is secured by substantially all of the assets of Jamba Juice Company, imposes restrictions on it which may prevent it from engaging in transactions that might otherwise be considered beneficial, including responding to changing business and economic conditions or securing additional financing, if needed.

Jamba Juice Company has a \$35 million revolving credit facility secured by substantially all of the assets of Jamba Juice Company. As of November 2, 2006, the amount outstanding under the credit facility due in December 2007 was approximately \$13.8 million. The terms of Jamba Juice Company's credit facility contain customary events of default and covenants that prohibit it from taking certain actions without satisfying certain financial tests or obtaining the consent of the lenders. The prohibited actions include, among other things:

- making investments in excess of specified amounts;
- incurring additional indebtedness in excess of a specified amount;
- paying cash dividends;
- making capital expenditures in excess of a specified amount;

- creating certain liens;
- prepaying other indebtedness; and
- engaging in transactions that would result in a “change of control” (as defined in the credit facility), liquidation or dissolution of the business.

Under the terms of such revolving credit facility, the merger with SACI would be considered a “change of control.” At the closing of the merger, part of the proceeds from the private placement financing and the cash held in trust by SACI will be used to retire the outstanding amount under the revolving credit facility. Therefore, Jamba Juice Company has not requested any waiver from the lender with respect to the merger.

Following the merger, Jamba Juice Company intends to negotiate a revised credit facility. It is anticipated that any new credit facility will impose restrictions on Jamba Juice Company's business activities. Events that are beyond Jamba Juice Company's control may affect its ability to comply with these provisions. If Jamba Juice Company breaches any of these covenants, it could be in default under the credit facility. A default could result in the acceleration of Jamba Juice Company's obligations under the credit facility. In addition, these covenants may prevent Jamba Juice Company from engaging in transactions that might otherwise be considered beneficial to it, including responding to changing business and economic conditions or securing additional financing, if needed. Jamba Juice Company's business is capital intensive and, to the extent additional financing is needed, it may not be able to obtain such financing at all or on favorable terms which may decrease liquidity.

28

Failure of Jamba Juice Company's internal control over financial reporting could harm its business and financial results. The combined company will need to improve its operations financial systems and staff and if it cannot improve these systems or recruit suitable employees, the combined company's operations may not be effectively integrated.

The management of Jamba Juice Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is a process to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Internal control over financial reporting includes maintaining records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect Jamba Juice Company's transactions; providing reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary for preparation of the financial statements; providing reasonable assurance that receipts and expenditures of Jamba Juice Company's assets are made in accordance with management authorization; and providing reasonable assurance that unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of Jamba Juice Company assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements would be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting is not intended to provide absolute assurance that a misstatement of Jamba Juice Company's financial statements would be prevented or detected. Jamba Juice Company's growth and entry into new markets will place significant additional pressure on Jamba Juice Company's system of internal control over financial reporting. Any failure to maintain an effective system of internal control over financial reporting could limit Jamba Juice Company's ability to report its financial results accurately and timely or to detect and prevent fraud. To date, the management at Jamba Juice Company have worked with its auditors to assess its internal controls over financial reporting and have not found any material weaknesses and found the controls to be adequate for a private company. Jamba Juice Company, has not yet evaluated its adherence to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act but it is in the process of hiring consultants to help it assess its compliance with such Section. Jamba Juice Company understands that this disclosure does not release management of its obligation with respect to

internal controls. In addition, Jamba Juice Company's initial operating and financial systems may not be adequate as it implements its plans to expand and its attempts to improve these systems may be ineffective. If Jamba Juice Company is unable to operate its financial and operations systems effectively or to recruit suitable employees as it expands its operations, it may be unable to effectively control and manage a larger operation. Although it is impossible to predict what errors might occur as the result of inadequate controls, it is the case that it is harder to oversee a sizable operation than a small one and accordingly, more likely that errors will occur as operations grow and that additional management infrastructure and systems will be required to attempt to avoid such errors.

Jamba Juice Company will incur increased costs as a result of being a wholly-owned subsidiary of a public company.

As a wholly-owned subsidiary of a public company, Jamba Juice Company will incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that it did not incur as a private company. The U.S. Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and related rules of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, and the AMEX regulate corporate governance practices of public companies. We expect that compliance with these public company requirements will increase our costs and make some activities more time-consuming. For example, we will create new board committees and adopt new internal controls and disclosure controls and procedures. In addition, we will incur additional expenses associated with our SEC reporting requirements. A number of those requirements will require us to carry out activities we have not done previously. For example, under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, for our annual report on Form 10-K for 2006 we, depending upon certain facts existing upon closing of the merger, may need to document and test our internal control procedures, our management will need to assess and report on our internal control over financial reporting and our independent accountants will need to issue an opinion on that assessment and the effectiveness of those controls. Furthermore, if we identify any issues in complying with those requirements (for example, if we or our accountants identified a material weakness or significant deficiency in our internal control over financial reporting), we could incur additional costs rectifying those issues, and the existence of those issues could adversely affect us, our reputation or investor perceptions of us.

29

We also expect that it will be difficult and expensive to obtain director and officer liability insurance, and we may be required to accept reduced policy limits and coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain the same or similar coverage. As a result, it may be more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified persons to serve on our board of directors or as executive officers. Advocacy efforts by shareholders and third parties may also prompt even more changes in governance and reporting requirements. We cannot predict or estimate the amount of additional costs we may incur or the timing of such costs.

If third parties bring claims against us or if Jamba Juice Company has breached any of its representations, warranties or covenants set forth in the merger agreement, we may not be adequately indemnified for any losses arising therefrom.

Although the merger agreement provides that the Jamba Juice Company shareholders will indemnify us for losses arising from a breach of the representations, warranties and covenants by Jamba Juice Company set forth in the merger agreement, such indemnification is limited to an aggregate amount of \$19,875,000 (with an initial deductible of \$2,000,000 and a \$25,000 per occurrence threshold). In addition, the survival period for any claims under the merger agreement shall end on July 31, 2007. Accordingly, we will be prevented from seeking indemnification for any claims above the aggregate threshold or arising after the July 31, 2007 survival period.

Risks Relating to the SACI Business

If 20% or more of the holders of SACI's common stock issued in its public offering decide to vote against the proposed acquisition, SACI may be forced to liquidate, stockholders may receive less than \$8.00 per share and the warrants may expire worthless.

Under the terms of SACI's corporate charter, if 20% or more of shares issued in SACI's initial public offering decide to vote against the proposed merger and opt to convert their shares to cash, SACI may ultimately be forced to liquidate. While SACI will continue to search to acquire an operating company in the service business industry, if (i) it does not consummate a business combination by January 6, 2007, or, (ii) if a letter of intent, agreement in principle or definitive agreement is executed, but not consummated, by January 6, 2007, then by July 6, 2007, it will be forced to liquidate. In any liquidation, the net proceeds of SACI's initial public offering held in the trust account, plus any interest earned thereon, will be distributed on a pro rata basis to the holders of SACI's common stock issued in its public offering. If SACI is forced to liquidate its assets, the per-share liquidation will be the \$7.35 deposited in the trust account at the time of the initial public offering, plus interest accrued thereon until the date of any liquidation; as of September 30, 2006, there was approximately \$7.61 per share. Furthermore, there will be no distribution with respect to SACI's outstanding warrants and, accordingly, the warrants will expire worthless.

If the merger's benefits do not meet the expectations of financial or industry analysts, the market price of SACI's common stock may decline.

The market price of SACI's common stock may decline as a result of the merger if:

- SACI does not achieve the perceived benefits of the merger as rapidly as, or to the extent anticipated by, financial or industry analysts; or
- the effect of the merger on SACI's financial results is not consistent with the expectations of financial or industry analysts.

Accordingly, investors may experience a loss as a result of a decreasing stock price and SACI may not be able to raise future capital, if necessary, in the equity markets.

Failure to complete the merger could negatively impact the market price of SACI's common stock and may make it more difficult for SACI to attract another acquisition candidate, resulting, ultimately, in the disbursement of the trust proceeds, causing investors to experience a loss on their investment.

If the merger is not completed for any reason, SACI may be subject to a number of material risks, including:

30

-
- the market price of SACI's common stock may decline to the extent that the current market price of its common stock reflects a market assumption that the merger will be consummated;
 - costs related to the merger, such as legal and accounting fees and the costs of the fairness opinion, must be paid even if the merger is not completed; and
 - charges will be made against earnings for transaction-related expenses, which could be higher than expected.

Such decreased market price and added costs and charges of the failed merger, together with the history of failure in consummating a merger, may make it more difficult for SACI to attract another acquisition candidate, resulting,

ultimately, in the disbursement of the trust proceeds, causing investors to experience a loss on their investment. As discussed herein, if the merger is not consummated, it is more likely than not that SACI will be forced to dissolve and liquidate.

Under Delaware law, our dissolution requires the approval of the holders of a majority of our outstanding stock, without which we will not be able to dissolve and liquidate and distribute our assets to our public stockholders.

We have agreed with the trustee to promptly adopt a plan of dissolution and liquidation and initiate procedures for our dissolution and liquidation if we do not effect a business combination within 18 months after consummation of our initial public offering (or within 24 months after the consummation of our initial public offering if a letter of intent, agreement in principle or definitive agreement has been executed within 18 months after consummation of our initial public offering and the business combination related thereto has not yet been consummated within such 18-month period). However, pursuant to Delaware law, our dissolution requires the affirmative vote of stockholders owning a majority of our then outstanding common stock. Soliciting the vote of our stockholders will require the preparation of preliminary and definitive proxy statements, which will need to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and could be subject to their review. This process could take a substantial amount of time ranging from 40 days to several months.

As a result, the distribution of our assets to the public stockholders could be subject to a considerable delay. Furthermore, we may need to postpone the stockholders meeting, resolicit our stockholders or amend our plan of dissolution and liquidation to obtain the required stockholder approval, all of which would further delay the distribution of our assets and result in increased costs. If we are not able to obtain approval from a majority of our stockholders, we will not be able to dissolve and liquidate and we will not be able to distribute funds from our trust account to holders of our common stock sold in our initial public offering and these funds will not be available for any other corporate purpose. In the event we seek stockholder approval for a plan of dissolution and liquidation and do not obtain such approval, we will nonetheless continue to pursue stockholder approval for our dissolution. However, we cannot predict whether our stockholders will approve our dissolution in a timely manner or will ever approve our dissolution. As a result, we cannot provide our initial stockholders with assurances of a specific timeframe for the dissolution and distribution. If our stockholders do not approve a plan of dissolution and liquidation and the funds remain in the trust account for an indeterminate amount of time, we may be considered to be an investment company.

A stockholder may make a claim against SACI for taking actions inconsistent with its initial public offering prospectus as such stockholder may interpret the requirement that SACI's board of directors determine the fair value of acquisition targets based upon certain standards set forth in SACI's initial public offering prospectus differently than SACI's management interpreted such standards and as a result, SACI may suffer monetary losses.

SACI's prospectus stated that the fair market value of a business to be acquired by SACI would be determined by its board of directors based upon standards generally accepted by the financial community such as actual and potential sales, earnings and cash flow and book value. Although, SACI's board considered these factors, and concluded that the purchase price was fair to SACI, SACI did not determine a specific valuation of Jamba Juice at the time it entered into the merger

agreement. Accordingly, a stockholder may make a claim against SACI that it failed to comply with the terms of its initial public offering prospectus when evaluating the acquisition of Jamba Juice Company.

Although SACI would vigorously contest any such claim, it could incur considerable expense in defending such a claim. If SACI were not successful, it would be liable for damages as determined by a court or may have to make payments in connection with settling such claim.

If SACI is deemed to be an investment company, SACI may be required to institute burdensome compliance requirements and its activities may be restricted, which may make it difficult for it to complete a business combination.

In order not to be regulated as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, or the Investment Company Act, unless SACI can qualify for an exclusion, SACI must ensure that it is engaged primarily in a business other than investing, reinvesting or trading of securities and that its activities do not include investing, reinvesting, owning, holding or trading “investment securities.” SACI’s business is to identify and consummate a business combination and thereafter to operate the acquired business or businesses. SACI invests the funds in the trust account only in treasury bills issued by the United States having a maturity of 180 days or less or money market funds meeting the criteria under Rule 2a-7 under the Investment Company Act until it uses them to complete a business combination. By limiting the investment of the funds to these instruments, SACI believes that it will not be considered an investment company under the Investment Company Act. The trust account and the purchase of government securities for the trust account is intended as a holding place for funds pending the earlier to occur of either: (i) the consummation of our primary business objective, which is a business combination, or (ii) absent a business combination, our dissolution, liquidation and distribution of our assets, including the proceeds held in the trust account, as part of our plan of dissolution and liquidation. If we fail to invest the proceeds as described above or if we cease to be primarily engaged in our business as set forth above (for instance, if our stockholders do not approve a plan of dissolution and liquidation and the funds remain in the trust account for an indeterminable amount of time), we may be considered to be an investment company and thus be required to comply with the Investment Company Act.

If SACI is deemed to be an investment company under the Investment Company Act, its activities may be restricted, including:

- restrictions on the nature of its investments; and
- restrictions on the issuance of securities;

each of which may make it difficult for it to consummate a business combination. SACI would also become subject to burdensome regulatory requirements, including reporting, record keeping, voting, proxy and disclosure requirements and the costs of meeting these requirements would reduce the funds it has available outside the trust account to consummate a business combination.

If third parties bring claims against us, the proceeds held in the trust account could be reduced and the per share liquidation price received by stockholders could be less than \$7.61 per share.

Our placing of funds in the trust account may not protect those funds from third party claims against us. Pursuant to Delaware General Corporation Law Sections 280 and 281, upon our dissolution we will be required to pay or make reasonable provision to pay all claims and obligations of the corporation, including all contingent, conditional or unmatured claims. While we intend to pay those amounts from our funds not held in trust, we do not believe those funds will be sufficient to cover such claims and obligations. Although we seek to have all vendors, prospective target businesses or other entities with which we execute valid and enforceable agreements waive any right, title, interest or claim of any kind in or to any monies held in the trust account for the benefit of our public stockholders, there is no guarantee that they execute such agreements, or even if they execute such agreements that they would be prevented from bringing claims against the trust account, including, but not limited to, fraudulent inducement, breach of fiduciary responsibility and other similar claims, as well as claims challenging the enforceability of the waiver, in each case in order to gain an advantage

with a claim against our assets, including the funds held in the trust account. To date, we have received such an agreement from Jamba Juice Company and each of our vendors except Merrill Corp. (approximately \$125,000 currently owed), Automated Data Processing, Inc. (approximately \$1,200 currently owed), Depository Trust Company (approximately \$500 currently owed), Marsh USA (approximately \$56,000 currently owed), Rothstein Kass CPA (approximately \$40,000 currently owed), and Morrow & Co. (approximately \$7,000 to be paid at closing). As stated throughout this proxy statement, our initial directors and officers have agreed to indemnify us for claims by vendors who have not executed an enforceable waiver and, accordingly, those officers and directors are obligated to indemnify the trust against any claims made by the vendors listed above.

In addition, although our initial directors and officers have agreed to indemnify us for claims by any vendor that is owed money by us for services rendered or products sold to us, to the extent that such claims reduce the amounts in the trust fund to be distributed to the public stockholders upon our dissolution and liquidation, this indemnification is limited to claims by vendors that do not execute a valid and enforceable waiver of all rights, title, interest, and claim of any kind in or to the monies held in the trust account. The indemnification provided by our directors and officers would not cover claims by target businesses or other entities and vendors that execute such waivers nor claims related to torts, such as if someone were to be injured on our premises, securities litigation or franchise and income tax liabilities which assuming a liquidation date of June 30, 2007, will be an estimated \$400,000. Except with respect to the approximate \$400,000 of franchise and income tax liabilities, we are not aware of any other claims of the type described above nor any basis for any such claim and, as of September 30, 2006, there is approximately \$185,000 of cash outside of the trust account. Based on representations made to us by our initial directors and officers, we currently believe that they are of substantial means and capable of funding a shortfall in our trust account to satisfy their foreseeable indemnification obligations, however, the indemnification may be limited as we have not asked them to reserve for such an eventuality. The indemnification obligations may be substantially higher than our directors and officers currently foresee or expect and/or their financial resources may deteriorate in the future which could also act as a limitation on this indemnification. Hence, we cannot assure you that our initial directors and officers will be able to satisfy those obligations or that the proceeds in the trust account will not be reduced by such claims. Furthermore, creditors may seek to interfere with the distribution of the trust account pursuant to federal or state creditor and bankruptcy laws, which could delay the actual distribution of such funds or reduce the amount ultimately available for distribution to our public stockholders. If we are forced to file a bankruptcy case or an involuntary bankruptcy case is filed against us which is not dismissed, the funds held in our trust account will be subject to applicable bankruptcy law and may be included in our bankruptcy estate and subject to claims of third parties with priority over the claims of our public stockholders. To the extent bankruptcy claims deplete the trust account, we cannot assure you we will be able to return to our public stockholders the liquidation amounts due to them. Accordingly, the actual per share amount distributed from the trust account to our public stockholders could be significantly less than approximately \$7.61 per share, without taking into account interest earned on the trust account, due to claims of creditors. Any claims by creditors could cause additional delays in the distribution of trust funds to the public stockholders beyond the time periods required to comply with Delaware General Corporation Law procedures and federal securities laws and regulations. As discussed herein, if the merger is not consummated, it is more likely than not that SACI will be forced to dissolve and liquidate. In such event, it is more likely than not that the amount distributed to our public stockholders will be less than \$7.61 per share.

Our stockholders may be held liable for claims by third parties against us to the extent of distributions received by them.

We have agreed with the trustee to promptly adopt a plan of dissolution and liquidation and initiate procedures for our dissolution and liquidation if we do not complete a business combination within 18 months after the consummation of

this offering (or within 24 months after the consummation of this offering if a letter of intent, agreement in principle or definitive agreement is executed within 18 months after the consummation of this offering and the business combination relating thereto is not consummated within such 18-month period). Under the Delaware General Corporation Law, stockholders may be held liable for claims by third parties against a corporation to the extent of distributions received by them in a dissolution. If we complied with certain procedures

33

set forth in Section 280 of the Delaware General Corporation Law intended to ensure that we make reasonable provision for all claims against us, including a 60-day notice period during which any third-party claims can be brought against us, a 90-day period during which we may reject any claims brought, and an additional 150-day waiting period before any liquidating distributions are made to stockholders, any liability of a stockholder with respect to a liquidating distribution would be limited to the lesser of such stockholder's pro rata share of the claim or the amount distributed to the stockholder, and any liability of the stockholder would be barred after the third anniversary of the dissolution. However, it is our intention to make liquidating distributions to our stockholders as soon as reasonably possible after dissolution and, therefore, we do not intend to comply with those procedures. As such, our stockholders could potentially be liable for any claims to the extent of distributions received by them in a dissolution and any such liability of our stockholders will likely extend beyond the third anniversary of such dissolution. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that third parties will not seek to recover from our public stockholders amounts owed to them by us.

Results of operations may be volatile as a result of the impact of fluctuations in the fair value of SACI's outstanding warrants from quarter to quarter.

SACI's outstanding warrants are classified as derivative liabilities and therefore, their fair values are recorded as derivative liabilities on SACI's balance sheet. Changes in the fair values of the warrants will result in adjustments to the amount of the recorded derivative liabilities and the corresponding gain or loss will be recorded in SACI's statement of operations. SACI is required to assess these fair values of its derivative liabilities each quarter and as the value of the warrants is quite sensitive to changes in the market price of SACI's stock, among other things, fluctuations in such value could be substantial and could cause SACI's results to not meet the expectations of securities analysts and investors. Even if the merger is consummated, these fluctuations will continue to impact SACI's results of operations as described above for as long as the warrants are outstanding.

Risks Relating to the Proposed Private Placement Financing

SACI will issue shares of its capital stock to complete the merger with Jamba Juice Company, which will reduce the equity interest of its stockholders.

Without taking into account the approval of the amendment to our certificate of incorporation as discussed in Proposal 4, the certificate of incorporation authorizes the issuance of up to 70,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$.001 per share, and 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$.001 per share. After the initial public offering and the exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option, there are 30,250,000 authorized but unissued shares of our common stock available for issuance (after appropriate reservation for the issuance of shares upon full exercise of our outstanding warrants and exercise of the underwriter purchase option to purchase 750,000 units) and all of the 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock available for issuance. In connection with the proposed financing we will issue 30,879,999 additional shares of our common stock at a price of \$7.50 per share before expenses. The issuance of such

additional shares of our common stock:

- will significantly reduce the percentage of ownership of our current stockholders;
- is likely to be done at a price per share less than the quoted price per share at the time of the vote; and
- may adversely affect prevailing market prices for our common stock.

The sale and issuance of additional shares of common stock pursuant to the proposed financing may have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

To the extent we issue shares of common stock in the proposed financing, and the potential for the issuance of substantial numbers of additional shares upon exercise of currently outstanding warrants, when exercised, will increase the number of issued and outstanding shares of our common stock and could have an adverse effect on the market price for our securities or on our ability to obtain future public financing. If and to the extent these shares are issued and the warrants are exercised, you may experience dilution to your holdings.

34

The American Stock Exchange may delist our securities from quotation on its exchange which could limit investors' ability to make transactions in our securities and subject us to additional trading restrictions.

Our securities are listed on the American Stock Exchange, a national securities exchange, and have been since the consummation of the initial public offering. We cannot assure you that our securities will continue to be listed on the American Stock Exchange in the future. Additionally, in connection with the merger with Jamba Juice Company, the American Stock Exchange may require us to file a new initial listing application and meet its initial listing requirements as opposed to its more lenient continued listing requirements. We cannot assure you that we will be able to meet those initial listing requirements at that time.

If the American Stock Exchange delists our securities from trading on its exchange, we could face significant material adverse consequences including:

- a limited availability of market quotations for our securities;
- a determination that our common stock is a "penny stock" which will require brokers trading in our common stock to adhere to more stringent rules and possibly resulting in a reduced level of trading activity in the secondary trading market for our common stock;
- a limited amount of news and analyst coverage for our company; and
- a decreased ability to issue additional securities or obtain additional financing in the future.

35

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We believe that some of the information in this proxy statement constitutes forward-looking statements. You can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as “may,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “contemplate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “intends,” and “continue” or similar words. You should read statements that contain these words carefully because they:

- discuss future expectations;
- contain projections of future results of operations or financial condition; or
- state other “forward-looking” information.

SACI believes it is important to communicate its expectations to its stockholders. However, there may be events in the future that SACI or Jamba Juice Company is not able to accurately predict or over which SACI or Jamba Juice Company have no control. The risk factors and cautionary language discussed in this proxy statement provide examples of risks, uncertainties and events that may cause actual results to differ materially from the expectations described by SACI or Jamba Juice Company in their forward-looking statements, including among other things:

- the number and percentage of SACI stockholders voting against the merger proposal;
- changing interpretations of generally accepted accounting principles;
- outcomes of government reviews, inquiries, investigations and related litigation;
- continued compliance with government regulations;
- legislation or regulatory environments, requirements or changes adversely affecting the businesses in which Jamba Juice Company is engaged;
- statements about industry trends;
- general economic conditions; and
- geopolitical events and regulatory changes.

You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this proxy statement.

All forward-looking statements included herein attributable to SACI, Jamba Juice Company or any person acting on either party’s behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. Except to the extent required by applicable laws and regulations, SACI and Jamba Juice Company undertake no obligation to update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this proxy statement or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Before you grant your proxy or instruct how your vote should be cast or vote on the approval of the merger you should be aware that the occurrence of the events described in the “Risk Factors” section and elsewhere in this proxy statement could have a material adverse effect on SACI or Jamba Juice Company upon completion of the merger.

36

THE SACI SPECIAL MEETING

The SACI Special Meeting

SACI is furnishing this proxy statement to you as part of the solicitation of proxies by the SACI board of directors for use at the special meeting in connection with the proposed merger, the financing, the adoption of a stock option plan, and the amendment to our certificate of incorporation. This proxy statement provides you with the information you need to be able to vote or instruct your vote to be cast at the special meeting.

Date, Time and Place

The special meeting will be held at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Time, on November 28, 2006, at the offices of Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C., 666 Third Avenue, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10017, to vote on each of the merger, the financing, the adoption of a stock option plan, and the amendment to our certificate of incorporation proposals.

Purpose of the Special Meeting

At the special meeting, the holders of SACI common stock are being asked to:

- approve the merger with Jamba Juice Company pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger (as amended) by and among SACI, JJC Acquisition Company and Jamba Juice Company by means of a merger after which Jamba Juice Company will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of SACI;
- approve the issuance of 30,879,999 shares of common stock at \$7.50 per share in a private placement financing for the purposes of raising gross proceeds of approximately \$231,600,000, and net proceeds of approximately \$224,850,000 after the payment of certain fees, substantially all of which will be used as a portion of the consideration required to acquire Jamba Juice Company, as well as working capital and expansion capital;
- approve the adoption of the 2006 Employee, Director and Consultant Stock Plan; and
- approve the amendment of SACI's certificate of incorporation to (i) increase the number of authorized shares of common stock of SACI from 70,000,000 to 150,000,000 shares and (ii) change the name of "Services Acquisition Corp. International" to "Jamba, Inc."

The SACI board of directors:

- has unanimously determined that the merger, the financing, the adoption of a stock option plan, and the amendment to our certificate of incorporation proposals are fair to, and in the best interests of, SACI and its stockholders;
- has determined that the consideration to be paid by SACI in connection with the merger with Jamba Juice Company is fair to our current stockholders from a financial point of view and the fair market value of Jamba Juice Company is equal to or greater than 80% of the value of the net assets of SACI;
- has unanimously approved and declared advisable the merger, the financing, the adoption of a stock option plan, and the amendment to our certificate of incorporation proposals; and
- unanimously recommends that the holders of SACI common stock vote "FOR" the proposal to approve the merger with Jamba Juice Company, "FOR" the proposal to approve the issuance of 30,879,999 shares of common stock at \$7.50 per share in connection with a private placement financing, "FOR" the approval of the 2006 Employee, Director and Consultant Stock Plan and "FOR" the approval of SACI's certificate of incorporation in order to increase the number of SACI's authorized shares of common stock and change its name to "Jamba, Inc."

Record Date; Who is Entitled to Vote

The Record Date for the special meeting is October 24, 2006. Record holders of SACI common stock at the close of business on the Record Date are entitled to vote or have their votes cast at the special meeting. On the Record Date, there were 21,000,000 outstanding shares of SACI common stock.

Each share of SACI common stock is entitled to one vote per share at the special meeting.

Any shares of SACI common stock purchased prior to the initial public offering will be voted in accordance with the majority of the votes cast at the special meeting. The holders of common stock acquired in SACI's public offering or afterwards are free to vote such shares, as they see fit.

SACI's issued and outstanding warrants do not have voting rights and record holders of SACI warrants will not be entitled to vote at the special meeting.

Voting Your Shares

Each share of SACI common stock that you own in your name entitles you to one vote. Your proxy card shows the number of shares of SACI common stock that you own.

There are two ways to vote your shares of SACI common stock at the special meeting:

- You can vote by signing and returning the enclosed proxy card. If you vote by proxy card, your "proxy," whose name is listed on the proxy card, will vote your shares as you instruct on the proxy card. If you sign and return the proxy card, but do not give instructions on how to vote your shares, your shares will be voted, as recommended by the SACI board, "FOR" the approval of the merger proposal, "FOR" approval of the financing proposal, "FOR" the approval of the stock option plan proposal and "FOR" approval of the amendment to the certificate of incorporation proposal.
- You can attend the special meeting and vote in person. SACI will give you a ballot when you arrive. However, if your shares are held in the name of your broker, bank or another nominee, you must get a proxy from the broker, bank or other nominee. That is the only way SACI can be sure that the broker, bank or nominee has not already voted your shares.

Who Can Answer Your Questions About Voting Your Shares

If you have any questions about how to vote or direct a vote in respect of your SACI common stock, you may call Thomas Aucamp at (954) 713-1190.

No Additional Matters May Be Presented at the Special Meeting

This special meeting has been called only to consider the approval of the merger, financing, stock option plan and amendment to certificate of incorporation proposals. Under SACI's bylaws, other than procedural matters incident to the conduct of the meeting, no other matters may be considered at the special meeting if they are not included in the notice of the meeting.

Revoking Your Proxy

If you give a proxy, you may revoke it at any time before it is exercised by doing any one of the following:

- You may send another proxy card with a later date;
- You may notify Thomas Aucamp, addressed to SACI, in writing before the special meeting that you have revoked your proxy; and

- You may attend the special meeting, revoke your proxy, and vote in person.

Vote Required

The approval of the merger with Jamba Juice Company and the transactions contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of SACI's common stock issued in its initial public offering that are present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting.

If you abstain from voting, it will (i) have the same effect as a vote against the merger proposal but will not have the effect of converting your shares into a pro rata portion of the trust account in

38

which a substantial portion of the net proceeds of SACI's initial public offering are held, unless an affirmative election voting against the proposal is made and an affirmative election to convert such shares of common stock is made on the proxy card; (ii) have the same effect as a vote against the approval of the financing proposal; (iii) have the same effect as a vote against the approval of the stock option plan; and (iv) be treated as a vote against the approval of the amendment to the certificate of incorporation proposal.

A failure to vote by not returning a signed proxy card will have no impact upon the approval of the matters referred to in (i), (ii) and (iii) above, but, as the amendment to the certificate of incorporation requires a majority of all outstanding shares of common stock, will have the effect of a vote against such amendment. Failure to vote will not have the effect of converting your shares into a pro rata portion of the trust account.

Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes

If your broker holds your shares in its name and you do not give the broker voting instructions, under the rules of the NASD, your broker may not vote your shares on the proposals to approve the merger with Jamba Juice Company pursuant to the agreement and plan of merger and to approve the adoption of the stock option plan. If you do not give your broker voting instructions and the broker does not vote your shares, this is referred to as a "broker non-vote." Abstentions and broker non-votes are counted for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum.

Conversion Rights

Any stockholder of SACI holding shares of common stock issued in SACI's initial public offering who votes against the merger proposal may, at the same time, demand that SACI convert his shares into a pro rata portion of the trust account. If so demanded, SACI will convert these shares into a pro rata portion of funds held in a trust account, which consist of the approximately \$131,238,861, as of September 30, 2006, of net proceeds from the initial public offering deposited into the trust account, plus interest earned thereon after such date, if the merger is consummated. If the holders of 20%, or 3,450,000, or more shares of common stock issued in SACI's initial public offering vote against the merger proposal and demand conversion of their shares into a pro rata portion of the trust account in which a substantial portion of the net proceeds of SACI's initial public offering are held, SACI will not be able to consummate the merger. Based on the amount of cash held in the trust account as of September 30, 2006, without taking into account any interest accrued after such date, you will be entitled to convert each share of common stock that you hold into approximately \$7.61 per share. If the merger is not consummated, SACI will continue to search for a business combination. However, SACI will be liquidated if (i) it does not consummate a business combination by January 6, 2007, or, (ii) if a letter of intent, agreement in principle or definitive agreement is executed, but not consummated, by

January 6, 2007, then by July 6, 2007. In any liquidation, the net proceeds of SACI's initial public offering held in the trust account, plus any interest earned thereon, will be distributed on a pro rata basis to the holders of SACI's common stock who purchased their shares in SACI's initial public offering or thereafter.

If you exercise your conversion rights, then you will be exchanging your shares of SACI common stock for cash and will no longer own these shares. You will only be entitled to receive cash for these shares if you continue to hold these shares through the closing date of the merger and then tender your stock certificate to SACI. The closing price of SACI's common stock on November 7, 2006, the most recent trading day practicable before the printing of this proxy statement, was \$10.73 and the amount of cash held in the trust account is approximately \$131,238,861 as of September 30, 2006, plus interest accrued thereon after such date. If a SACI stockholder would have elected to exercise his conversion rights on such date, then he would have been entitled to receive \$7.61 per share, plus interest accrued thereon subsequent to such date. Prior to exercising conversion rights, SACI stockholders should verify the market price of SACI's common stock as they may receive higher proceeds from the sale of their common stock in the public market than from exercising their conversion rights. As of November 7, 2006, the market price of \$10.73 per share was substantially higher than the amount which would be received upon conversion.

39

Solicitation Costs

SACI is soliciting proxies on behalf of the SACI board of directors. This solicitation is being made by mail but also may be made by telephone or in person. SACI and its respective directors and officers may also solicit proxies in person, by telephone or by other electronic means, and in the event of such solicitations, the information provided will be consistent with this proxy statement and enclosed proxy card. These persons will not be paid for doing this. SACI will ask banks, brokers and other institutions, nominees and fiduciaries to forward its proxy statement materials to their principals and to obtain their authority to execute proxies and voting instructions. SACI will reimburse them for their reasonable expenses. SACI has engaged Morrow & Co., or Morrow, to solicit proxies for the special meeting. SACI is paying Morrow approximately \$7,000 for solicitation services, which amount includes a \$5,000 fixed solicitation fee and a per call fee estimated in the aggregate to be equal to \$2,000.

Stock Ownership

SACI's initial stockholders, including all its officers and directors and their affiliates, who purchased or received shares of common stock prior to SACI's initial public offering and as of the record date, own an aggregate of approximately 17.8% of the outstanding shares of SACI common stock. All of such stockholders have agreed to vote such shares acquired prior to the public offering in accordance with the vote of the majority in interest of all other SACI stockholders on the acquisition proposal.

Based solely upon information contained in public filings, as of the Record Date, the following stockholders beneficially own greater than five (5%) percent of SACI's issued and outstanding common stock as such amounts and percentages are reflected in the public filings of such stockholder:

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner ⁽¹⁾	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percentage of Outstanding Common Stock
---	---	--

Edgar Filing: Services Acquisition Corp. International - Form DEFM14A

FMR Corp. ⁽²⁾	1,807,300	8.6%
John A. Griffin ⁽³⁾	1,490,000	7.1%
Steven R. Berrard ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	1,187,535	5.6%
Thomas E. Aucamp ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	712,493	3.3%
Thomas C. Byrne ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	712,493	3.3%
I. Steven Edelson ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁸⁾⁽⁹⁾	712,493	3.3%
Nathaniel Kramer ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁷⁾⁽⁹⁾	712,493	3.3%
Cris V. Branden ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹⁰⁾⁽¹¹⁾	178,124	*
Richard L. Handley ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹⁰⁾⁽¹¹⁾	178,123	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (7 individuals)	4,393,754	20.0%

*Less than one percent.

⁽¹⁾Unless otherwise indicated, the business address of each of the individuals is 401 East Olas Blvd, Suite 1140, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301.

⁽²⁾May be deemed to be controlled by Edward C. Johnson, III and members of his family. The business address of FMR Corp. is 82 Devonshire St., Boston, MA 02109.

⁽³⁾Includes 923,800 shares owned by Blue Ridge Capital Holdings LLC and 566,200 shares owned by Blue Ridge Capital Offshore Holdings LLC. Mr. Griffin is the Managing Member of Blue Ridge Capital Holdings LLC and Blue Ridge Capital Offshore Holdings LLC, and in that capacity directs their operations. Blue Ridge Capital Holdings LLC is the general partner of Blue Ridge Limited Partnership and has the power to direct the affairs of Blue Ridge Limited Partnership, including decisions respecting the receipt of dividends from and the proceeds from the sale of common stock. Blue Ridge Capital Offshore Holdings LLC is the general partner of Blue Ridge Offshore Master Limited Partnership and has the power to direct the affairs of Blue Ridge Offshore Master Limited Partnership, including decisions respecting the receipt of dividends from and the proceeds from the sale of common stock. The business address for this individual is 660 Madison Avenue, 20 th Floor, New York, New York 10021. The foregoing information was derived from a Schedule 13G, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 3, 2006.

⁽⁴⁾Mr. Berrard is our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. The share amount includes warrants to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock at \$6.00 per share.

⁽⁵⁾Each of these individuals is a director.

40

⁽⁶⁾Mr. Aucamp is our Vice President and Secretary. The share amount includes warrants to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock at \$6.00 per share.

⁽⁷⁾The share amount includes warrants to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock at \$6.00 per share.

⁽⁸⁾Includes 562,493 shares owned by The Edelson Family Trust, which is a trust established by Mr. Edelson for the benefit of his spouse and descendants, of which Mr. Edelson is the trustee. Mr. Edelson is our Vice Chairman and Vice President. The share amount includes warrants to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock at \$6.00 per share.

⁽⁹⁾The business address for this individual is c/o Mercantile Capital Partners, 1372 Shermer Road, Northbrook, Illinois 60062.

⁽¹⁰⁾The business address for this individual is 450 East Olas Blvd, Suite 1500, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301.

⁽¹¹⁾The share amount includes warrants to purchase 37,500 shares of common stock at \$6.00 per share.

PROPOSAL 1
THE MERGER PROPOSAL

The discussion in this proxy statement of the merger and the principal terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger dated March 10, 2006 (as amended), or merger agreement, by and among SACI, Merger Sub and Jamba Juice Company, is subject to, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, the Agreement and Plan of Merger. A copy of the Agreement and Plan of Merger (and the amendments dated August 29, 2006 and November 6, 2006) are attached as "Annex A" to this proxy statement and is incorporated in this proxy statement by reference.

General Description of the Merger

Pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, SACI will acquire 100% of the issued and outstanding securities of Jamba Juice Company.

Background of the Merger

The terms of the merger agreement are the result of arm's-length negotiations between representatives of SACI and Jamba Juice Company. The following is a brief discussion of the background of these negotiations, the merger and related transactions.

SACI was incorporated in Delaware on January 6, 2005, as a blank check company formed to serve as a vehicle for the acquisition, through a merger, capital stock exchange, asset acquisition or other similar business combination with a then currently unidentified operating business.

A registration statement for SACI's initial public offering was declared effective on June 29, 2005. On July 6, 2005, SACI consummated its initial public offering of 15,000,000 units and on July 7, 2005, consummated the closing of an additional 2,250,000 units that were subject to the underwriters' over-allotment option. Each unit consists of one share of common stock and one redeemable common stock purchase warrant. Each warrant expires on June 28, 2009, or earlier upon redemption, and entitles the holder to purchase one share of our common stock at an exercise price of \$6.00 per share. The common stock and warrants started trading separately as of July 28, 2005.

The net proceeds from the sale of the SACI units were approximately \$127,837,468. Of this amount, \$126,720,000 was deposited in trust and, in accordance with SACI's amended and restated certificate of incorporation, will be released either upon the consummation of a business combination or upon the liquidation of SACI. The remaining \$1,117,468 was held outside of the trust for use to provide for business, legal and accounting due diligence on prospective acquisitions and continuing general and administrative expenses. As of September 30, 2006, approximately \$131,238,861 was held in deposit in the trust account.

During the period from August 2005 through March 2006, SACI was involved in sourcing and evaluating prospective businesses regarding potential business combinations.

Given the background and affiliations of SACI's officers and directors, this activity was a constant occurrence.

SACI attempted to source opportunities both proactively and reactively, and given the mandate to find a suitable business combination partner, did not limit itself to any one industry or transaction structure (i.e. % of cash vs. % of stock issued to seller, straight merger, corporate spin-out or management buy-out). Proactive sourcing involved SACI management, among other things:

- initiating conversations, whether it be via phone, e-mail or other means and whether directly or via their major shareholders, with third-party companies they believed may make attractive combination partners;
- attending conferences or other events to meet prospective business combination partners;
- contacting professional service providers (lawyers, accountants, consultants and bankers);
- utilizing their own network of business associates and friends for leads;
- working with third-party intermediaries, including investment bankers;

42

-
- inquiring of business owners, including private equity firms, of their interest in selling their business or having; and
 - engaging “finder’s” with whom SACI entered into success-fee based engagement letters.

Reactive sourcing involved fielding inquiries or responding to solicitations by either (i) companies looking for capital or investment alternatives, or (ii) investment bankers or other similar professionals who represented a company engaged in a sale or fund-raising process.

The efforts of the officers and directors of SACI often were thematic, with one of the board members taking special interest in a particular topic or industry sector (the warranty space for example) and researching that industry via publicly available documents or information supplied by third parties. In certain instances that may have even included a presentation to the entire board of directors that highlighted the particular industry, profiled companies which might be attractive business combination candidates and provided valuation multiples for companies in the sector.

Prior to signing the Agreement and Plan of Merger with Jamba Juice Company, SACI considered numerous companies and industries at different levels and signed six separate non-disclosure agreements, as well as three written “finder fee” agreements, although none of which resulted in SACI extending an acquisition offer.

Based on their experience in sourcing investment opportunities, the SACI management team would characterize the competition for quality companies to be competitive and that a company which SACI may have believed to have been a suitable business combination partner would typically have several alternatives to choose from, including remaining independent or selling itself to a third party, as well as sourcing capital either privately or publicly. Additionally, in many cases, SACI management had to spend time educating a prospective business combination partner about “special purpose acquisition companies” and explain, from SACI management’s perspective, the benefits SACI may be able to offer versus other alternatives they may be considering. Prior to Jamba Juice Company, none of these discussions concerning potential business combination partners led to an offer by SACI.

Jamba Juice Company has, from time to time since its inception, explored potential strategic transactions. In early 2005, Jamba Juice Company received an unsolicited indication of interest to acquire Jamba Juice Company from a strategic buyer (“Initial Potential Strategic Buyer”). In response, the Jamba Juice Company Board of Directors established a strategic planning committee comprised of three disinterested directors to evaluate that indication of interest and to analyze Jamba Juice Company’s other strategic alternatives. The special committee then considered

several candidates to provide financial advisory services and to assist Jamba Juice Company in considering strategic alternatives. As a result of that process, on July 29, 2005 the special committee engaged Piper Jaffray & Co., or Piper, as its exclusive financial advisor. Since that time, with the assistance of Jamba Juice Company's outside legal counsel, Piper and the special committee analyzed all potential strategic alternatives available to Jamba Juice Company, including an Initial Public Offering of Jamba Juice Company's stock ("IPO"), leveraged recapitalization transactions, acquisition transactions and the continued execution of Jamba Juice Company's strategic plan. With respect to the acquisition alternative, Jamba Juice Company requested that Piper approach a targeted group of strategic and financial potential buyers. Jamba Juice Company prepared a Confidential Information Memorandum to facilitate those discussions. In August 2005 and over the course of the following three months, Piper approached a select group of potential strategic buyers, including the Initial Potential Strategic Buyer, and potential financial buyers to initiate discussions, which group did not include SACI. On November 10, 2005, the Jamba Juice Company Board of Directors held a meeting at which Piper presented an update of the process to date. At the meeting, Piper reported that, from the time of Piper's initial engagement through the end of November 2005, it had contacted eleven potential buyers and delivered the Confidential Information Memorandum to six such potential buyers. The Jamba Juice Company Board of Directors then discussed the next potential steps, including expanding the process and pursuing an IPO in 2007 or 2008. Finally, the Jamba Juice Company Board of Directors instructed Piper to expand the sales process to include other potential strategic and financial buyers, while the Jamba Juice Company Board of Directors continued to evaluate the other strategic alternatives.

43

On January 27, 2006, Messrs. Steven Berrard and Thomas Byrne met with Mr. Greg Baty at a Swisher Hygiene franchise convention in Las Vegas. Messrs. Berrard and Byrne are members of the board of directors of Swisher Hygiene and have a financial interest in such company. Mr. Baty was a franchisee of Blockbuster Entertainment Corporation at the same time when Messrs. Berrard and Byrne were part of Blockbuster's senior management team, and was currently exploring the possibility of becoming a franchisee of Swisher Hygiene. Mr. Baty is also a current Jamba Juice Company franchisee, operating five franchise stores in Phoenix, AZ. During the conversation Mr. Baty mentioned that Jamba Juice Company might be looking for capital or exploring strategic alternatives. Messrs. Berrard and Byrne then asked if Mr. Baty could introduce them to the CEO of Jamba Juice Company. Mr. Baty agreed to provide such an introduction, and stated that he was already scheduled to meet with the CEO of Jamba Juice Company, Paul Clayton, during the following week. Until this period, neither SACI, nor any member of SACI management was aware that Jamba Juice Company was exploring a sale (as other financing alternatives), had any discussions or met with Jamba Juice Company or its representatives.

On January 30, 2006 Mr. Baty contacted Mr. Clayton regarding a potentially interesting financing vehicle (SACI) for Jamba Juice Company. Based on that call, Mr. Baty asked SACI to prepare background information on SACI that he could provide Mr. Clayton on Wednesday February 1, 2006. Mr. Thomas Aucamp provided Mr. Baty background information on SACI on Thursday January 31, 2006 and SACI began its due diligence review of Jamba Juice Company using publicly available information and by visiting store locations.

Mr. Baty and Mr. Clayton met on February 1, 2006 to discuss franchise matters unrelated to SACI. During the meeting, Mr. Baty provided Mr. Clayton the background information on SACI and discussed SACI's management team. Mr. Clayton indicated that Jamba Juice Company would be interested in learning more about SACI and speaking with SACI management.

On February 2, 2006 Mr. Baty notified Mr. Aucamp of Jamba Juice Company's interest in further discussions. On February 3, 2006 Messrs. Byrne and Aucamp had a call with Mr. Clayton, Donald D. Breen, Jamba Juice Company's CFO, Mr. Baty and John Twichell of Piper Jaffray & Co. During the call, Messrs. Byrne and Aucamp discussed the structure of SACI, the experience of the SACI management team and why SACI may be an attractive partner with which to continue discussions. Based on the call, the parties decided to negotiate a confidentiality agreement and exchange due diligence materials. Subsequently, Piper Jaffray & Co. sent Mr. Aucamp a confidentiality agreement for signature. Mr. Aucamp returned the confidentiality agreement with proposed changes, which were subsequently negotiated and incorporated into a final confidentiality agreement that was signed by SACI and Jamba Juice Company on February 4, 2006. On the same day SACI received due diligence materials on Jamba Juice Company from Piper Jaffray & Co., and SACI continued its due diligence review.

On February 6, 2006 Mr. Aucamp provided Piper Jaffray & Co. with an additional list of due diligence materials that SACI needed for its analysis of Jamba Juice Company. The parties then scheduled a Jamba Juice Company management presentation in San Francisco for February 8, 2006. On February 7, 2006 Piper Jaffray & Co. provided Mr. Aucamp with a list of questions that they would like SACI management to address in the upcoming management presentations.

On February 8, 2006 Messrs. Berrard, Byrne and Aucamp met with Messrs. Clayton and Breen and representatives of Piper Jaffray & Co. at Jamba Juice Company's San Francisco headquarters. Mr. Clayton discussed Jamba Juice Company and its prospects, and answered questions posed by Messrs. Berrard, Byrne and Aucamp. Messrs. Berrard, Byrne and Aucamp discussed SACI and addressed the questions raised by Messrs. Clayton and Breen, as well as the questions previously provided by Piper Jaffray & Co. In addition, Messrs. Byrne and Aucamp toured additional Jamba Juice Company locations in the area, including a newly developed store-in-store format.

After receiving additional due diligence information from Jamba Juice Company, SACI continued its due diligence investigation and began to evaluate the effects that additional capital may have on the growth potential of the business. Jamba Juice Company had not raised equity capital since 2001 and its growth of store units over the past several years had been financed primarily from cash from

44

operations as well as a line of credit; SACI's belief was that additional equity capital may (i) allow for accelerated development of new Jamba Juice Company stores, (ii) improve Jamba Juice Company's negotiating position with potential landlords for new sites who prefer tenants to have a strong balance sheet, and (iii) potentially discourage companies which may consider competing with Jamba Juice Company.

On February 13, 2006 the Board of Directors of SACI met to discuss Jamba Juice Company as well as other potential opportunities that SACI was evaluating. The Board of Directors decided that, based on the information available at that time, the Jamba Juice Company opportunity would be the most attractive to the shareholders of SACI.

On February 15, 2006, Messrs. Berrard, Byrne and Aucamp met with the Board of Directors of Jamba Juice Company. In the meeting Messrs. Berrard, Byrne and Aucamp provided an overview of SACI, discussed the benefits of a transaction with SACI and highlighted the opportunities that it saw for Jamba Juice Company moving forward. SACI also discussed, on a preliminary basis, the general structure that SACI had proposed for a potential transaction, including total consideration of \$265 million less estimated indebtedness and transaction costs and expenses of \$22 million at that time, 60% of which would be paid in cash and 40% in SACI stock, and requested a two week period to

conduct its confirmatory due diligence, deliver a draft definitive merger agreement and raise additional capital of approximately \$60 million. The additional capital would augment the SACI trust balance of approximately \$129 million and fund the approximately \$145 million cash component of the potential transaction (60% of the estimated \$265 million less estimated indebtedness) and provide a post-closing cash balance of approximately \$50 million, which SACI believed would provide the benefits listed above (accelerated development of Jamba Juice Company stores, negotiation position with potential landlords, and discourage potential competitors).

On February 16, 2006 Mr. Clayton informed Mr. Berrard that the Board of Directors of Jamba Juice Company had approved SACI for a non-exclusive two week due diligence period. After this call, both SACI and Jamba Juice Company instructed their respective counsel, Manatt Phelps, LLP and Mintz Levin, et al., respectively, to begin a legal due diligence investigation of the other. SACI also retained third parties to conduct additional confirmatory due diligence on store leases, intellectual property, franchise matters, taxes, systems, internal controls and accounting matters of Jamba Juice Company.

From February 16, 2006 to February 21, 2006, various telephone conferences were held among SACI's management, Jamba Juice Company's management and representatives of Piper Jaffray & Co. regarding due diligence, the business of Jamba Juice Company and the terms of the definitive merger agreement and the other related agreements for the proposed business combination.

SACI and Broadband Capital (the underwriter of SACI's initial public offering in June 2005) worked together to arrange meetings with potential investors that (i) had a relationship with or previously invested in other companies in which SACI management had been previously involved, and/or (ii) would possibly be interested in investing in the combined company. On February 21, 2006 and February 22, 2006, Messrs. Berrard, Byrne, Aucamp, Clayton, a representative of Piper and representatives of Broadband Capital met with several investors in New York City and Boston to discuss an approximately \$60 million financing transaction into SACI to fund a potential merger with Jamba Juice Company.

On February 23, 2006 Mr. Aucamp contacted Mintz Levin and asked them to begin drafting a definitive agreement between SACI and Jamba Juice Company.

From February 23, 2006 to March 5, 2006, additional telephone conferences were held among SACI's management, Jamba Juice Company's management and representative of Piper Jaffray & Co. regarding due diligence and the terms of the definitive agreement and the other related agreements for the proposed business combination. During the same period, SACI continued its due diligence process and received reports from third parties conducting due diligence reviews on its behalf.

From March 6, 2006 to March 9, 2006, the board of directors of SACI met several times to discuss the operations of Jamba Juice Company, the results of the due diligence reviews and the terms

45

of the proposed definitive agreement. On March 8, 2006 Mr. Byrne provided the due diligence packages prepared by consultants on SACI's behalf to the board of directors of SACI.

On March 6, 2006, Messrs. Berrard, Byrne and Aucamp met with Jamba Juice Company management to complete their due diligence review and complete the terms of a definitive merger agreement. In light of the legal and financial

due diligence conducted by both parties and their counsel, substantial negotiations took place over the terms of the merger agreement. On March 7, 2006, based on indications of interest from prospective investors, SACI revised the terms of the proposed acquisition to provide that Jamba Juice Company shareholders would receive 100% of the merger proceeds in cash. In addition, SACI management was satisfied from the discussions with the potential investors that they visited on February 21, 2006 and February 22, 2006 that there was sufficient capital available to SACI to support this transaction structure.

From March 6, 2006 through March 10, 2006, SACI's and Jamba Juice Company's respective counsel, had several telephone conference calls to negotiate the terms of the merger agreement and related agreements. On March 8, 2004, SACI's board of directors met again to discuss the proposed merger and related transactions.

On March 10, 2006, the SACI board of directors met again to authorize the merger with Jamba Juice Company. SACI counsel, Mintz Levin, et al. reviewed the terms of merger agreement and related agreements, and answered questions directed by members of the SACI board of directors. During the meeting SACI's board of directors also discussed the option of obtaining a fairness opinion for the proposed merger. The SACI board of directors decided not to obtain such an opinion before signing the merger agreement based on its belief that its directors had the skill and experience to properly evaluate the fairness of a proposed transaction. In addition, the SACI board of directors considered the factors that SACI had a limited time frame within which to negotiate a definitive agreement and to review the detailed work of its consultants, in its determination not to obtain a fairness opinion prior to the signing of the merger agreement. The SACI board of directors did agree to reconvene and evaluate the benefits of obtaining a fairness opinion after the signing of the merger agreement. The SACI board of directors then unanimously approved the merger and related transactions. While no one factor determined the final agreed upon consideration in the merger, SACI's board of directors again reviewed various industry and financial data, including certain valuation analyses and metrics compiled by SACI and its consultants, in order to determine that the consideration to be paid to the shareholders of Jamba Juice Company was reasonable and that the merger was in the best interests of SACI's stockholders.

On March 10, 2006, the Jamba Juice Company board of directors met again to authorize a merger with SACI. The Jamba Juice Company board was joined by Jamba Juice Company counsel, Manatt Phelps and representatives of Piper Jaffray & Co. Jamba Juice Company's board of directors unanimously approved the merger and related transactions.

On March 10, 2006, SACI and Jamba Juice Company entered into the merger agreement and related agreements.

The Initial Potential Strategic Buyer is not associated with the merger, the private placement financing, or SACI in any manner, directly or indirectly. When the Jamba Juice Company board of directors considered a leveraged recapitalization transaction as described above, it engaged in discussions with the Benchmark entities. Robert Kagle, a member of the Jamba Juice Company board of directors, may be deemed to control the Benchmark entities and some of the Benchmark entities are current shareholders of Jamba Juice Company. Mr. Kagle and Benchmark Capital Partners IV, L.P. are investors in the private placement financing, but have no affiliation with SACI. Except as described above, Jamba Juice Company did not have any merger discussions with any person or entity which is an investor in the private placement financing or part of SACI management. As described elsewhere herein, certain shareholders of Jamba Juice Company are investors in the private placement financing.

On March 12, 2006 Messrs. Berrard and Byrne met with North Point Advisors, LLC, or North Point, to discuss retaining North Point to render a fairness opinion to SACI.

On March 13, 2006 SACI and Jamba Juice Company and publicly announced their agreement through a joint press release.

On March 14, 2006, Jamba Juice Company sent a letter to its shareholders discussing the merger and seeking the shareholders' approval and consent for such merger. By March 20, 2006, Jamba Juice Company had received written consents approving the merger from a sufficient number of its shareholders, pursuant to its articles of incorporation and the corporate law of the State of California.

On March 14, 2006, the SACI board of directors met to discuss the merits and benefits of obtaining a fairness opinion including the potentially different interests between members of the SACI board of directors and SACI's stockholders.

On March 16, 2006, SACI's board of directors engaged North Point to conduct a fairness opinion review, designed to provide additional information to the stockholders of SACI. On March 21, 2006, North Point delivered a fairness opinion analysis to the SACI's board of directors. Such opinion is attached hereto as "Annex E."

On March 22, 2006, Jamba Juice Company sent a notice and information statement to all of its shareholders announcing the approval of the merger. No further approval of Jamba Juice Company's shareholders is required.

As of the date hereof, the merger agreement has been amended on each of August 2, 2006, August 29, 2006 and November 6, 2006, to among other things, provide for an extension of the termination date of the merger agreement which is currently set at December 8, 2006.

Interest of SACI Directors and Officers in the Merger

In considering the recommendation of the board of directors of SACI to vote for the proposals to adopt the merger, you should be aware that certain members of the SACI board have agreements or arrangements that provide them with interests in the merger that differ from, or are in addition to, those of SACI stockholders generally. In particular:

- if the merger is not approved and SACI fails to consummate an alternative transaction within the time allotted pursuant to its amended and restated certificate of incorporation and SACI is therefore required to liquidate, the shares of common stock and warrants held by SACI's executives, directors and special advisors will be worthless because SACI's executives, directors and special advisors are not entitled to receive any of the net proceeds of SACI's initial public offering that may be distributed upon liquidation of SACI. SACI's executives, directors and special advisors own a total 3,750,000 shares of SACI common stock that have a market value of \$43,875,000 based on SACI's share price of \$11.70 as of March 24, 2006. SACI's executives, directors and special advisors also own a total 1,000,000 warrants to purchase 1,000,000 shares of SACI common stock that have a market value of \$5,250,000 based on SACI's warrant price of \$5.25 as of March 24, 2006. See also page 12 "Interests of SACI Directors and Officers in the Merger." However, as SACI's executive officers, directors and special advisors are contractually prohibited from selling their shares of common stock prior to June 28, 2008 during which time the value of the shares may increase or decrease, it is impossible to determine what the financial impact of the merger will be on SACI's officers and directors; and
- one of the investors in the currently contemplated private placement financing committing to invest \$400,000 is Berrard Holding Limited Partnership, of which Steven R. Berrard, a current director and the Chief Executive Officer of SACI, is the President. Furthermore, a family member of I. Steven Edelson, SACI's Vice Chairman and Vice President, has committed to investing \$50,000 in the currently contemplated private placement financing.

- It is currently anticipated that both Steven R. Berrard and Thomas Byrne, both of whom are current directors of SACI, will continue as directors of the newly merged company.

SACI's Reasons for the Merger and Recommendation of the SACI Board

The SACI board of directors has concluded that the merger with Jamba Juice Company is in the best interests of SACI's stockholders and that the price to be paid for Jamba Juice Company is fair to

47

SACI stockholders. The SACI board of directors also concluded that the price to be paid for Jamba Juice Company was at least 80% of the net asset value of SACI. The purchase price for Jamba Juice Company is \$265 million, and the net asset value of SACI was \$128 million. While the SACI board of directors was aware of the requirement that the purchase price for a potential business combination partner, including Jamba Juice Company, be at least 80% of the net asset value of SACI, it did not believe that an independent analysis was warranted given that the purchase price for Jamba Juice Company is more than two times SACI's net asset value. SACI's stockholders should note that in making the determination that the contemplated acquisition was a favorable one and one that met the requirement that the value of Jamba Juice Company exceeded 80% of the net asset value of SACI, SACI's management did not use all of the alternative valuation methods and analyses associated with traditional fairness opinions. SACI's stockholders should consider the foregoing in determining the extent to which they should rely on SACI's determinations.

During the process leading up to the signing of the merger agreement with Jamba Juice Company, SACI's board of directors also discussed the option of obtaining a fairness opinion of the proposed merger between SACI and Jamba Juice Company. The board of directors decided not to obtain such an opinion before signing the agreement and plan of merger based on its belief that its directors had the skill and experience to properly evaluate the fairness of a proposed transaction. In addition, the board of directors considered the factors that SACI had a limited time frame within which to negotiate a definitive agreement and the detailed work of its consultants, in its determination not to obtain a fairness opinion prior to the signing of the agreement and plan of merger. The board of directors did agree to reconvene and evaluate the benefits of obtaining a fairness opinion after the signing of the agreement and plan of merger for the benefit of SACI's stockholders in determining whether to vote for or against the merger. On March 16, 2006, the board of directors of SACI determined to obtain a fairness opinion, as discussed below.

The SACI board of directors considered a wide variety of factors in connection with its evaluation and recommendation to approve the merger agreement, and its related terms, with Jamba Juice Company. Each member of SACI's board of directors is currently a partner or officer at a private equity or investment holding company and performs business valuations on a regular basis in their positions with their respective organizations. In arriving at its determination to approve the merger agreement with Jamba Juice Company and its terms, including the purchase price, the board of directors of SACI relied on an analysis and/or review of a number of factors, including, but not limited to:

- Jamba Juice Company's historical financial information;
- Jamba Juice Company's store economics;
- the quality and strength of Jamba Juice Company's management team, including its ability to support continued growth and the potential introduction of new product offerings or store formats;
- the regulatory environment for Jamba Juice Company;

- the valuation of comparable companies;
-