COVANTA HOLDING CORP Form 10-Q July 29, 2008

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

DESCRIPTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-6732

Covanta Holding Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

95-6021257

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

40 Lane Road, Fairfield, NJ

07004

(Address of Principal Executive Office)

(Zip code)

(973) 882-9000

(Registrant s telephone number including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No $\mathfrak p$

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

The number of shares of the registrant s Common Stock outstanding as of the last practicable date.

Class

Outstanding at July 22, 2008

Common Stock, \$0.10 par value

154,280,160 shares

FORM 10-Q QUARTERLY REPORT For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2008

	Page
Part I. Financial Information	
Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements	2
Item 1. Financial Statements	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007	
(Unaudited)	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2008 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2007 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007	4
(Unaudited)	5
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	6
Note 1. Organization and Basis of Presentation	6
Note 2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements	7
Note 3. Acquisitions and Business Development	8
Note 4. Earnings Per Share	11
Note 5. Financial Information by Business Segments	12
Note 6. Changes in Capitalization	12
Note 7. Comprehensive Income	14
Note 8. Income Taxes	14
Note 9. Supplementary Information	15
Note 10. Benefit Obligations	17
Note 11. Stock-Based Compensation	18
Note 12. Financial Instruments	18
Note 13. Related-Party Transactions	19
Note 14. Commitments and Contingencies	19
Note 15. Subsequent Event	21
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	22
Overview	22
Results of Operations	27
Liquidity and Capital Resources	32
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	36
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	36
Part II. Other Information	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	37
Item 1A. Risk Factors	37
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	37
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	37
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	37
Item 5. Other Information	38
Item 6. Exhibits	38

Other

Signatures 39

1

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q may constitute forward-looking statements as defined in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 (the Securities Act), Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the PSLRA) or in releases made by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), all as may be amended from time to time. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Covanta Holding Corporation and its subsidiaries (Covanta) or industry results, to differ materially from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Statements that are not historical fact are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements can be identified by, among other things, the use of forward-looking language, such as the words plan, anticipate. may, believe. expect. intend. estimate. project, should. seeks. similar words, or the negative of these terms or other variations of these terms or comparable language, or by discussion of strategy or intentions. These cautionary statements are being made pursuant to the Securities Act, the Exchange Act and the PSLRA with the intention of obtaining the benefits of the safe harbor provisions of such laws. Covanta cautions investors that any forward-looking statements made by Covanta are not guarantees or indicative of future performance. Important assumptions and other important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those forward-looking statements with respect to Covanta include, but are not limited to, the risks and uncertainties affecting their businesses described in Item 1A. Risk Factors of Covanta s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 and in other filings by Covanta with the SEC.

Although Covanta believes that its plans, intentions and expectations reflected in or suggested by such forward-looking statements are reasonable, actual results could differ materially from a projection or assumption in any of its forward-looking statements. Covanta s future financial condition and results of operations, as well as any forward-looking statements, are subject to change and inherent risks and uncertainties. The forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are made only as of the date hereof and Covanta does not have or undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, subsequent events or otherwise, unless otherwise required by law.

2

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

COVANTA HOLDING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	Three Mon June		Six Month June	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
		(Unau	dited)	
	(In the	ousands, excep	t per share amo	unts)
OPERATING REVENUES:				
Waste and service revenues	\$ 242,689	\$ 218,040	\$ 460,312	\$ 416,951
Electricity and steam sales	163,832	126,815	316,897	240,481
Other operating revenues	16,475	10,285	34,553	27,917
other operating revenues	10,475	10,203	5-1,555	27,517
Total operating revenues	422,996	355,140	811,762	685,349
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Plant operating expenses	238,608	199,561	497,619	401,568
Depreciation and amortization expense	51,590	48,436	100,164	96,479
Net interest expense on project debt	13,776	13,886	27,537	28,491
General and administrative expenses	23,135	20,029	47,289	42,221
Write-down of assets, net of insurance recoveries		(13,341)		4,925
Other operating expenses	19,358	9,357	31,859	26,173
Total operating expenses	346,467	277,928	704,468	599,857
Operating income	76,529	77,212	107,294	85,492
Other income (expense):				
Investment income	1,052	1,819	2,692	7,003
Interest expense	(11,563)	(14,718)	(25,283)	(35,978)
Loss on extinguishment of debt		· · · · ·	, , ,	(32,006)
Total other expenses	(10,511)	(12,899)	(22,591)	(60,981)
Income before income tax expense, minority interests and equity in net income from unconsolidated				
investments	66,018	64,313	84,703	24,511
Income tax expense	(26,260)	(28,822)	(33,796)	(10,646)
Minority interests	(2,225)	(2,091)	(4,094)	(3,489)
Equity in net income from unconsolidated investments	7,320	4,316	12,812	9,422

Edgar Filing: COVANTA HOLDING CORP - Form 10-Q

NET INCOME	\$ 44,853	\$ 37,716	\$ 59,625	\$ 19,798
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding: Basic	153,387	152,983	153,276	152,234
Diluted	154,848	154,307	154,710	153,603
Earnings Per Share: Basic	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.13
Diluted	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.13

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

		As of			
		June 30, 2008 Unaudited)		cember 31, 2007	
		(In thousar share			
ASSETS					
Current:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	143,706	\$	149,406	
Marketable securities available for sale		300		2,495	
Restricted funds held in trust		207,320		187,951	
Receivables (less allowances of \$3,926 and \$4,353)		252,857		252,114	
Unbilled service receivables		55,852		59,232	
Deferred income taxes		59,904		29,873	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		112,411		113,927	
Total Current Assets		832,350		794,998	
Property, plant and equipment, net		2,595,105		2,620,507	
Investments in fixed maturities at market (cost: \$21,640 and \$26,338)		21,384		26,260	
Restricted funds held in trust		200,683		191,913	
Unbilled service receivables		51,255		56,685	
Waste, service and energy contracts, net		246,384		268,353	
Other intangible assets, net		85,431		88,954	
Goodwill		127,763		127,027	
Investments in investees and joint ventures		96,281		81,248	
Other assets		120,500		112,554	
Total Assets	\$	4,377,136	\$	4,368,499	
	FOLUM	· ·			
	EQUIT	Y			
Current:	¢	6,776	Ф	6 900	
Current portion of long-term debt	\$		\$	6,898	
Current portion of project debt		191,885		195,625 29,916	
Accounts payable Deferred revenue		35,494		,	
		20,947		25,114	
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities		208,254		234,000	
Total Current Liabilities		463,356		491,553	
Long-term debt		1,009,295		1,012,534	
Project debt		1,016,801		1,084,650	
Deferred income taxes		487,638		440,723	
Waste and service contracts		121,118		130,464	

Other liabilities	151,374	141,740
Total Liabilities	3,249,582	3,301,664
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 14)		
Minority Interests	39,269	40,773
Stockholders Equity: Preferred stock (\$0.10 par value; authorized 10,000 shares; none issued and outstanding) Common stock (\$0.10 par value; authorized 250,000 shares; issued 154,788 and		
154,281 shares; outstanding 154,280 and 153,922 shares)	15,479	15,428
Additional paid-in capital	769,814	765,287
Accumulated other comprehensive income	14,339	16,304
Accumulated earnings	288,704	229,079
Treasury stock, at par	(51)	(36)
Total Stockholders Equity	1,088,285	1,026,062
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 4,377,136	\$ 4,368,499

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	(Unaudited)			
	(In thousands)			
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Net income	\$ 59,625	\$ 19,798		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	,	,		
Depreciation and amortization expense	100,164	96,479		
Write-down of assets, net of insurance recoveries		4,925		
Loss on extinguishment of debt		32,006		
Amortization of long-term debt deferred financing costs	1,856	1,704		
Amortization of debt premium and discount	(5,547)	(7,689)		
Stock-based compensation expense	8,061	6,407		
Equity in net income from unconsolidated investments	(12,812)	(9,422)		
Dividends from unconsolidated investments	15,668	7,194		
Minority interests	4,094	3,489		
Deferred income taxes	16,363	(1,789)		
Other, net	6,606	784		
Change in restricted funds held in trust	(14,992)	3,049		
Change in working capital, net of effects of acquisitions	(18,709)	(11,348)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	160,377	145,587		
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Purchase of equity interest	(18,503)	(10,253)		
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired	(20,128)	(7,439)		
Proceeds from the sale of investment securities	18,177	9,575		
Purchase of investment securities	(11,106)			
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(53,764)	(43,016)		
Property insurance proceeds	6,315	7,341		
Other, net	(1,146)	233		
Net cash used in investing activities	(80,155)	(43,559)		
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock, net		135,757		
Proceeds from the exercise of options for common stock, net	221	111		
Proceeds from borrowings on long-term debt		949,907		
Financings of insurance premiums, net	(6,911)			
Proceeds from borrowings on project debt	4,102	3,389		
Principal payments on long-term debt	(3,361)	(1,160,385)		

For the Six Months Ended June 30,

2007

2008

Principal payments on project debt Payments of long-term debt deferred financing costs Payments of tender premiums on debt extinguishment	(65,164)	(65,489) (18,324) (32,759)
Decrease in holding company restricted funds		6,660
(Increase) decrease in restricted funds held in trust	(11,174)	4,766
Distributions to minority partners	(3,746)	(4,578)
Net cash used in financing activities	(86,033)	(180,945)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	111	337
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(5,700)	(78,580)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	149,406	233,442
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 143,706	\$ 154,862

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Note 1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

The terms we, our, ours, us and Company refer to Covanta Holding Corporation and its subsidiaries; the term Cenergy refers to our subsidiary Covanta Energy Corporation and its subsidiaries.

Organization

We are a leading developer, owner and operator of infrastructure for the conversion of waste to energy (known as energy-from-waste), as well as other waste disposal and renewable energy production businesses in the Americas, Europe and Asia. We conduct all of our operations through subsidiaries which are engaged predominantly in the businesses of waste and energy services. We also engage in the independent power production business outside the Americas.

We own, have equity investments in, and/or operate 58 energy generation facilities, 48 of which are in the United States and 10 of which are located outside the United States. Our energy generation facilities use a variety of fuels, including municipal solid waste, wood waste (biomass), landfill gas, water (hydroelectric), natural gas, coal, and heavy fuel-oil. We also own or operate several businesses that are associated with our energy-from-waste business, including a waste procurement business, four landfills, and several waste transfer stations. We have two reportable segments, Domestic and International, which are comprised of our domestic and international waste and energy services operations, respectively.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (including normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for fair presentation have been included in our financial statements. Operating results for the interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. This Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Notes in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 (Form 10-K).

We use the equity method to account for our investments for which we have the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee. Consolidated net income includes our proportionate share of the net income or loss of these companies. Such amounts are classified as equity in net income from unconsolidated investments in our condensed consolidated financial statements. Investments in companies in which we do not have the ability to exercise significant influence are carried at the lower of cost or estimated realizable value.

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements to conform to the current period presentation. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

During the first quarter of 2008, we revised our presentation of the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows to present changes in restricted funds held in trust relating to operating activities as a component of cash flow from operating activities and changes in restricted funds held in trust relating to financing activities (debt principal

repayments) as a component of cash flow from financing activities; previously we included all changes in restricted funds held in trust as a component of cash flow from financing activities. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, we have reclassified the presentation of the changes in restricted funds held related to operating and financing activities, although not material, to conform to the presentation on the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement) (FSP APB 14-1). The FSP requires the issuer of convertible debt instruments with cash settlement features to separately account for the liability and equity components of the instrument. The debt should be recognized at the present value of its cash flows discounted using the issuer is nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. The equity component should be recognized as the difference between the proceeds from the issuance of the note and the fair value of the liability, net of deferred taxes. FSP APB 14-1 also requires an accretion of the resultant debt discount over the expected life of the debt. FSP APB 14-1, effective for us on January 1, 2009 for our convertible debentures, requires retrospective application for all periods presented, and does not grandfather existing instruments. We estimate that the pre-tax increase in non-cash interest expense to be recognized on our condensed consolidated financial statements using a 7.25% discount rate, our nonconvertible debt borrowing rate at the date of the bond is issuance, would be as follows (in millions):

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012-2027	Total
Pre-tax increase in non-cash interest							
expense	\$ 5.2	\$ 6.1	\$ 6.5	\$ 7.0	\$ 7.6	\$ 213.9	\$ 246.3

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 161), which is intended to improve financial reporting about derivative instruments and hedging activities by requiring enhanced disclosures to enable investors to better understand the effects on an entity s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for us on January 1, 2009. Although we do not currently expect the adoption of SFAS 161 to result in additional financial reporting disclosures, we are continuing to assess the potential disclosure effects of SFAS 161.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements (SFAS 160). SFAS 160 amends Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, to establish accounting and reporting for the noncontrolling (minority) interests in a subsidiary and the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. Moreover, SFAS 160 eliminates the diversity that currently exists in accounting for transactions between an entity and noncontrolling interests by requiring they be treated as equity transactions. SFAS 160 is effective for us on January 1, 2009. Although we do not currently expect the adoption of SFAS 160 to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements, we are continuing to assess the potential effects of SFAS 160.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations (SFAS 141(R)). SFAS 141(R) is objective is to improve reporting by creating greater consistency in the accounting and financial reporting of business combinations, resulting in more complete, comparable, and relevant information for investors and other users of financial statements. To achieve this goal, the new standard requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize and measure all of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction including any noncontrolling interest of the acquired entity; to recognize and measure any goodwill acquired or gain resulting from a bargain purchase; establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective; and requires the acquirer to disclose to investors and other users of financial statements all of the information they need to

evaluate and understand the nature and financial effect of the business combination. SFAS 141(R) is effective for us on January 1, 2009. We are continuing to assess the potential effects of SFAS 141(R).

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 allows entities to voluntarily choose to measure certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value (fair value option). The fair value option may be elected on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable, unless a new election date occurs. If the fair value option is elected for an instrument, SFAS 159 specifies that the effect of the first remeasurement to fair value will be reported as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings and unrealized

7

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

gains and losses for that instrument shall be reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. We adopted SFAS 159 on January 1, 2008, but did not elect to apply the fair value option to any of our eligible financial assets and liabilities.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement does not require any new fair value measurements. SFAS 157 was effective for us on January 1, 2008. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which deferred the effective date of SFAS 157 for one year for all non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except for those items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). The partial adoption of SFAS 157 on January 1, 2008 had no impact on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows or earnings per share. Our investment securities that are traded on a national securities exchange are stated at the last reported sales price on the day of valuation; other securities in the over-the-counter market and listed securities for which no sale was reported on the date are stated at the last quoted bid price.

Marketable securities available for sale Investments in fixed maturities at market Derivatives Contingent interest feature of the Convertible Debentures		As of ne 30, 2008	Fair Value Measurements at R Quoted Prices in Active Significant Markets for Other Identical Observable Assets Inputs (Level 1) (Level 2) (In thousands)				Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		
		300 21,384	\$	300 21,384	\$		\$		
(See Note 12)	•	0	¢	21 694	¢	0	¢		
Total	\$	21,684	\$	21,684	\$	0	\$		

Note 3. Acquisitions and Business Development

Our growth strategy includes the acquisition of waste and energy related businesses located in markets with significant growth opportunities, as well as the development of new projects and expansion of existing projects. Acquisitions are accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. The results of operations reflect the period of ownership of the acquired businesses and business development projects. The acquisitions in the section below are

not material to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements individually or in the aggregate and therefore, disclosures of pro forma financial information have not been presented.

Domestic

Tulsa Energy-from-Waste Facility

On June 2, 2008, we acquired an energy-from-waste facility in Tulsa, Oklahoma from The CIT Group/Equipment Financing, Inc. for cash consideration of approximately \$12.7 million. The design capacity of the facility is 1,125 tons per day (tpd) of waste and gross electric capacity of 16.5 megawatts (MW). This facility was shut down by the prior owner in the summer of 2007 and we are planning to return two of the facility s three boilers to service before the end of 2008, and return its third boiler to service during 2009.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Peabody Landfill

On May 20, 2008, we acquired a landfill for the disposal of ash in Peabody, Massachusetts from Peabody Monofill Associates, Inc. and others for cash consideration of approximately \$7.4 million.

Harrisburg Energy-from-Waste Facility

In February 2008, we entered into a ten year agreement to maintain and operate an 800 tpd energy-from-waste facility located in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. Under the agreement, we have a right of first refusal to purchase the facility. We also have agreed to provide construction management services and to advance up to \$25.5 million in funding for certain facility improvements required to enhance facility performance, the repayment of which is guaranteed by the City of Harrisburg. As of June 30, 2008, we have advanced \$1.0 million under this funding arrangement.

Massachusetts Energy-From-Waste Facilities and Transfer Stations

On October 1, 2007, we acquired the operating businesses of EnergyAnswers Corporation for cash consideration of approximately \$41 million. We also assumed net debt of \$21 million (\$23 million of consolidated indebtedness net of \$2 million of restricted funds held in trust). These businesses include a 400 tpd energy-from-waste facility in Springfield, Massachusetts and a 240 tpd energy-from-waste facility in Pittsfield, Massachusetts. Approximately 75% of waste revenues are contracted for at these facilities. We subsequently sold certain assets acquired in this transaction for a total consideration of \$5.8 million during the fourth quarter of 2007 and the first quarter of 2008. The purchase price allocation included \$9.8 million of goodwill.

Westchester Transfer Stations

On October 1, 2007, we acquired two waste transfer stations in Westchester County, New York from Regus Industries, LLC for cash consideration of approximately \$7.3 million.

Biomass Energy Facilities

On July 16, 2007, we acquired Central Valley Biomass Holdings, LLC (Central Valley) from The AES Corporation. Under the terms of the purchase agreement, we paid \$51 million in cash plus approximately \$5 million in cash related to post-closing adjustments and transaction costs. Central Valley owns two biomass energy facilities and a biomass energy fuel management business, which are all located in California. In addition, we invested approximately \$8 million prior to December 31, 2007 and \$9.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008 in capital improvements to significantly increase the facilities productivity and improve environmental performance. The purchase price allocation included \$23.6 million of goodwill.

Holliston Transfer Station

On April 30, 2007, we acquired a waste transfer station in Holliston, Massachusetts from Casella Waste Systems Inc. for cash consideration of approximately \$7.5 million.

Lee County Energy-from-Waste Facility

In December 2007, we completed the expansion and commenced the operation of the expanded energy-from-waste facility located in and owned by Lee County in Florida. We expanded waste processing capacity from 1,200 tpd to 1,836 tpd and increased gross electricity capacity from 36.9 MW to 57.3 MW. As part of the agreement to implement this expansion, we received a long-term operating contract extension expiring in 2024.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Hillsborough County Energy-from-Waste Facility

We designed, constructed, and now operate and maintain the 1,200 tpd mass-burn energy-from-waste facility located in and owned by Hillsborough County in Florida. Due to the growth in the amount of municipal solid waste generated in Hillsborough County, Hillsborough County informed us of its desire to expand the facility s waste processing and electricity generation capacities. In August 2005, we entered into agreements with Hillsborough County to implement this expansion, and to extend the agreement under which we operate the facility through 2027. Environmental and other project related permits have been secured and the expansion construction commenced on December 29, 2006. Completion of the expansion, and commencement of the operation of the expanded project, is expected in 2009.

International

China

On April 2, 2008, our project joint venture with Chongqing Iron & Steel Company (Group) Limited received an award to build, own, operate and transfer an 1,800 tpd energy-from-waste facility for Chengdu Municipality, in Sichuan Province, Peoples Republic of China. On June 25, 2008, the project s 25 year waste concession agreement was executed. In connection with this project, we invested \$17.1 million for a 49% equity interest in the project joint venture. The Chengdu project is expected to commence construction near the end of 2008, and commence operations in 2011.

In December 2007, we entered into a joint venture with Guangzhou Development Power Investment Co., Ltd. through which we intend to develop energy-from-waste projects in Guangdong Province, Peoples Republic of China. We hold a 40% equity interest in the joint venture entity, Guangzhou Development Covanta Environmental Energy Co., Ltd (GDC Environmental Energy), and on June 6, 2008, we invested \$1.5 million in the joint venture.

On April 25, 2007, we purchased a 40% equity interest in Chongqing Sanfeng Environmental Industry Co., Ltd. (Sanfeng), a company located in Chongqing Municipality, Peoples Republic of China. The company, which was renamed Sanfeng Covanta Environmental Industry Co., Ltd., owns minority equity interests in two 1,200 metric tpd 24 MW mass-burn energy-from-waste projects. We made an initial cash payment of approximately \$10 million in connection with our investment in Sanfeng.

Ireland

On September 6, 2007, we entered into definitive agreements to build, own, operate and transfer a 1,700 metric tpd energy-from-waste project serving the City of Dublin, Ireland and surrounding communities. The Dublin project is being developed and will be owned by Dublin Waste to Energy Limited, which is co-owned by us and DONG Energy Generation A/S. As part of the transaction, we purchased a controlling stake in Dublin Waste to Energy Limited. Project construction, which is expected to start in late 2008, is estimated to cost approximately 300 million euros and is expected to require 36 months to complete. Dublin Waste to Energy Limited has a 25-year tip fee type contract to provide disposal service for approximately 320,000 metric tons of waste annually. The project is expected to sell electricity into the local electricity grid under short-term arrangements. We, along with DONG Energy Generation A/S, have committed to provide financing for all phases of the project; however, we expect that numerous project financing structures will be available once the initial development phase is complete.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 4. Earnings Per Share

Per share data is based on the weighted average outstanding number of our, par value \$0.10 per share, common stock during the relevant period. Basic earnings per share are calculated using the weighted average number of outstanding shares of common stock. Diluted earnings per share computations, as calculated under the treasury stock method, include the weighted average number of shares of additional outstanding common stock issuable for stock options, restricted stock, and rights whether or not currently exercisable. Diluted earnings per share for all the periods presented does not include securities if their effect was anti-dilutive (in thousands, except per share amounts).

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
		2008		2007		2008		2007
Net income	\$	44,853	\$	37,716	\$	59,625	\$	19,798
Basic earnings per share: Weighted average basic common shares outstanding		153,387		152,983		153,276		152,234
Basic earnings per share	\$	0.29	\$	0.25	\$	0.39	\$	0.13
Diluted earnings per share: Weighted average basic common shares outstanding Stock options Restricted stock Convertible debentures		153,387 745 716		152,983 651 673		153,276 682 752		152,234 636 733
Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding		154,848		154,307		154,710		153,603
Diluted earnings per share	\$	0.29	\$	0.24	\$	0.39	\$	0.13
Stock options excluded from the weighted average dilutive common shares outstanding because their inclusion would have been antidilutive		300		1,785		300		1,785
Restricted stock awards excluded from the weighted average dilutive common shares outstanding because their inclusion would have been antidilutive								

On January 31, 2007, we issued 1.00% Senior Convertible Debentures due 2027 (the Debentures). The Debentures are convertible under certain circumstances if the closing sale price of our common stock exceeds a specified conversion price before February 1, 2025. As of June 30, 2008, the Debentures did not have a dilutive effect on earnings per share.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 5. Financial Information by Business Segments

We have two reportable segments, Domestic and International, which are comprised of our domestic and international waste and energy services operations, respectively. The results of our reportable segments are as follows (in thousands):

		Reportab	le Se	gments			
	Γ	Oomestic	Int	ernational	0	All ther(1)	Total
Three Months Ended June 30, 2008:							
Operating revenues	\$	350,729	\$	69,152	\$	3,115	\$ 422,996
Operating income (loss)		73,553		3,506		(530)	76,529
Three Months Ended June 30, 2007:							
Operating revenues	\$	300,604	\$	52,008	\$	2,528	\$ 355,140
Operating income (loss)		73,080		4,820		(688)	77,212
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008:							
Operating revenues	\$	674,013	\$	131,931	\$	5,818	\$ 811,762
Operating income (loss)		98,907		9,344		(957)	107,294
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007:							
Operating revenues	\$	588,359	\$	91,809	\$	5,181	\$ 685,349
Operating income (loss)		78,315		8,323		(1,146)	85,492

⁽¹⁾ All other is comprised of our insurance subsidiaries operations.

Note 6. Changes in Capitalization

Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt is as follows (in thousands):

	As of					
	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007				
1.00% Senior Convertible Debentures due 2027 Term loan due 2014 Other long-term debt	\$ 373,750 641,875 446	\$ 373,750 645,125 557				
Total Less: current portion	1,016,071 (6,776)	1,019,432				

Total long-term debt \$ 1,009,295 \$ 1,012,534

Short-Term Liquidity

As of June 30, 2008, we had available credit for liquidity as follows (in thousands):

				(Outstanding Letters			
		Total vailable Under		0	f Credit as of June 30,		vailable as of June 30,	
	Facility		Maturing	2008		2008		
Revolving Loan Facility(1)	\$	300,000	2013	\$		\$	300,000	
Funded L/C Facility	\$	320,000	2014	\$	312,007	\$	7,993	

⁽¹⁾ Up to \$200 million of which may be utilized for letters of credit.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2007 Recapitalization

During the first quarter of 2007, we completed a comprehensive recapitalization utilizing a series of equity and debt financings including the following transactions:

the refinancing of our previously existing credit facilities with new credit facilities, comprised of a \$300 million revolving credit facility (the Revolving Loan Facility), a \$320 million funded letter of credit facility (the Funded L/C Facility), and a \$650 million term loan (the Term Loan Facility) (collectively referred to as the Credit Facilities);

an underwritten public offering of 6.118 million shares of our common stock, from which we received proceeds of approximately \$136.6 million, net of underwriting discounts and commissions;

an underwritten public offering of approximately \$373.8 million aggregate principal amount of Debentures, from which we received proceeds of approximately \$364.4 million, net of underwriting discounts and commissions; and

the repayment, by means of a tender offer and redemptions, of approximately \$611.9 million in aggregate principal amount of outstanding notes previously issued by certain of our intermediate subsidiaries. We completed our tender offer and redemptions for approximately \$604.4 million in aggregate principal amount of outstanding notes on February 22, 2007. The remaining \$7.5 million of the outstanding notes were redeemed in April 2007 and September 2007.

As a result of the recapitalization, we recognized a loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$32.0 million, pre-tax, which was comprised of the write-down of deferred financing costs, tender premiums paid for the intermediate subsidiary debt, and a call premium paid in connection with previously existing financing arrangements. These amounts were partially offset by the write-down of unamortized premiums relating to the intermediate subsidiary debt and a gain associated with the settlement of our interest rate swap agreements.

Credit Facilities

The loan documentation under the Credit Facilities contains customary affirmative and negative covenants and financial covenants. We were in compliance with all required covenants as of June 30, 2008.

Stockholders Equity

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we granted 491,336 restricted stock awards and 250,000 options to purchase our common stock. See Note 11. Stock-Based Compensation.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 7. Comprehensive Income

The components of comprehensive income are as follows (in thousands):

		nths Ended e 30,	Six Mont June	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Comprehensive income, net of income taxes:				
Net income	\$ 44,853	\$ 37,716	\$ 59,625	\$ 19,798
Foreign currency translation	(2,281)	2,199	(1,254)	2,633
SFAS 158 unrecognized net loss	(170)	53	(339)	53
Net unrealized (gain) loss on available-for-sale securities	(302)	20	(372)	237
Net realized gain on derivative instruments				(2,125)
Net comprehensive (loss) income adjustments	(2,753)	2,272	(1,965)	798
Comprehensive income	\$ 42,100	\$ 39,988	\$ 57,660	\$ 20,596

Note 8. Income Taxes

We record our interim tax provision based upon our estimated annual effective tax rate and account for the tax effects of discrete events in the period in which they occur. We file a federal consolidated income tax return with our eligible subsidiaries. Our subsidiary, Covanta Lake II, Inc. files outside of the consolidated return group. Our federal consolidated income tax return also includes the taxable results of certain grantor trusts described below.

We currently estimate our annual effective tax rate, including discrete items, for the year ended December 31, 2008 to be approximately 39.9%. We review the annual effective tax rate on a quarterly basis as projections are revised. The effective income tax rate was 39.9% and 43.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The liability for uncertain tax positions, exclusive of interest and penalties, was \$26.7 million and \$25.4 million as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. No material additional liabilities were recorded for uncertain tax positions during the six months ended June 30, 2008. Included in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits as of June 30, 2008 are potential benefits of \$3.4 million that, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate.

We continue to reflect interest accrued on uncertain tax positions and penalties as part of the tax provision under FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, (FIN 48). For the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, we recognized \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million, respectively and for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, we recognized \$0.7 million and \$0.5 million, respectively of interest and penalties on uncertain tax positions. As of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we had accrued interest and penalties associated with unrecognized tax benefits of \$8.3 million and \$7.6 million, respectively.

As issues are examined by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and state auditors, we may decide to adjust the existing FIN 48 liability for issues that were not deemed an exposure at the time we adopted FIN 48. Accordingly, we will continue to monitor the results of these audits and adjust the liability as needed. Federal income tax returns for our subsidiary Covanta Energy are closed for the years through 2002. However, to the extent net operating loss carryforwards (NOLs) are utilized from earlier years, this will allow the IRS to re-examine closed years. The tax returns of our subsidiary Covanta ARC Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries (ARC Holdings) are open for federal audit for the tax return years of 2001 and forward, and are currently the subject of an IRS examination. This examination is related to ARC Holdings refund requests related to NOL carryback claims from tax years prior to our acquisition of ARC Holdings in 2005 that require Joint Committee approval. State income tax returns are generally subject to examination for a period of three to five years after the filing of the respective return. The state

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

impact of any federal changes remains subject to examination by various states for a period of up to one year after formal notification to the states. We have various state income tax returns in the process of examination, administrative appeals or litigation.

Our NOLs predominantly arose from our predecessor insurance entities (which were subsidiaries of our predecessor, which was formerly named Mission Insurance Group, Inc., Mission). These Mission insurance entities have been in state insolvency proceedings in California and Missouri since the late 1980 s. The amount of NOLs available to us will be reduced by any taxable income generated by current members of our consolidated tax group, which include grantor trusts associated with the Mission insurance entities.

While we cannot predict with certainty what amounts, if any, may be includable in taxable income as a result of the final administration of these grantor trusts, we believe that neither existing arrangements with the California Commissioner of Insurance nor the final administration by the Missouri Director of Insurance will result in a material reduction in available NOLs. In connection with the continued administration of the trust estates and implementation of arrangements with us, the California Commissioner has received court approvals, and may receive additional approvals during 2008, that may result in additional tax deduction or NOLs, which may be material, becoming available to us.

We had consolidated federal NOLs estimated to be approximately \$275 million for federal income tax purposes as of December 31, 2007. The NOLs will expire in various amounts from December 31, 2009 through December 31, 2026, if not used. In addition to the consolidated federal NOLs, as of December 31, 2007, we had additional federal credit carryforwards of \$23.1 million, federal loss carryforwards of \$85.0 million and state NOL carryforwards of \$232.4 million, all of which will expire between 2008 and 2026. These deferred tax assets are offset by a valuation allowance of \$33.2 million.

For further information, refer to Note 9. Income Taxes of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Form 10-K.

Note 9. Supplementary Information

Operating Revenues

The components of waste and service revenues are as follows (in thousands):

		ree Months June 30,	For the Six Months Ended June 30,				
	2008	2007	2008	2007			
Waste and service revenues unrelated to project debt Revenue earned explicitly to service project	\$ 218,965	\$ 193,186	\$ 412,829	\$ 366,572			
debt-principal Revenue earned explicitly to service project	17,167	17,290	34,364	34,580			
debt-interest	6,557	7,564	13,119	15,799			

Total waste and service revenues

\$ 242,689

\$ 218,040

\$ 460,312

\$ 416,951

Under some of our service agreements, we bill municipalities fees to service project debt (principal and interest). The amounts billed are based on the actual principal amortization schedule for the project bonds. Regardless of the amounts billed to client communities relating to project debt principal, we recognize revenue earned explicitly to service project debt principal on a levelized basis over the term of the applicable agreement. In the beginning of the agreement, principal billed is less than the amount of levelized revenue recognized related to principal and we record an unbilled service receivable asset. At some point during the agreement, the amount we bill will exceed the levelized revenue and the unbilled service receivable begins to reduce, and ultimately becomes nil at the end of the contract.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In the final year(s) of a contract, cash is utilized from debt service reserve accounts to pay remaining principal amounts due to project bondholders and such amounts are no longer billed to or paid by municipalities. Generally, therefore, in the last year of the applicable agreement, little or no cash is received from municipalities relating to project debt, while our levelized service revenue continues to be recognized until the expiration date of the term of the agreement.

Our independent power production facilities in India generate electricity and steam explicitly for specific purchasers and as such, these agreements are considered lease arrangements. Electricity and steam sales included lease income from our international business of \$59.0 million and \$42.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$113.1 million and \$72.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Operating Costs

Pass through costs

Pass through costs are costs for which we receive a direct contractually committed reimbursement from the municipal client which sponsors an energy-from-waste project. These costs generally include utility charges, insurance premiums, ash residue transportation and disposal and certain chemical costs. These costs are recorded net of municipal client reimbursements in our condensed consolidated financial statements. Total pass through costs were \$15.3 million and \$13.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$31.7 million and \$29.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Amortization of waste, service and energy contracts

The vast majority of our waste, service and energy contracts were valued in March 2004 and June 2005 related to the acquisitions of Covanta Energy and ARC Holdings, respectively. These intangible assets and liabilities were recorded using then-available information at their estimated fair market values based upon discounted cash flows. The following table details the amount of the actual/estimated amortization expense and contra-expense associated with these intangible assets and liabilities as of June 30, 2008 included or expected to be included in our statement of income for each of the years indicated (in thousands):

	Waste, Energ (Am E	Waste and Service Contracts (Contra-Expense)			
Six Months ended June 30, 2008	\$	22,730	\$	(6,476)	
Remainder of 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012	\$	23,023 42,302 29,864 26,740 24,647	\$	(6,561) (13,178) (12,721) (12,408) (12,413)	

Thereafter 99,808 (63,837)
Total \$ 246,384 \$ (121,118)

SEMASS Fire

On March 31, 2007, our SEMASS energy-from-waste facility located in Rochester, Massachusetts experienced a fire in the front-end receiving portion of the facility. Damage was extensive to this portion of the facility and operations at the facility were suspended completely for approximately 20 days. As a result of this loss, we recorded an asset impairment of \$18.3 million, pre-tax, in the first quarter of 2007, which represented a preliminary estimate of the net book value of the damaged assets. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we reduced the impairment recorded by \$1.0 million, pre-tax, based upon additional analysis as the facility was being restored.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The cost of repair or replacement, and business interruption losses, are insured under the terms of applicable insurance policies, subject to deductibles. We recorded \$5.2 million in the second quarter of 2008 upon receipt of cash proceeds of \$7.2 million in settlement of our business interruption claim. Insurance recoveries are recorded as a reduction to the loss related to the write-down of assets where such recoveries relate to repair and reconstruction costs, or as a reduction to plant operating expenses where such recoveries relate to other costs or business interruption losses. We recorded insurance recoveries as follows (in millions):

		hree Months June 30,	For the Six Mont Ended June 30		
	2008	2007	2008	2007	
Repair and reconstruction (reduction to Write-down of assets)	\$	\$ 13.3	\$	\$ 13.3	
Clean-up costs (reduction to Plant operating expenses) Business interruption losses (reduction to Plant operating	\$	\$ 2.7	\$	\$ 2.7	
expenses)	\$ 5.2	\$	\$ 5.2	\$	

During the second half of 2007, we recorded additional insurance recoveries of \$4.0 million related to repair and reconstruction and \$2.0 million related to business interruption losses.

Other operating expenses

The components of other operating expenses are as follows (in thousands):

	Other Operating Expenses For the Three										
	Months Ended June 30,					For the Six Months Ended June 30,					
		2008	2007		2008			2007			
Construction costs	\$	12,110	\$	7,685	\$	25,267	\$	21,831			
Insurance subsidiary operating expenses		3,417		2,429		5,788		4,640			
Insurance recoveries		(21)		(78)		(3,769)		(78)			
Foreign exchange loss (gain)		493		(858)		(4)		(1,073)			
Other		3,359		179		4,577		853			
Total other operating expenses	\$	19,358	\$	9,357	\$	31,859	\$	26,173			

Note 10. Benefit Obligations

Pension and Other Benefit Obligations

The components of net periodic benefit costs are as follows (in thousands):

	Pension Benefits For the Three For the Six Months Ended Months Ended June 30, June 30, 2008 2007 2008 2009				ıded	I N	Other For the Ionths June 008	Th En	ree ided	tirement Benefits For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 2007					
Service cost Interest cost Expected return on plan assets Amortization of actuarial	\$	1,176 (1,182)	\$	1,145 (1,107)	\$ 2,352 (2,364)	\$	2,291 (2,215)	\$	137	\$	192	\$	274	\$	384
(gain) loss		(131)			(262)				(39)		27		(77)		53
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	(137)	\$	38	\$ (274)	\$	76	\$	98	\$	219	\$	197	\$	437
					17										

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Defined Contribution Plans

Substantially all of our domestic employees are eligible to participate in defined contribution plans we sponsor. Our costs related to defined contribution plans were \$2.7 million and \$2.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively and \$6.9 million and \$6.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Note 11. Stock-Based Compensation

Compensation expense related to our stock-based payment awards totaled \$4.4 million and \$8.1 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, and \$4.4 million and \$6.4 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively.

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we awarded certain employees 450,836 shares of restricted stock awards. The restricted stock awards will be expensed over the requisite service period, subject to an assumed ten percent forfeiture rate. The terms of the restricted stock awards include two vesting provisions; one based on a performance factor and continued service (applicable to 66% of the award) and one based solely on continued service (applicable to 34% of the award). If all performance and service criteria are satisfied, the awards vest during March of 2009, 2010 and 2011.

On May 1, 2008, in accordance with our existing program for annual director compensation, we awarded 40,500 restricted stock awards under the Directors Plan. We determined that the service vesting condition of the restricted stock awards granted to the directors on May 1, 2008 to be non-substantive and, in accordance with SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payments , recorded the entire fair value of the award as compensation expense on the grant date.

On February 21, 2008 and March 31, 2008, we granted options to purchase an aggregate of 200,000 shares and 50,000 shares, respectively, of common stock. The options expire 10 years from the date of grant and vest in equal installments over five years commencing on March 17, 2009. The stock option fair values were estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	Exercise	Risk-Free Interest	Dividend	Volatility	Expected
Grant Date	Price	Rate	Yield	Expected	Life
February 21, 2008 March 31, 2008	\$ 26.26 \$ 27.50	3.387% 2.977%	0% 0%	28% 31%	6.54 years 6.48 years

As of June 30, 2008, we had approximately \$14.9 million and \$8.8 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to our unvested restricted stock awards and unvested stock options, respectively. We expect this compensation expense to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.0 years for our unvested restricted stock awards and 3.9 years for our unvested stock options.

Note 12. Financial Instruments

Interest Rate Swaps

Under financing arrangements in effect from June 24, 2005 to February 9, 2007, we were required to enter into hedging arrangements with respect to a portion of our exposure to interest rate changes with respect to our borrowing under the credit facilities which were in effect. These interest rate swaps were designated as cash flow hedges in accordance with SFAS No. 133 Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. Accordingly, unrealized gains or losses were deferred in other comprehensive income until the hedged cash flows affect earnings. In connection with the refinancing of our debt facilities in January 2007, the interest rate swap agreements described above were settled on February 9, 2007 for a pre-tax gain of \$3.4 million and we were no longer required to enter into interest rate swap agreements.

18

COVANTA HOLDING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Contingent Interest

On January 31, 2007, we completed an underwritten public offering of \$373.8 million aggregate principal amount of Senior Convertible Debentures. The Debentures bear interest at a rate of 1.00% per year, payable semi-annually in arrears, on February 1 and August 1 of each year, commencing on August 1, 2007, and will mature on February 1, 2027. Beginning with the six-month interest period commencing February 1, 2012, we will pay contingent interest on the Debentures during any six-month interest period in which the trading price of the Debentures measured over a specified number of trading days is 120% or more of the principal amount of the Debentures. When applicable, the contingent interest payable per \$1,000 principal amount of Debentures will equal 0.25% of the average trading price of \$1,000 principal amount of Debentures during the five trading days ending on the second trading day immediately preceding the first day of the applicable six-month interest period. The contingent interest feature in the Debentures is an embedded derivative instrument. The first contingent cash interest payment period does not commence until February 1, 2012, and the fair market value for the embedded derivative was zero as of June 30, 2008.

Note 13. Related-Party Transactions

We are party to an agreement with Quezon Power, Inc. (Quezon), in which we hold a 26% equity investment, where we assumed responsibility for the operation and maintenance of Quezon's coal-fired electricity generation facility. Accordingly, 26% of the net income of Quezon is reflected in our statement of income and as such, 26% of the revenue earned under the terms of the operation and maintenance agreement is eliminated against Equity in Net Income from Unconsolidated Investments. For the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, we collected \$11.2 million and \$10.7 million, respectively, and for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, we collected \$20.2 million and \$18.0 million, respectively, for the operation and maintenance of the facility. As of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the net amount due to Quezon was \$5.4 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, which represents advance payments received from Quezon for operation and maintenance costs.

Note 14. Commitments and Contingencies

We and/or our subsidiaries are party to a number of claims, lawsuits and pending actions, most of which are routine and all of which are incidental to our business. We assess the likelihood of potential losses on an ongoing basis and when losses are considered probable and reasonably estimable, record as a loss an estimate of the ultimate outcome. If we can only estimate the range of a possible loss, an amount representing the low end of the range of possible outcomes is recorded. The final consequences of these proceedings are not presently determinable with certainty.

Environmental Matters

Our operations are subject to environmental regulatory laws and environmental remediation laws. Although our operations are occasionally subject to proceedings and orders pertaining to emissions into the environment and other environmental violations, which may result in fines, penalties, damages or other sanctions, we believe that we are in substantial compliance with existing environmental laws and regulations.

We may be identified, along with other entities, as being among parties potentially responsible for contribution to costs associated with the correction and remediation of environmental conditions at disposal sites subject to federal and/or analogous state laws. In certain instances, we may be exposed to joint and several liabilities for remedial action

or damages. Our ultimate liability in connection with such environmental claims will depend on many factors, including our volumetric share of waste, the total cost of remediation, and the financial viability of other companies that also sent waste to a given site and, in the case of divested operations, its contractual arrangement with the purchaser of such operations.

COVANTA HOLDING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The potential costs related to the matters described below and the possible impact on future operations are uncertain due in part to the complexity of governmental laws and regulations and their interpretations, the varying costs and effectiveness of cleanup technologies, the uncertain level of insurance or other types of recovery and the questionable level of our responsibility. Although the ultimate outcome and expense of any litigation, including environmental remediation, is uncertain, we believe that the following proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In June 2001, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) named Covanta Haverhill, Inc. (Haverhill), as a potentially responsible party (PRP) at the Beede Waste Oil Superfund Site, Plaistow, New Hampshire (Beede site). On December 15, 2006, Haverhill together with numerous other PRPs signed the Beede Waste Oil Superfund Site RD/RA Consent Decree with respect to remediation of the Beede site. The Consent Decree becomes effective upon approval and entry by the U.S. District Court in New Hampshire. We currently believe that based on the amount of waste oil Haverhill is alleged to have sent to the Beede site in comparison to other similarly-situated settling PRPs, its ultimate liability will not be material to its financial position and results of operations although it is not possible at this time to predict that outcome with certainty.

In August 2004, EPA notified Covanta Essex Company (Essex) that it was potentially liable for Superfund response actions in the Lower Passaic River Study Area, referred to as LPRSA, a 17 mile stretch of river in northern New Jersey. Essex is one of at least 73 PRPs named thus far that have joined the LPRSA PRP group. On May 8, 2007, EPA and the PRP group entered into an Administrative Order on Consent by which the PRP group is undertaking a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (Study) of the LPRSA under EPA oversight. The cost to complete the Study is estimated at \$37 million, in addition to EPA oversight costs. Essex s share of the Study costs to date are not material to its financial position and results of operations; however, the Study costs are exclusive of any costs that may be required of PRPs to remediate the LPRSA or costs associated with natural resource damages to the LPRSA from other sources, including named PRPs, Essex believes any releases to the LPRSA from its facility to be de minimis in comparison; however, it is not possible at this time to predict that outcome with certainty or to estimate Essex s ultimate liability in the matter, including for LPRSA remedial costs and/or natural resource damages.

COVANTA HOLDING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Concluded)

Other Matters

Other commitments as of June 30, 2008 were as follows (in thousands):

	Co	ommitment	ts Expiring ess Than	 eriod ore Than
	Tota		ne Year	ne Year
Letters of credit Surety bonds	\$ 320 61	,431 \$,981	60,289	\$ 260,142 61,981
Total other commitments net	\$ 382	,412 \$	60,289	\$ 322,123

The letters of credit were issued under various credit facilities (primarily the Funded L/C Facility and the Revolving Credit Facility) to secure our performance under various contractual undertakings related to our domestic and international projects or to secure obligations under our insurance program. Each letter of credit relating to a project is required to be maintained in effect for the period specified in related project contracts, and generally may be drawn if it is not renewed prior to expiration of that period.

We believe that we will be able to fully perform under our contracts to which these existing letters of credit relate, and that it is unlikely that letters of credit would be drawn because of a default of our performance obligations. If any of these letters of credit were to be drawn by the beneficiary, the amount drawn would be immediately repayable by us to the issuing bank. If we do not immediately repay such amounts drawn under these letters of credit, unreimbursed amounts would be treated under the Credit Facilities as additional term loans in the case of letters of credit issued under the Revolving Loan Facility.

The surety bonds listed on the table above relate primarily to performance obligations (\$53.0 million) and support for closure obligations of various energy projects when such projects cease operating (\$9.0 million). Were these bonds to be drawn upon, we would have a contractual obligation to indemnify the surety company.

We have certain contingent obligations related to the Debentures. These are:

holders may require us to repurchase their Debentures on February 1, 2012, February 1, 2017 and February 1, 2022;

holders may require us to repurchase their Debentures, if a fundamental change occurs; and holders may exercise their conversion rights upon the occurrence of certain events, which would require us to pay the conversion settlement amount in cash and/or our common stock.

For specific criteria related to contingent interest, conversion or redemption features of the Debentures, refer to Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Form 10-K.

We have issued or are party to performance guarantees and related contractual support obligations undertaken pursuant to agreements to construct and operate domestic and international waste and energy facilities. For some projects, such performance guarantees include obligations to repay certain financial obligations if the project revenues are insufficient to do so, or to obtain financing for a project. With respect to our domestic and international businesses, we have issued guarantees to municipal clients and other parties that our subsidiaries will perform in accordance with contractual terms, including, where required, the payment of damages or other obligations. Additionally, damages payable under such guarantees on our energy-from-waste facilities could expose us to recourse liability on project debt. If we must perform under one or more of such guarantees, our liability for damages upon contract termination would be reduced by funds held in trust and proceeds from sales of the facilities securing the project debt and is presently not estimable. Depending upon the circumstances giving rise to such domestic and international damages, the contractual terms of the applicable contracts, and the contract counterparty s choice of remedy at the time a claim against a guarantee is made, the amounts owed pursuant to one or more of such guarantees could be greater than our then-available sources of funds. To date, we have not incurred material liabilities under such guarantees, either on domestic or international projects.

Note 15. Subsequent Event

On July 25, 2008, we entered into a new tip fee type contract with the City of Indianapolis for a term of 10 years commencing upon expiration of the existing service fee type contract in December 2008.

21

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The terms we, our, ours, us, Covanta and Company refer to Covanta Holding Corporation and its subsidiaries following discussion addresses our financial condition as of June 30, 2008 and our results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, compared with the same periods last year. It should be read in conjunction with our Audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2007 and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 and in the interim unaudited financial statements and notes included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the periods ended March 31, 2008, to which the reader is directed for additional information.

The preparation of interim financial statements necessarily relies heavily on estimates. Due to the use of estimates and certain other factors, such as the seasonal nature of our waste and energy services business, as well as competitive and other market conditions, we do not believe that interim results of operations are indicative of full year results of operations. The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and classification of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

OVERVIEW

We are a leading developer, owner and operator of infrastructure for the conversion of waste to energy (known as energy-from-waste), as well as other waste disposal and renewable energy production businesses in the Americas, Europe and Asia. We are organized as a holding company and conduct all of our operations through subsidiaries which are engaged predominantly in the businesses of waste and energy services. We also engage in the independent power production business outside the United States.

We own, have equity investments in, and/or operate 58 energy generation facilities, 48 of which are in the United States and 10 of which are located outside the United States. Our energy generation facilities use a variety of fuels, including municipal solid waste, wood waste (biomass), landfill gas, water (hydroelectric), natural gas, coal, and heavy fuel-oil. We also own or operate several businesses that are associated with our energy-from-waste business, including a waste procurement business, four landfills, and several waste transfer stations.

Our mission is to be the world s leading energy-from-waste company, with a complementary network of renewable energy generation and waste disposal assets. We expect to build value for our stockholders by satisfying our clients waste disposal and energy generation needs with safe, reliable and environmentally superior solutions. In order to accomplish this mission and create additional value for our stockholders, we are focused on:

providing customers with superior service and effectively managing our existing businesses; generating sufficient cash to meet our liquidity needs and invest in the business; and developing new projects and making acquisitions to grow our business in the Americas, Europe and Asia.

We believe that our business offers solutions to public sector leaders around the world in two related elements of critical infrastructure: waste disposal and renewable energy generation. We believe that the environmental benefits of energy-from-waste, as an alternative to landfilling, are clear and compelling: utilizing energy-from-waste reduces greenhouse gas emissions, lowers the risk of groundwater contamination, and conserves land. At the same time, energy-from-waste generates clean, reliable energy from a renewable fuel source, thus reducing dependence on fossil

fuels, the combustion of which is itself a major contributor to greenhouse gas emissions. As public planners in the Americas, Europe and Asia address their needs for more environmentally sensitive waste disposal and energy generation in the years ahead, we believe that energy-from-waste will be an increasingly attractive alternative.

We are actively engaged in the current discussion among policy makers in the United States regarding the benefits of energy-from-waste and the reduction of our dependence on landfilling for waste disposal and fossil fuels for energy. The extent to which we are successful in growing our business will depend in part on our ability to effectively communicate the benefits of energy-from-waste to public planners seeking waste disposal solutions, and

22

to policy makers seeking to encourage renewable energy technologies as viable alternatives to reliance on fossil fuels as a source of energy.

Acquisitions and Business Development

In our domestic business, we are pursuing additional growth opportunities through project expansions, new energy-from-waste and other renewable energy projects, contract extensions, acquisitions, and businesses ancillary to our existing business, such as additional waste transfer, transportation, processing and landfill businesses.

We are also pursuing international waste and/or renewable energy business opportunities, particularly in markets where the market demand, regulatory environment or other factors encourage technologies such as energy-from-waste in order to reduce dependence on landfilling for waste disposal and fossil fuels for energy production in order to reduce greenhouse gas production. In particular, we are focusing on the United Kingdom, Ireland and China, and are also pursuing opportunities in certain other markets in Europe, such as Italy, and in Canada and other markets in the Americas.

2008 acquisitions and business development

Domestic Business:

We entered into a new tip fee type contract with the City of Indianapolis for a term of 10 years commencing upon expiration of the existing service fee type contract in December 2008.

We acquired an energy-from-waste facility in Tulsa, Oklahoma from The CIT Group/Equipment Financing, Inc. for cash consideration of approximately \$12.7 million. The design capacity of the facility is 1,125 tons per day (tpd) of waste and gross electric capacity of 16.5 megawatts (MW). This facility was shut down by the prior owner in the summer of 2007 and we are planning to return two of the facility s three boilers to service before the end of 2008, and return its third boiler to service during 2009.

We acquired a landfill in Peabody, Massachusetts for approximately \$7.4 million in cash. We expect to utilize this landfill primarily for disposal of ash from energy-from-waste facilities in the Northeast United States, including those that we own or operate.

International Business:

We and Chongqing Iron & Steel Company (Group) Limited have entered into a 25 year contract to build, own, operate and transfer an 1,800 tpd energy-from-waste facility for Chengdu Municipality in Sichuan Province, Peoples Republic of China. In connection with this award, we invested \$17.1 million for a 49% equity interest in the project joint venture. The Chengdu project is expected to commence construction during 2008 and commence operations in 2011.

2007 acquisitions and business development

Domestic Business:

We acquired the operating businesses of EnergyAnswers Corporation (EnergyAnswers) for approximately \$41 million in cash. We also assumed net debt of \$21 million (\$23 million of consolidated indebtedness net of \$2 million of restricted funds held in trust). These businesses include a 400 tpd energy-from-waste facility in Springfield, Massachusetts and a 240 tpd energy-from-waste facility in Pittsfield, Massachusetts. Both

energy-from-waste projects have tip fee type contracts. Approximately 75% of waste revenues are contracted for at these facilities. In addition, we acquired businesses that include a landfill operation in Springfield, Massachusetts, which is used for ash disposal; and two transfer stations, one in Canaan, New York, permitted to transfer 600 tpd of waste, and the other located at the Springfield energy-from-waste facility, permitted to transfer 500 tpd of waste. We subsequently sold certain assets acquired in this transaction for a total consideration of \$5.8 million during the fourth quarter of 2007 and the first quarter of 2008.

We acquired Central Valley Biomass Holdings, LLC (Central Valley) from The AES Corporation. Under the terms of the purchase agreement, we paid \$51 million in cash, plus approximately \$5 million in cash related to post-closing adjustments and transaction costs. Central Valley owns two biomass energy facilities and a biomass energy fuel management business, all located in California s Central Valley. These facilities added 75 MW to our portfolio of renewable energy plants. In addition, we invested approximately \$8 million prior to December 31, 2007, and \$9.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008 in capital improvements to increase the facilities productivity and improve environmental performance.

We entered into a new tip fee type contract with the Town of Hempstead in New York for a term of 25 years commencing upon expiration of the existing contract in 2009.

We acquired two waste transfer stations in Westchester County, New York from Regus Industries, LLC for cash consideration of approximately \$7.3 million. These facilities increased our total waste capacity by approximately 1,150 tpd and enhance our portfolio of transfer stations in the Northeast United States.

We acquired a waste transfer station in Holliston, Massachusetts from Casella Waste Systems Inc. for cash consideration of approximately \$7.5 million. This facility increased our total waste capacity by approximately 700 tpd.

We completed the expansion and commenced the operation of the expanded energy-from-waste facility located in and owned by Lee County in Florida. We expanded waste processing capacity from 1,200 tpd to 1,836 tpd and increased gross electricity capacity from 36.9 MW to 57.3 MW. As part of the agreement to implement this expansion, we received a long-term operating contract extension expiring in 2024.

On May 29, 2007, we entered into a ten year agreement to maintain and operate an 800 tpd energy-from-waste facility located in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania and have a right of first refusal to purchase the facility. Under the agreement, the term of which commenced February 1, 2008 following satisfaction of certain conditions precedent, we will earn a base annual service fee of approximately \$10.5 million, which is subject to annual escalation and certain performance-based adjustments. We also have agreed to provide construction management services and to advance up to \$25.5 million in funding for certain facility improvements required to enhance facility performance, the repayment of which is guaranteed by the City of Harrisburg. As of June 30, 2008, we advanced \$1.0 million under this funding arrangement.

We designed, constructed, operate and maintain the 1,200 tpd mass-burn energy-from-waste facility located in and owned by Hillsborough County in Florida. In August 2005, we entered into agreements with Hillsborough County to implement an expansion, and to extend the agreement under which we operate the facility to 2027. During 2006, environmental and other project related permits were secured and the expansion construction commenced on December 29, 2006. Completion of the expansion, and commencement of the operation of the expanded project, is expected in 2009.

International Business:

We purchased a 40% equity interest in Sanfeng Covanta Environmental Industry Co., Ltd. (Sanfeng), a company located in Chongqing Municipality, Peoples Republic of China. Sanfeng is engaged in the business of owning and operating energy-from-waste projects and providing design and engineering, procurement and construction services for energy-from-waste facilities in China. Sanfeng currently owns minority equity interests in two 1,200 metric tpd 24 MW mass-burn energy-from-waste projects. Chongqing Iron & Steel Company (Group) Limited holds the remaining 60% equity interest in Sanfeng. We paid approximately

\$10 million in connection with our investment in Sanfeng. We expect to utilize Sanfeng as a key component of our effort to grow our energy-from-waste business in China. We expect to make additional investments as and when Sanfeng is successful in developing additional projects.

In December 2007, we entered into a joint venture with Guangzhou Development Power Investment Co., Ltd. through which we intend to develop energy-from-waste projects in Guangdong Province, Peoples Republic of China. We hold a 40% equity interest in the joint venture entity, Guangzhou Development Covanta Environmental Energy Co., Ltd (GDC Environmental Energy), and on June 6, 2008, we invested

\$1.5 million in this joint venture following receipt of final government approvals. We expect to make additional investments as and when GDC Environmental Energy is successful in developing projects.

We announced that we have entered into definitive agreements for the development of a 1,700 metric tpd energy-from-waste project serving the City of Dublin, Ireland and surrounding communities. The Dublin project, which marks our most significant entry to date into the European waste and renewable energy markets, is being developed and will be owned by Dublin Waste to Energy Limited, which is co-owned by us and DONG Energy Generation A/S. As part of the transaction, we purchased a controlling stake in Dublin Waste to Energy Limited. Under the Dublin project agreements, several customary conditions must be satisfied before construction can begin, including the issuance of all required licenses and permits. The permitting process is underway and construction is expected to commence in late 2008.

We are responsible for the design and construction of the project, which is estimated to cost approximately 300 million euros and will require 36 months to complete. We will operate and maintain the project for Dublin Waste to Energy Limited, which has a 25-year Tip Fee type contract with Dublin to provide disposal service for approximately 320,000 metric tons of waste annually. The project is structured on a build-own-operate-transfer model, where ownership will transfer to Dublin after the 25-year term, unless extended. The project is expected to sell electricity into the local grid under short-term arrangements. We have committed to provide financing for all phases of the project, along with DONG Energy Generation A/S; however, we expect that numerous project financing structures will be available once the initial development phase is complete.

Business Segments

Our reportable segments are Domestic and International, which are comprised of our domestic and international waste and energy services operations, respectively.

Domestic

For all energy-from-waste projects, we receive revenue from two primary sources: fees charged for operating projects or processing waste received and payments for electricity and steam sales. We also operate, and in some cases have ownership interests in, transfer stations and landfills which generate revenue from waste disposal fees or operating fees. In addition, we own and in some cases operate, other renewable energy projects in the United States which generate electricity from wood waste (biomass), landfill gas, and hydroelectric resources. The electricity from these projects is sold to utilities. For these projects, we receive revenue from electricity sales, and in some cases cash from equity distributions.

International

We have ownership interests in and/or operate facilities internationally, including independent power production facilities in the Philippines, Bangladesh and India where we generate electricity by combusting coal, natural gas and heavy fuel-oil, and energy-from-waste facilities in China and Italy. We receive revenue from operating fees, electricity and steam sales, and in some cases cash from equity distributions.

Contract Structures

We have 24 energy-from-waste projects where we charge a fixed fee (which escalates over time pursuant to contractual indices that we believe are appropriate to reflect price inflation) for operation and maintenance services. We refer to these projects as having a Service Fee structure. Our contracts at Service Fee projects provide revenue that does not materially vary based on the amount of waste processed or energy generated and as such is relatively stable

for the contract term. In addition, at most of our Service Fee projects, the operating subsidiary retains only a fraction of the energy revenues generated, with the balance used to provide a credit to the municipal client against its disposal costs. Therefore, in these projects, the municipal client derives most of the benefit and risk of energy production and changing energy prices.

We also have 14 energy-from-waste projects where we receive a per-ton fee under contracts for processing waste. We refer to these projects as having a Tip Fee structure. At Tip Fee projects, we generally enter into

25

long-term waste disposal contracts for a substantial portion of project disposal capacity and retain all of the energy revenue generated. These Tip Fee service agreements include stated fixed fees earned by us for processing waste up to certain base contractual amounts during specified periods. These Tip Fee service agreements also set forth the per-ton fees that are payable if we accept waste in excess of the base contractual amounts. The waste disposal and energy revenue from these projects is more dependent upon operating performance and, as such, is subject to greater revenue fluctuation to the extent performance levels fluctuate.

Under both structures, our returns are expected to be stable if we do not incur material unexpected operation and maintenance costs or other expenses. In addition, most of our energy-from-waste project contracts are structured so that contract counterparties generally bear, or share in, the costs associated with events or circumstances not within our control, such as uninsured force majeure events and changes in legal requirements. The stability of our revenues and returns could be affected by our ability to continue to enforce these obligations. Also, at some of our energy-from-waste facilities, commodity price risk is mitigated by passing through commodity costs to contract counterparties. With respect to our other domestic renewable energy projects and international independent power projects, such structural features generally do not exist because either we operate and maintain such facilities for our own account or we do so on a cost-plus basis rather than a fixed-fee basis.

At some of our domestic renewable energy and international independent power projects, our operating subsidiaries purchase fuel in the open markets which exposes us to fuel price risk. At other plants, fuel costs are contractually included in our electricity revenues, or fuel is provided by our customers. In some of our international projects, the project entity (which in some cases is not our subsidiary) has entered into long-term fuel purchase contracts that protect the project from changes in fuel prices, provided counterparties to such contracts perform their commitments.

Seasonal Effects

Our quarterly operating income from domestic and international operations within the same fiscal year typically differs substantially due to seasonal factors, primarily as a result of the timing of scheduled plant maintenance. We typically conduct scheduled maintenance periodically each year, which requires that individual boiler units temporarily cease operations. During these scheduled maintenance periods, we incur material repair and maintenance expenses and receive less revenue until the boiler units resume operations. This scheduled maintenance typically occurs during periods of off-peak electric demand in the spring and fall. The spring scheduled maintenance period is typically more extensive than scheduled maintenance conducted during the fall. As a result, we typically incur the highest maintenance expense in the first half of the year. Given these factors, we typically experience lower operating income from our projects during the first six months of each year and higher operating income during the second six months of each year.

Contract Duration

We operate energy-from-waste projects under long-term agreements. For those projects we own, our contract to sell the project s energy output (either electricity or steam) generally expires at or after the date when the initial term of our contract to operate or receive waste also expires. Expiration of these contracts will subject us to greater market risk in maintaining and enhancing revenues as we enter into new contracts. Following the expiration of the initial contracts, we intend to enter into replacement or additional contracts for waste supplies and will sell our energy output either into the regional electricity grid or pursuant to new contracts. Because project debt on these facilities will be paid off at such time, we believe that we will be able to offer disposal services at rates that will attract sufficient quantities of waste and provide acceptable revenues. For those projects we operate but do not own, prior to the expiration of the initial term of our operating contract, we will seek to enter into renewal or replacement contracts to continue operating such projects. We will seek to bid competitively in the market for additional contracts to operate other facilities as similar contracts of other vendors expire.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The comparability of the information provided below with respect to our revenues, expenses and certain other items was affected by several factors. As outlined above under *Acquisitions and Business Development*, our acquisition and business development initiatives resulted in various additional projects which increased comparative 2008 revenues and expenses. These factors must be taken into account in developing meaningful comparisons between the periods compared below. The following general discussions should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and the Notes thereto and other financial information appearing and referred to elsewhere in this report.

Consolidated Results of Operations Comparison of Results for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 vs. Results for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

	For Three Mon June	ths Ended	For Six Mont June	hs Ended		iance (Decrease)	
	2008	2007	2008 (Unaudited, i	2007 in thousands)	Month	Six Month	
CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS: Total operating revenues Total operating expenses	\$ 422,996 346,467	\$ 355,140 277,928	\$ 811,762 704,468	\$ 685,349 599,857	\$ 67,856 68,539	\$ 126,413 104,611	
Operating income	76,529	77,212	107,294	85,492	(683)	21,802	
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE): Investment income Interest expense Loss on extinguishment of debt	1,052 (11,563)	1,819 (14,718)	2,692 (25,283)	7,003 (35,978) (32,006)	(767) (3,155)	(4,311) (10,695) 32,006	
Total other expense	(10,511)	(12,899)	(22,591)	(60,981)	(2,388)	(38,390)	
Income before income tax expense, minority interests and equity in net income from unconsolidated investments Income tax expense	66,018 (26,260)	64,313 (28,822)	84,703 (33,796)	24,511 (10,646)	1,705 (2,562)	60,192 23,150	
Minority interests Equity in net income from unconsolidated investments	(2,225) 7,320	(2,091) 4,316	(4,094) 12,812	(3,489) 9,422	3,004	605 3,390	

Edgar Filing: COVANTA HOLDING CORP - Form 10-Q

NET INCOME	\$ 44,853	\$ 37,716	\$ 59,625	\$ 19,798	7,137	39,827
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING:						
Basic	153,387	152,983	153,276	152,234	404	1,042
Diluted	154,848	154,307	154,710	153,603	541	1,107
EARNINGS PER SHARE: Basic	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.26
Diluted	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.26
		27				

Operating Income

Operating revenues increased by \$67.9 million and \$126.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same periods in 2007, primarily due to increased waste and energy revenues at our energy-from-waste facilities and additional revenues from new businesses acquired during 2008 and 2007 in the Domestic segment as discussed below. Operating revenues also increased due to increased demand from the electricity offtaker and resulting higher electricity generation at our Indian facilities in the International segment.

Operating expenses increased by \$68.5 million and \$104.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same periods in 2007, primarily due to increased plant operating expenses resulting from additional operating and maintenance costs from new businesses acquired during 2008 and 2007 in the Domestic segment as discussed below. Higher fuel costs, which are a pass-thru expense, at our Indian facilities also increased in the International segment. Operating expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2007 include a write-down of assets related to a fire at our SEMASS energy-from-waste facility on March 31, 2007.

Additional detail related to operating revenues and operating expenses is provided in the reported Domestic and International segment discussions below.

Other Components of Net Income

Total investment income decreased by \$0.8 million and \$4.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same periods in 2007, primarily due to lower invested cash balances and lower interest rates.

Interest expense decreased by \$3.2 million and \$10.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same periods in 2007, primarily due to lower floating interest rates on the Term Loan Facility and lower debt balances and interest rates resulting from the 2007 recapitalization. As a result of the recapitalization in the first quarter of 2007, we recognized a loss on extinguishment of debt charge of approximately \$32.0 million, pre-tax. See Note 6. Changes in Capitalization of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Income tax expense decreased by \$2.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same period in 2007, primarily due to the generation of production tax credits from new businesses acquired, partially offset by increased pre-tax income resulting from increased waste and service revenues at our energy-from-waste facilities and additional revenues from new businesses acquired.

Income tax expense increased by \$23.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same period in 2007, due to the absence of both the write-down of assets related to SEMASS and the loss on extinguishment of debt which occurred during the six months ended June 30, 2007, combined with increased pre-tax income resulting from increased waste and service revenues at our energy-from-waste facilities and additional revenues from new businesses acquired.

Equity in net income from unconsolidated investments increased by \$3.0 million and \$3.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same periods in 2007, primarily due the increased cumulative deferred income tax benefit at Quezon Power, Inc. resulting from the weakening of the Philippine peso versus the U.S. dollar.

<u>Domestic Results of Operations</u> Comparison of Results for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 vs. Results for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

	7	Three Moi	For the Months Ended June 30,			For Six Mont Jun	hs l	Ended	Vari Increase/(Three	ance Decrease) Six	
		2008		2007	(Uı	2008 naudited, i	in tl	2007 nousands)	Month	I	Month
Waste and service revenues Electricity and steam sales Other operating revenues	\$	241,736 95,633 13,360	\$	216,997 75,850 7,757	\$	458,555 186,723 28,735	\$	414,879 150,744 22,736	\$ 24,739 19,783 5,603	\$	43,676 35,979 5,999
Total operating revenues		350,729		300,604		674,013		588,359	50,125		85,654
Plant operating expenses Depreciation and amortization		180,504		157,567		385,798		328,028	22,937		57,770
expense Net interest expense on project		49,228		46,155		95,385		92,160	3,073		3,225
debt General and administrative		12,256 19,664		12,292 17,047		24,366 39,282		25,377 36,978	(36) 2,617		(1,011)
expenses Write-down of assets, net of insurance recoveries		19,004		(13,341)		39,202		4,925	13,341		(4,925)
Other operating expense		15,524		7,804		30,275		22,576	7,720		7,699
Total operating expenses		277,176		227,524		575,106		510,044	49,652		65,062
Operating income	\$	73,553	\$	73,080	\$	98,907	\$	78,315	473		20,592

Operating Revenues

Variances in revenues for the domestic segment are as follows (in millions):

	Domestic Segment Operating Revenue Variances Three Months Six Months											
	Ex	isting	N	New			Ex	isting	ľ	New		
	Bu	siness	Busi	ness(A)	T	otal	Bu	siness	Busi	ness(B)	T	otal
Waste and service revenues												
Service fee	\$	0.9	\$	0.3	\$	1.2	\$	3.4	\$	0.6	\$	4.0
Tip fee		2.2		9.8		12.0		4.6		19.2		23.8
Recycled metal		10.9		0.6		11.5		15.2		0.7		15.9
Total waste and service revenues		14.0		10.7		24.7		23.2		20.5		43.7

Electricity and steam sales Other operating revenues	9.5 5.6	10.3	19.8 5.6	15.5 6.0	20.5	36.0 6.0
Total operating revenues	\$ 29.1	\$ 21.0	\$ 50.1	\$ 44.7	\$ 41.0	\$ 85.7

- (A) This column represents the results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2008 for businesses acquired after June 30, 2007.
- (B) This column represents the results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2008 for businesses acquired after June 30, 2007 plus the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2008 for businesses acquired after March 31, 2007.

Revenues from Service Fee arrangements for existing business increased during the three and six month comparative periods primarily due to contractual escalations, partially offset by lower revenues earned explicitly to service project debt of \$1.4 million and \$2.9 million for the three and six month comparative periods, respectively.

Revenues from Tip Fee arrangements for existing business increased during the three month comparative period by \$4.1 million as a result of increased production in part due to the impact of a fire in 2007 at our SEMASS energy-from-waste facility offset by slightly lower pricing. The increase during the six month comparative period was due to increased production in part due to the impact of the fire and an increase in waste volume handled, partially offset by slightly lower pricing.

Recycled metal revenues increased during the three and six month comparative periods due to higher pricing for scrap metal and increased volume of metal recovered for sale.

Electricity and steam sales for existing business increased during the three and six month comparative periods due to higher energy rates and by \$1.9 million as a result of the impact of a fire in 2007 at our SEMASS energy-from-waste facility.

Other operating revenues increased during the three and six month comparative periods primarily due to construction revenue.

Operating Expenses

Variances in plant operating expenses for the domestic segment are as follows (in millions):

	D	Oomestic Segme	ent Plant C	perating Ex	pense Varianc	es
		Three Months	5		Six Months	
	Existing Business	New Business(A)	Total	Existing Business	New Business(B)	Total
Total plant operating expenses	\$ 2.7	\$ 20.2	\$ 22.9	\$ 20.3	\$ 37.5	\$ 57.8

- (A) This column represents the results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2008 for businesses acquired after June 30, 2007.
- (B) This column represents the results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2008 for businesses acquired after June 30, 2007 plus the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2008 for businesses acquired after March 31, 2007.

Existing business plant operating expenses increased by \$2.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same period in 2007, primarily due to cost escalations, partially offset by \$5.2 million of business interruption insurance recoveries at our SEMASS facility as discussed below. Existing business plant operating expenses increased by \$20.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same period in 2007, primarily due to increased plant maintenance and cost escalations, partially offset by \$5.2 million of business interruption insurance recoveries at our SEMASS facility as discussed below.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$3.1 million and \$3.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same periods in 2007, primarily due to new business.

Net interest expense on project debt decreased by \$1.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same period in 2007, primarily due to lower project debt balances.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$2.6 million and \$2.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same periods in 2007, primarily due to increased efforts to grow the business.

On March 31, 2007, our SEMASS energy-from-waste facility located in Rochester, Massachusetts experienced a fire in the front-end receiving portion of the facility. Damage was extensive to this portion of the facility and operations at the facility were suspended completely for approximately 20 days. As a result of this loss, we recorded an asset impairment of \$18.3 million, pre-tax, in the first quarter of 2007, which represented a preliminary estimate of the net book value of the damaged assets. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we reduced the impairment recorded by \$1.0 million, pre-tax, based upon additional analysis as the facility was being restored.

The cost of repair or replacement, and business interruption losses, are insured under the terms of applicable insurance policies, subject to deductibles. We recorded \$5.2 million in the second quarter of 2008 upon receipt of cash proceeds of \$7.2 million in settlement of our business interruption claim. Insurance recoveries are recorded as

a reduction to the loss related to the write-down of assets where such recoveries relate to repair and reconstruction costs, or as a reduction to plant operating expenses where such recoveries relate to other costs or business interruption losses. We recorded insurance recoveries as follows (in millions):

		r the Months	Fo	r the		
		ided ie 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2008	2007	2008	2007		
Repair and reconstruction (reduction to Write-down of assets)	\$	\$ 13.3	\$	\$ 13.3		
Clean-up costs (reduction to Plant operating expenses)	\$	\$ 2.7	\$	\$ 2.7		
Business interruption losses (reduction to Plant operating						
expenses)	\$ 5.2	\$	\$ 5.2	\$		

During the second half of 2007, we recorded additional insurance recoveries of \$4.0 million related to repair and reconstruction and \$2.0 million related to business interruption losses.

Other operating expenses increased by \$7.7 million for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same periods in 2007, primarily due to costs related to construction and losses on the retirement of assets. See Note 9. Supplementary Information of the Notes for additional information.

<u>International Results of Operations</u> Comparison of Results for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 vs. Results for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

	Tł	ree Mor	For the Ionths Ended une 30,			For Six Month June	ıs E				iance (Decrease) Six	
		2008		2007	(U	2008 naudited,	in t	2007 housands	I	Month]	Month
Waste and service revenues Electricity and steam sales	\$	953 68,199	\$	1,043 50,965	\$	1,757 130,174	\$	2,072 89,737	\$	(90) 17,234	\$	(315) 40,437
Total operating revenues		69,152		52,008		131,931		91,809		17,144		40,122
Plant operating expenses Depreciation and amortization		58,104		41,994		111,821		73,540		16,110		38,281
expense Net interest expense on project		2,340		2,255		4,745		4,282		85		463
debt General and administrative		1,520		1,594		3,171		3,114		(74)		57
expenses Other operating expense		3,264 418		2,219 (874)		7,054 (4,204)		3,590 (1,040)		1,045 1,292		3,464 (3,164)
Total operating expenses		65,646		47,188		122,587		83,486		18,458		39,101

Operating income \$ 3,506 \$ 4,820 \$ 9,344 \$ 8,323 (1,314) 1,021

The increases in revenues and plant operating expenses under energy contracts at both Indian facilities resulted primarily from increased demand from the electricity offtaker and resulting higher electricity generation.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$1.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same period in 2007, respectively, primarily due to normal wage and benefit escalations and additional efforts to grow the business. General and administrative expenses increased by \$3.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same period in 2007, respectively, primarily due to increased litigation expense associated with an insurance claim, normal wage and benefit escalations and additional business development spending.

Other operating expense increased by \$1.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same period in 2007, primarily due to a \$1.1 million foreign currency exchange loss related to the Madurai facility non-recourse debt. Other operating expense decreased by \$3.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same period in 2007, primarily due to insurance recoveries associated with a facility in China which was sold in 2006, partially offset by the foreign currency exchange loss related to the Madurai facility non-recourse debt.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Generating sufficient cash to invest in our business, meet our liquidity needs, pay down project debt, and pursue strategic opportunities remain important objectives of management. We derive our cash flows principally from our operations at our domestic and international projects, where our historical levels of production allow us to satisfy project debt covenants and payments, and distribute cash. We typically receive cash distributions from our domestic projects on either a monthly or quarterly basis, whereas a material portion of cash from our international projects is received semi-annually, during the second and fourth quarters.

During the first quarter of 2007, we completed a comprehensive recapitalization utilizing a series of equity and debt financings. Under the new credit facilities, we have substantially greater, but not unrestricted, ability to make investments in our business and to take advantage of opportunities to grow our business through investments and acquisitions, both domestically and internationally.

Our primary future cash requirements will be to fund capital expenditures to maintain our existing businesses, make debt service payments and grow our business through acquisitions and business development. We will also seek to enhance our cash flow from renewals or replacement of existing contracts, from new contracts to expand existing facilities or operate additional facilities and by investing in new projects.

The frequency and predictability of our receipt of cash from projects differs, depending upon various factors, including whether restrictions on distributions exist in applicable project debt arrangements, whether a project is domestic or international, and whether a project has been able to operate at historical levels of production.

Additionally, as of June 30, 2008, we had available credit for liquidity of \$300 million under the Revolving Loan Facility (as defined below) and unrestricted cash of \$143.7 million.

Our projected contractual obligations are consistent with amounts disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. We believe that when combined with our other sources of liquidity, including our existing cash on hand and the Revolving Loan Facility, we will generate sufficient cash over at least the next twelve months to meet operational needs, make capital expenditures, invest in the business and service debt due.

2007 Recapitalization

During the first quarter of 2007, we completed a comprehensive recapitalization utilizing a series of equity and debt financings including the following transactions:

the refinancing of our previously existing credit facilities with new credit facilities, comprised of a \$300 million revolving credit facility, a \$320 million funded letter of credit facility, and a \$650 million term loan (collectively referred to as the Credit Facilities);

an underwritten public offering of 6.118 million shares of our common stock, from which we received proceeds of approximately \$136.6 million, net of underwriting discounts and commissions;

an underwritten public offering of approximately \$373.8 million aggregate principal amount of Debentures, from which we received proceeds of approximately \$364.4 million, net of underwriting discounts and commissions; and

the repayment, by means of a tender offer and redemptions, of approximately \$611.9 million in aggregate principal amount of outstanding notes previously issued by certain of our intermediate subsidiaries. We completed our tender offer and redemptions for approximately \$604.4 million in aggregate principal amount of outstanding notes on February 22, 2007. The remaining \$7.5 million of the outstanding notes were redeemed in April 2007 and September 2007.

As a result of the recapitalization, we recognized a loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$32.0 million, pre-tax, which was comprised of the write-down of deferred financing costs, tender premiums paid for the intermediate subsidiary debt, and a call premium paid in connection with previously existing financing arrangements. These amounts were partially offset by the write-down of unamortized premiums relating to the intermediate subsidiary debt and a gain associated with the settlement of our interest rate swap agreements.

Credit Agreement Financial Covenants

The loan documentation under the Credit Facilities contains customary affirmative and negative covenants and financial covenants as discussed in Note 6. Long-Term Debt of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. As of June 30, 2008, we were in compliance with the covenants under the Credit Facilities.

The financial covenants of the Credit Facilities, which are measured on a trailing four quarter period basis, include the following:

maximum Covanta Energy leverage ratio of 4.25 to 1.00 for the four quarter period ended June 30, 2008, which measures Covanta Energy s principal amount of consolidated debt less certain restricted funds dedicated to repayment of project debt principal and construction costs (Consolidated Adjusted Debt) to its adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as calculated under the Credit Facilities (Adjusted EBITDA). The definition of Adjusted EBITDA in the Credit Facilities excludes certain non-cash charges. The maximum Covanta Energy leverage ratio allowed under the Credit Facilities adjusts in future periods as follows:

- 4.25 to 1.00 for each of the four quarter periods ended September 30, 2008;
- 4.00 to 1.00 for each of the four quarter periods ended December 31, 2008, March 31, June 30 and September 30, 2009;
- 3.75 to 1.00 for each of the four quarter periods ended December 31, 2009, March 31, June 30 and September 30, 2010;
- 3.50 to 1.00 for each four quarter period thereafter;

maximum Covanta Energy capital expenditures incurred to maintain existing operating businesses of \$100 million per fiscal year, subject to adjustment due to an acquisition by Covanta Energy; and

minimum Covanta Energy interest coverage ratio of 3.00 to 1.00, which measures Covanta Energy s Adjusted EBITDA to its consolidated interest expense plus certain interest expense of ours, to the extent paid by Covanta Energy.

Sources and Uses of Cash Flow for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007:

		For Six Mont Jun		Ended		ncrease ecrease)	
	2008 2007				2008 vs 2007		
		(Un	audi	ited, in thou	usands)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	160,377	\$	145,587	\$	14,790	
Net cash used in investing activities		(80,155)		(43,559)		36,596	
Net cash used in financing activities		(86,033)		(180,945)		(94,912)	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		111		337		(226)	

Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents

\$ (5,700) \$

\$ (78,580)

72,880

During the first quarter of 2008, we revised our presentation of the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows to present changes in restricted funds held in trust relating to operating activities as a component of cash flow from operating activities and changes in restricted funds held in trust relating to financing activities (debt principal repayments) as a component of cash flow from financing activities; previously we included all changes in restricted funds held in trust as a component of cash flow from financing activities. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, we have reclassified the presentation of the changes in restricted funds held related to operating and financing activities, although not material, to conform to the presentation on the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows.

Net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$160.4 million, an increase of \$14.8 million from the prior year period. The increase was primarily comprised of \$29.2 million from a

combination of improved operating performance and lower net interest expense, and insurance receipts of \$11.0 million (including \$7.2 million of business interruption recoveries related to the SEMASS facility), offset by declines in restricted cash and timing of working capital of \$25.4 million.

Net cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$80.2 million, an increased use of cash of \$36.6 million compared to the prior year period. The increase was primarily due to higher cash outflows to acquire businesses and make equity investments of \$21.5 million, higher outflows for purchases of property, plant and equipment of \$10.7 million (which consisted of higher capital expenditures of \$8.6 million, and \$10.4 million primarily related to refurbishment expenditures for the two biomass facilities acquired in the third quarter of 2007), offset by a reduction of \$8.3 million relating to rebuilding the SEMASS facility.

Net cash used in financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$86.0 million, a decrease of \$94.9 million from the prior year period due primarily to the 2007 recapitalization. The net proceeds from refinancing the previously existing credit facilities with the New Credit Facilities was \$5.6 million, net of transaction fees. Proceeds of approximately \$364.4 million and \$136.6 million, each net of underwriting discounts and commissions, were received during the three months ended March 31, 2007 related to underwritten public offerings of Debentures and common stock, respectively. The combination of the proceeds from the public offerings of Debentures and common stock and approximately \$130.0 million in cash and restricted cash (available for use as a result of the recapitalization) were utilized for the repayment, by means of a tender offer, of approximately \$604.4 million in principal amount of outstanding notes previously issued by certain intermediate subsidiaries.

Project Debt

Domestic Project Debt

Financing for the energy-from-waste projects is generally accomplished through tax-exempt and taxable municipal revenue bonds issued by or on behalf of the municipal client. For such facilities that are owned by a subsidiary of ours, the municipal issuers of the bond loans the bond proceeds to our subsidiary to pay for facility construction. For such facilities, project-related debt is included as Project debt (short- and long-term) in our consolidated financial statements. Generally, such project debt is secured by the revenues generated by the project and other project assets including the related facility. The only potential recourse to us with respect to project debt arises under the operating performance guarantees described below under *Other Commitments and Contingencies*. Certain subsidiaries had recourse liability for project debt which is recourse to Covanta ARC LLC, but is non-recourse to us, which as of June 30, 2008 aggregated to \$251.2 million.

International Project Debt

Financing for projects in which we have an ownership or operating interest is generally accomplished through commercial loans from local lenders or financing arranged through international banks, bonds issued to institutional investors and from multilateral lending institutions based in the United States. Such debt is generally secured by the revenues generated by the project and other project assets and is without recourse to us. Project debt relating to two international projects in India is included as Project debt (short- and long-term) in our consolidated financial statements. In most projects, the instruments defining the rights of debt holders generally provide that the project subsidiary may not make distributions to its parent until periodic debt service obligations are satisfied and other financial covenants are complied with.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

Other commitments as of June 30, 2008 were as follows (in thousands):

		Commitments Expiring by Period									
		Total	Le Oi		ore Than ne Year						
Letters of credit Surety bonds	\$	320,431 61,981	\$	60,289	\$	260,142 61,981					
Total other commitments net	\$	382,412	\$	60,289	\$	322,123					
	34										

The letters of credit were issued under various credit facilities (primarily the Funded L/C Facility and the Revolving Credit Facility) to secure our performance under various contractual undertakings related to our domestic and international projects, or to secure obligations under our insurance program. Each letter of credit relating to a project is required to be maintained in effect for the period specified in related project contracts, and generally may be drawn if it is not renewed prior to expiration of that period.

We believe that we will be able to fully perform under our contracts to which these existing letters of credit relate and that it is unlikely that letters of credit would be drawn because of a default of our performance obligations. If any of these letters of credit were to be drawn by the beneficiary, the amount drawn would be immediately repayable by us to the issuing bank. If we do not immediately repay such amounts drawn under these letters of credit, unreimbursed amounts would be treated under the Credit Facilities as additional term loans in the case of letters of credit issued under the Revolving Loan Facility.

The surety bonds listed on the table above relate primarily to performance obligations (\$53.0 million) and support for closure obligations of various energy projects when such projects cease operating (\$9.0 million). Were these bonds to be drawn upon, we would have a contractual obligation to indemnify the surety company.

We have certain contingent obligations related to the Debentures. These are:

holders may require us to repurchase their Debentures on February 1, 2012, February 1, 2017 and February 1, 2022;

holders may require us to repurchase their Debentures, if a fundamental change occurs; and holders may exercise their conversion rights upon the occurrence of certain events, which would require us to pay the conversion settlement amount in cash and/or our common stock.

For specific criteria related to contingent interest, conversion or redemption features of the Debentures, see Note 6. Changes in Capitalization of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Audited Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Notes in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

We have issued or are party to performance guarantees and related contractual support obligations undertaken pursuant to agreements to construct and operate certain domestic and international energy and waste facilities. For some projects, such performance guarantees include obligations to repay certain financial obligations if the project revenues are insufficient to do so, or to obtain financing for a project. With respect to our domestic and international businesses, we have issued guarantees to municipal clients and other parties that our subsidiaries will perform in accordance with contractual terms, including, where required, the payment of damages or other obligations. Additionally, damages payable under such guarantees on our energy-from-waste facilities could expose us to recourse liability on project debt. If we must perform under one or more of such guarantees, our liability for damages upon contract termination would be reduced by funds held in trust and proceeds from sales of the facilities securing the project debt and is presently not estimable. Depending upon the circumstances giving rise to such domestic and international damages, the contractual terms of the applicable contracts, and the contract counterparty s choice of remedy at the time a claim against a guarantee is made, the amounts owed pursuant to one or more of such guarantees could be material. To date, we have not incurred material liabilities under such performance guarantees, either on domestic or international projects.

Discussion of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

In preparing our condensed consolidated financial statements in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles, we are required to use judgment in making estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements and related notes. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Many of our critical accounting policies are subject to significant judgments and uncertainties which could potentially result in materially different results under different conditions and assumptions. Future events rarely develop exactly as forecast, and the best estimates routinely require adjustment. Management believes there

have been no material changes during the six months ended June 30, 2008 to the items discussed in Discussion of Critical Accounting Policies in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements of the Notes for information related to new accounting pronouncements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

In the normal course of business, our subsidiaries are party to financial instruments that are subject to market risks arising from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, and commodity prices. Our use of derivative instruments is very limited and we do not enter into derivative instruments for trading purposes.

Management believes there have been no material changes during the six months ended June 30, 2008 to the items discussed in Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of Covanta's disclosure controls and procedures, as required by Rule 13a-15(b) and 15d-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) as of June 30, 2008. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to reasonably assure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure and is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s (SEC) rules and forms.

Our management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, believes that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide such reasonable assurance.

Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, believes that any disclosure controls and procedures or internal controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must consider the benefits of controls relative to their costs. Inherent limitations within a control system include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by unauthorized override of the control. The design of any systems of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Accordingly, because of the inherent limitations in a cost effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and may not be prevented or detected.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has not been any change in our system of internal control over financial reporting during the most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, internal control over financial

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See Note 14. Commitments and Contingencies of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes during the six months ended June 30, 2008 to the risk factors discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

We held our Annual Meeting of Stockholders on May 1, 2008. At that meeting, stockholders voted on the following proposals:

1. To elect eleven directors to serve a one-year term that will expire at the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The votes cast for each director were as follows:

Directors	For	Withheld
David M. Barse	130,207,511	2,275,536
Ronald J. Broglio	131,944,388	538,659
Peter C.B. Bynoe	131,608,658	874,389
Linda J. Fisher	131,964,668	518,379
Richard L. Huber	131,906,119	576,928
Anthony J. Orlando	130,551,998	1,931,049
William C. Pate	129,430,198	3,052,849
Robert S. Silberman	131,610,773	872,274
Jean Smith	131,947,695	535,352
Clayton Yeutter	100,723,912	31,759,135
Samuel Zell	129,323,176	3,159,871

^{2.} To amend the Equity Award Plan for Employees and Officers to increase the number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance thereunder by an additional 6,000,000 shares from 6,000,000 to 12,000,000 shares of common stock.

Edgar Filing: COVANTA HOLDING CORP - Form 10-Q

Votes For	Votes Against	Abstentions	Broker Non-Vote
100,284,564	10,860,844	574,564	20,763,075

3. To amend the Equity Award Plan for Employees and Officers to increase the maximum award that a participant may receive in any calendar year from 300,000 shares of common stock to 250,000 shares of restricted stock and options to purchase 650,000 of common stock.

Votes For	Votes Against	Abstentions	Broker Non-Vote
100,938,818	10,158,104	623,050	20,763,075
	3	7	

4. To amend the Equity Award Plan for Directors to increase the number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance thereunder by an additional 300,000 shares from 400,000 to 700,000 shares of common stock.

Votes For	Votes Against	Abstentions	Broker Non-Vote
104,626,632	6,498,275	595,065	20,763,075

5. To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP, the independent registered public accountants, as our independent auditors for the 2008 fiscal year.

Votes For	Votes Against	Abstentions
132,219,738	103,736	159,573

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

(a) None.

Exhibit

(b) Not applicable.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Number	Description
31.1	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Chief Financial Officer.
32	Certification of periodic financial report pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the

Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

38

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Covanta Holding Corporation (Registrant)

By: /s/ Mark A. Pytosh

Mark A. Pytosh

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By: /s/ Thomas E. Bucks

Thomas E. Bucks

Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer

Date: July 29, 2008

39